ISSUER IDENTIFICATION DETAILS
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TAX IDENTIFICATION CODE (C.I.F.) A-48010615

Company name: IBERDROLA, S.A.

Registered office: Plaza Euskadi número 5 48009 Bilbao (Biscay), Spain

NOTE: The English text of the headings of this Annual Corporate Governance Report have been extracted directly from the English-language template provided by the Spanish National Securities Market Commission (Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores).
A OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE

A.1. Complete the following table on share capital and the attributed voting rights, including those corresponding to shares with a loyalty vote as of the closing date of the year, where appropriate:

Indicate whether company bylaws contain the provision of double loyalty voting:

[ ] Yes  [X] No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of last change</th>
<th>Share capital (€)</th>
<th>Number of shares</th>
<th>Number of voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/08/2022</td>
<td>4,771,570,500</td>
<td>6,362,094,000</td>
<td>6,362,094,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of the date of approval of this report, the share capital of “Iberdrola, S.A.” (hereinafter, “Iberdrola” or the “Company”) comes to €4,834,773,000 and is represented by 6,446,364,000 ordinary shares having a nominal value of €0.75 each, belonging to a single class and series and fully subscribed and paid up, as a result of the implementation of the second increase in share capital by means of a scrip issue approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting on 17 June 2022.

Indicate whether there are different classes of shares with different associated rights:

[ ] Yes  [X] No

A.2. List the company’s significant direct and indirect shareholders at year end, including directors with a significant shareholding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of shareholder</th>
<th>% of voting rights attached to the shares</th>
<th>% of voting rights through financial instruments</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLACKROCK, INC.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORGES BANK</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QATAR INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 23.1 of Royal Decree 1362/2007 of 19 October, further developing Law 24/1988 of 28 July on the Securities Market, in connection with the transparency requirements relating to the information on issuers whose securities have been admitted to trading on an official secondary market or other regulated market in the European Union, it is deemed that the holder of a significant interest is a shareholder holding at least 3% of voting rights or 1% if the party required to report resides in a tax haven or in a country or territory with no taxation or with which there is no effective exchange of tax information.

The information provided regarding significant interests is based on the reports sent by the holders thereof to the National Securities Market Commission (Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores) (“CNMV”) and/or to the...
Company itself. Specifically, the percentages of BlackRock, Inc. have been calculated taking into account the voting rights reported in its latest notice to the CNMV and the total number of voting rights of Iberdrola as at the end of financial year 2022.

According to available information, the approximate breakdown of the interests in the share capital by type of shareholder at year-end 2022 is as follows:

- International investors 71.01%
- Domestic entities 6.76%
- Domestic retail investors 22.23%

Breakdown of the indirect holding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the indirect owner</th>
<th>Name or company name of the direct owner</th>
<th>% of voting rights attached to the shares</th>
<th>% of voting rights through financial instruments</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLACKROCK, INC.</td>
<td>BLACKROCK GROUP</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QATAR INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</td>
<td>QATAR HOLDING LLC</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QATAR INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</td>
<td>DIC HOLDING LLC</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate the most significant changes in the shareholder structure during the year:

The following information is based on notices sent by the shareholders to the CNMV and to the Company itself:

- BLACKROCK, INC.: Interest decreased to below 5% (09-06-2022).
- BLACKROCK, INC.: Interest increased to above 5% (16-06-2022).
- BLACKROCK, INC.: Interest decreased to below 5% (27-06-2022).
- BLACKROCK, INC.: Interest increased to above 5% (06-07-2022).
- THE GOLDMAN Sachs GROUP, INC.: Interest increased to above 5% and was reported as a result of the disapplication of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (07/12/2022).
- THE GOLDMAN Sachs GROUP, INC.: Interest decreased to below 5% and was reported as a result of the application of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (09/12/2022).
- THE GOLDMAN Sachs GROUP, INC.: Interest increased to above 5% and was reported as a result of the disapplication of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (12/12/2022).
- THE GOLDMAN Sachs GROUP, INC.: Interest decreased to below 5% and was reported as a result of the application of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (13/12/2022).
- THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.: Interest increased to above 5% and was reported as a result of the disapplication of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (14/12/2022).

- THE GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.: Interest decreased to below 5% and was reported as a result of the application of the exemption regarding the disclosure of voting rights held by credit institutions and investment services companies in the trading book (16/12/2022).

A.3. Give details of the participation at the close of the fiscal year of the members of the board of directors who are holders of voting rights attributed to shares of the company or through financial instruments, whatever the percentage, excluding the directors who have been identified in Section A.2 above:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>% voting rights attributed to shares (including loyalty votes)</th>
<th>% of voting rights through financial instruments</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>From the total % of voting rights attributed to the shares, indicate, where appropriate, the % of the additional votes attributed corresponding to the shares with a loyalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ARMANDO MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ Serna</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR INIGO VÍCTOR DE ORIOL IBARRA</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMAZA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SARA DE LA RICA GOIRCELAYA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS NICOLA MARY BREWER</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA ANGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ISABEL GARCÍA TEJERINA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total percentage of voting rights held by the Board of Directors 0.25
The shareholders acting at the General Shareholders' Meeting held on 2 April 2020 approved a long-term variable remuneration programme focused on the sustainable creation of value for shareholders and directed at the executive directors, management personnel and other professionals of Iberdrola and of other companies of the group in order to incentivise the achievement of the key strategic goals provided for the 2020-2022 period (the “2020-2022 Strategic Bonus”). For this purpose, the 2020-2022 Strategic Bonus is configured as an incentive to be paid through the delivery of a number of shares of the Company to be determined based on Iberdrola’s performance with respect to certain financial, business and sustainable development parameters, which present a challenging scenario for a company that continues to have profitable growth and is financially strong and committed to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) approved by the United Nations. Pursuant thereto, the executive chairman may receive up to a maximum of 1,900,000 shares, and the chief executive officer up to a maximum of 240,000 shares, which, if appropriate, would be paid on a fractional and deferred basis, in three equal parts, in 2023, 2024 and 2025. The maximum amount of shares that the chief executive officer can receive was allocated thereto, when he was a member of Senior Management, and has not been changed due to his appointment as chief executive officer on 25 October 2022. The number of shares to be delivered to each beneficiary will depend on the evaluation of the Company’s performance and the level of achievement of said objectives (i.e. the weighted coefficient of achievement of the objectives), and the annual accrual and corresponding payment will be subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors, after a report from the Remuneration Committee, that the circumstances on which such evaluation was based remain in effect.

**Breakdown of the indirect holding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Name or company name of the direct owner</th>
<th>% voting rights attributed to shares (including loyalty votes)</th>
<th>% of voting rights through financial instruments</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>From the total % of voting rights attributed to the shares, indicate, where appropriate, the % of the additional votes attributed corresponding to the shares with a loyalty vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List the total percentage of voting rights represented on the board:

| Total percentage of voting rights held by the Board of Directors | 0.25 |

**A.4.** If applicable, indicate any family, commercial, contractual or corporate relationships that exist among significant shareholders to the extent that they are known to the company, unless they are insignificant or arise in the ordinary course of business, with the exception of those reported in section A.6:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of related party</th>
<th>Nature of relationship</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.5. If applicable, indicate any commercial, contractual or corporate relationships that exist between significant shareholders and the company and/or its group, unless they are insignificant or arise in the ordinary course of business:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of related party</th>
<th>Nature of relationship</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A.6. Unless insignificant for both parties, describe the relationships that exist between significant shareholders, shareholders represented on the Board and directors or their representatives in the case of directors that are legal persons.

Explain, if applicable, how the significant shareholders are represented. Specifically, indicate those directors appointed to represent significant shareholders, those whose appointment was proposed by significant shareholders, or who are linked to significant shareholders and/or companies in their group, specifying the nature of such relationships or ties. In particular, mention the existence, identity and post of any directors of the listed company, or their representatives, who are in turn members or representatives of members of the Board of Directors of companies that hold significant shareholdings in the listed company or in group companies of these significant shareholders:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of related director or representative</th>
<th>Name or company name of related significant shareholder</th>
<th>Company name of the group company of the significant shareholder</th>
<th>Description of relationship / post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no directors connected to significant shareholders and, specifically, none of the directors has been appointed on behalf of, nor has their appointment been proposed by, said shareholders.

A.7. Indicate whether the company has been notified of any shareholders’ agreements that may affect it, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 530 and 531 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital). If so, describe them briefly and list the shareholders bound by the agreement:

[ ] Yes  [ X ] No

Indicate whether the company is aware of any concerted actions among its shareholders. If so, provide a brief description:

[ ] Yes  [ X ] No

If any of the aforementioned agreements or concerted actions have been amended or terminated during the year, indicate this expressly:
A.8. Indicate whether any individual or company exercises or may exercise control over the company in accordance with Article 5 of the Securities Market Act. If so, identify them:

[ ] Yes       [X] No

A.9. Complete the following table with details of the company’s treasury shares:

At the close of the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of direct shares</th>
<th>Number of indirect shares (*)</th>
<th>Total percentage of share capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64,447,436</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Through:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of direct shareholder</th>
<th>Number of direct shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any significant changes during the year:

During financial year 2022, the Company sent to the CNMV three updates to its treasury share position as a result of a change in the number of voting rights arising from corporate transactions:

- On 4 February notices were provided of direct acquisitions of a total of 3,086,535 shares (0.048%), coinciding with the increase in share capital resulting from the “Iberdrola Retribución Flexible” programme.
- On 8 July notices were provided of direct acquisitions of a total of 48,136,011 shares (0.771%), coinciding with the reduction in share capital carried out; and
- On 2 August notices were provided of direct acquisitions of a total of 202,776 shares (0.003%), coinciding with the increase in share capital resulting from the “Iberdrola Retribución Flexible” programme.

During financial year 2022 the Company also provided one more notice arising from consecutive direct acquisitions of own shares due to said acquisitions exceeding 1% of voting rights since the preceding notice:

- On 11 May notice was provided of direct acquisitions of a total of 72,976,843 shares (1.134%).

A.10. Provide a detailed description of the conditions and terms of the authority given to the Board of Directors to issue, repurchase, or dispose of treasury shares:

AUTHORISATIONS TO ISSUE NEW SHARES

At the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 2 April 2020, the shareholders resolved to authorise the Board of Directors to increase share capital upon the terms and within the limits set forth in Section 297.1.b) of the Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital) and to issue debentures exchangeable for and/or convertible into shares and warrants in an amount of up to €5,000 million.

Both authorisations were granted for a term of five years and include the power to exclude preemptive rights up to an overall maximum nominal amount of 10% of the share capital. Neither of them has been used, either in whole or in part, through the date of approval of this report.

Furthermore, the shareholders acting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 17 June 2022 approved the two customary increases in share capital by means of a scrip issue to implement the “Iberdrola Retribución Flexible”
optional dividend system through the issuance of new bonus shares, together with the corresponding reduction in share capital by means of the retirement of own shares in order for the number of outstanding shares to remain at around 6,240 million. Both the increases and the reduction in share capital have been implemented as of the date of approval of this report.

AUTHORISATION TO ACQUIRE OWN SHARES

The shareholders acting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 17 June 2022 resolved to authorise the Board of Directors to carry out the derivative acquisition of shares of Iberdrola on the following terms (which are the same terms as those of the authorisation that was in effect from 13 April 2018 through the aforementioned date):

a. Purchases may be made by Iberdrola directly, or indirectly through its subsidiaries, excluding those that carry out regulated activities pursuant to the provisions of the Electricity Industry Act (Ley del Sector Eléctrico) and the Hydrocarbons Act (Ley de Hidrocarburos).

b. Purchases will be made using purchase/sale or swap transactions or any other means allowed by law.

c. Purchases may be made up to the maximum sum permitted by law (i.e. 10% of the share capital).

d. Purchases may not be made at a higher price than that quoted on the Stock Exchange or at a price lower than the share’s nominal value.

e. The authorisation was granted for a period not to exceed five years as from the approval of the resolution.

f. As a result of the acquisition of shares, including those that the Company or the person acting in their own name but on behalf of the Company has previously acquired and holds in treasury, the resulting shareholders’ equity cannot decrease to below the amount of the share capital plus the restricted reserves required under law or the By-Laws.

The shares purchased as a result of the aforementioned authorisation can be used for either transfer or retirement or can be applied to the remuneration systems provided for in the Companies Act, as well as to the development of programmes fostering the acquisition of interests in the Company, such as dividend reinvestment plans, loyalty bonuses or similar instruments.

A.11. Estimated float:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated float</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A.12. Indicate whether there are any restrictions (articles of incorporation, legislative or of any other nature) placed on the transfer of shares and/or any restrictions on voting rights. In particular, indicate the existence of any type of restriction that may inhibit a takeover of the company through acquisition of its shares on the market, as well as such regimes for prior authorisation or notification that may be applicable, under sector regulations, to acquisitions or transfers of the company’s financial instruments.

[ X ] Yes  
[ ] No

**Description of restrictions**

ACQUISITION OF SHARES

Prior government approval is required for the acquisition of a stake equal to or greater than 10% of the share capital of listed Spanish companies in the energy infrastructure and energy supply sectors, among others (Section 7 bis of Law 19/2003 of 4 July, introduced by Royal Decree-law 8/2020 of 17 March, and sole transitional provision of Royal Decree-law 34/2020 of 17 November).
Moreover, due to the activities carried out by the companies of the Iberdrola group in the United States of America, the acquisition of a stake resulting in ownership of an interest equal to or greater than 10% of the share capital of Iberdrola will be subject to prior approval by certain U.S. regulatory authorities, pursuant to the laws in effect in that country.

In addition, as a consequence of the activities of the companies of the Iberdrola group in Australia, the acquisition of an interest of at least 20% of the share capital of Iberdrola by a person, whether alone or with one or more associates, requires approval by the Australian Treasurer, pursuant to Australia’s Foreign Acquisitions and Takeovers Act 1975 (Cth) ("FATA"). The Australian Treasurer has powers under the FATA in certain circumstances to block an investment or request a divestment if a person, whether alone or with one or more associates, has not obtained prior approval and acquires an interest in Iberdrola consisting of: (i) at least 10% of the share capital; (ii) at least 5% of the share capital if the purchaser enters into a legal arrangement relating to its business and Iberdrola; or (iii) any percentage of the share capital if the person, whether alone or with one or more associates, is in a position to influence or participate in the Company’s central management and control.

Furthermore, a takeover of Iberdrola would entail the indirect acquisition of control of “Neoenegria S.A.”, a listed company in Brazil, which would give rise to the obligation to make a transfer of control takeover bid for the acquisition of the shares of the other shareholders of “Neoenegria, S.A.”, and would require the prior approval of the National Electricity Agency and, if applicable, of the Administrative Council for Economic Defence, all in accordance with Brazilian law.

VOTING RIGHTS

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 34 of Royal Decree-Law 6/2000 of 23 June on Urgent Measures to Intensify Competition in the Goods and Services Market, those having an interest equal to or greater than 3% of the capital or voting rights of two or more companies that have the status of principal operator in certain markets or sectors (including the generation and supply of electricity) may not exercise rights in excess of such percentage in more than one company.

Furthermore, Article 29.2 of the By-Laws provides that no shareholder may cast a number of votes greater than those corresponding to shares representing 10% of the share capital. In the case of a takeover bid, this restriction shall be deprived of effect upon the occurrence of the circumstances provided for in Section 527 of the Companies Act and Article 50 of the By-Laws.

A.13. Indicate whether the general shareholders’ meeting has resolved to adopt measures to neutralise a takeover bid by virtue of the provisions of Law 6/2007.

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

If so, explain the measures approved and the terms under which such limitations would cease to apply:

A.14. Indicate whether the company has issued shares that are not traded on a regulated EU market.

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

If so, indicate each share class and the rights and obligations conferred:
B. GENERAL SHAREHOLDERS’ MEETING

B.1. Indicate whether there are any differences between the minimum quorum regime established by the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for General Shareholders’ Meetings and the quorum set by the company, and if so give details:

[ X ] Yes  [ ] No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quorum required at 1st call</th>
<th>% quorum different from that established in Article 193 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for general matters</th>
<th>% quorum different from that established in Article 194 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for special resolutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quorum required at 2nd call</th>
<th>% quorum different from that established in Article 193 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for general matters</th>
<th>% quorum different from that established in Article 194 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for special resolutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of differences**

Article 21.2 of the By-Laws increases the quorum required to hold a valid meeting “in order to adopt resolutions regarding a change in the object of the Company, transformation, total split-off, dissolution of the Company, and the amendment of this section 2”, in which case “shareholders representing two-thirds of subscribed share capital with voting rights must be in attendance at the first call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting, and shareholders representing sixty per cent of such share capital must be in attendance at the second call”.

B.2. Indicate whether there are any differences between the company’s manner of adopting corporate resolutions and the regime provided in the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act and, if so, give details:

[ X ] Yes  [ ] No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualified majority different from that established in Article 201.2 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act for matters referred to by Article 194.1 of said Act</th>
<th>Other matters requiring a qualified majority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of differences**

Article 52 of the By-Laws provides that all resolutions intended to eliminate or amend the provisions contained in Title IV (breakthrough of restrictions in the event of takeover bids), in Article 28 (conflicts of interest), and in sections 2 to 4 of Article 29 (limitation upon the maximum number of votes that a shareholder may cast) shall require the affirmative vote of three-fourths (3/4) of the share capital present in person or by proxy at a General Shareholders’ Meeting.

B.3. Indicate the rules for amending the company’s articles of incorporation. In particular, indicate the majorities required for amendment of the articles of incorporation and any provisions in place to protect shareholders’ rights in the event of amendments to the articles of incorporation.
In addition to the provisions of Section 285 et seq. of the Companies Act that apply to the Company, Articles 21.2 and 52 of Iberdrola’s By-Laws (mentioned in sections B.1 and B.2 above) require a qualified quorum and qualified majority, respectively, for the approval of certain resolutions.

B.4. Give details of attendance at General Shareholders’ Meetings held during the reporting year and the two previous years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of general meeting</th>
<th>% physical presence</th>
<th>% present by proxy</th>
<th>% distance voting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>69.69</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which float:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/06/2021</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which float:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/06/2022</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>49.95</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which float:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2022 the General Shareholders’ Meeting was held on site with the ability to attend remotely, while the 2020 and 2021 meetings were held exclusively by remote means.

B.5. Indicate whether any point on the agenda of the General Shareholders’ Meetings during the year was not approved by the shareholders for any reason:

[ ] Yes  [X] No

B.6. Indicate whether the articles of incorporation contain any restrictions requiring a minimum number of shares to attend General Shareholders’ Meetings, or to vote remotely:

[ ] Yes  [X] No

B.7. Indicate whether it has been established that certain decisions, other than those established by law, entailing an acquisition, disposal or contribution to another company of essential assets or other similar corporate transactions must be submitted for approval to the General Shareholders’ Meeting:

[ ] Yes  [X] No

B.8. Indicate the address and manner of accessing the company’s website, information on corporate governance and other information regarding General Shareholders’ Meetings that must be made available to shareholders through the company website.

Address of the website with information on corporate governance:
https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance

Address of the website with information on corporate governance:
https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting
C  STRUCTURE OF THE COMPANY’S ADMINISTRATION

C.1. Board of Directors

C.1.1 Maximum and minimum number of directors established in the articles of incorporation and the number set by the general meeting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of directors</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of directors</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of directors set by the general meeting</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.1.2 Complete the following table on Board members:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Category of director</th>
<th>Position on the board</th>
<th>Date first appointed</th>
<th>Date of last appointment</th>
<th>Election procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>21/05/2001</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ARMANDO MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ</td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>Chief executive officer</td>
<td>25/10/2022</td>
<td>25/10/2022</td>
<td>Interim appointment (co-option)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ SERNA</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director Lead</td>
<td>31/03/2017</td>
<td>18/06/2021</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>2nd Vice-Chair</td>
<td>13/04/2018</td>
<td>17/06/2022</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR IÑIGO VÍCTOR DE ORIOL IBARRA</td>
<td>Other external</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>26/04/2006</td>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>Other external</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>26/03/2010</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>17/02/2015</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMAZA</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>08/04/2016</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SARA DE LA RICA GOIRICELAYA</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>29/03/2019</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS NICOLA MARY BREWER</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>02/04/2020</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>20/10/2020</td>
<td>18/06/2021</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA ÁNGÈLES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>26/10/2021</td>
<td>17/06/2022</td>
<td>Resolution of Shareholders at General Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicate any cessations, whether through resignation or by resolution of the general meeting, that have taken place in the Board of Directors during the reporting period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Category of the director at the time of cessation</th>
<th>Date of last appointment</th>
<th>Date of cessation</th>
<th>Specialised committees of which he/she was a member</th>
<th>Indicate whether the director left before the end of his or her term of office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR FRANCISCO MARTÍNEZ CÓRCOLES</td>
<td>Other external</td>
<td>18/06/2021</td>
<td>25/10/2022</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for cessation when this occurs before the end of the term of office and other observations; information on whether the director has sent a letter to the remaining members of the board and, in the case of cessation of non-executive directors, explanation or opinion of the director dismissed by the general meeting.

Mr Francisco Martínez Córcoles tendered his resignation as director during the meeting of the Board of Directors held on 25 October 2022, stating at such meeting that he was tendering his resignation from the position of director exclusively in order to facilitate the changes in the Company’s governance that he considered to be most suitable at the time.

C.1.3 Complete the following tables on the members of the Board and their categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Post in organisation chart of the company</th>
<th>Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Name or company name of director | Post in organisation chart of the company | Profile |

| MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN | Executive Chairman | Salamanca, Spain, 1950.  
OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:
Chairman of the boards of directors of the Iberdrola group’s country subholding companies in the United Kingdom (Scottish Power Ltd.), the United States of America (Avangrid, Inc., a NYSE-listed company) and Brazil (Neoenergia, S.A., a company listed on the BOVESPA).
Chairman of the Renewable Hydrogen Coalition and a member of the group of top utility executives of the World Economic Forum (Davos), as well as a member of the European Round Table for Industry (ERT) and of the J.P. Morgan International Council.
Trustee of Fundación Principesa de Asturias, Fundación Carolina, Fundación Conocimiento y Desarroollo, Real Instituto Elcano and Real Patronato del Museo Nacional del Prado.
ACADEMIC TRAINING:
Industrial engineer with a degree from the Escuela Superior de Ingeniería (ICAI) of Universidad Pontificia Comillas (Madrid) and degrees in Business Administration and Foreign Trade from ICADE (Madrid) and in General Corporate Management and Foreign Trade from Escuela de Organización Industrial (EOI) in Madrid.
A recipient of honorary doctorate degrees from the universities of Salamanca, Edinburgh and Strathclyde (Glasgow), he has been on the faculty of Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería (ICAI) and is currently a visiting professor at the University of Strathclyde, chairman of the Social Council of Universidad de Salamanca, a trustee of the Comillas-ICAI University Foundation and a member of the Presidential Advisory Council of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT).
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:
He has served as chief operating officer of Industria de Turbo Propulsores, S.A. (ITP) and as chairman of the European aerospace consortium Eurojet (Germany). He has held various positions at Sociedad Española del Acumulador Tudor, S.A. (now, Exide Group, engaged in the manufacture and sale of batteries).
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:
In the telecommunications industry, he has been chief executive officer of Airtel Móvil, S.A. (now, Vodafone España, S.A.U.), and in the food industry, a member of the Supervisory Board of Nutreco Holding N.V., a listed company in The Netherlands, and a founding member and director of the Matarronera group (Spain).
SOME RECOGNITIONS:
– Management Leadership Award (Spanish Association for Quality) and Business Career Award (El Economista) in 2020.
– Recognised as one of the five Best-Performing CEOs in the World and the top in the utilities sector (Harvard Business Review), and as one of the 30 most influential leaders in the fight against climate change (Bloomberg) in 2019.
– National Innovation and Design Award in the Innovative Career category (Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities), Honourable Mention for his professional career (Official Industrial Engineers Association), and designation as Universal Spaniard (Fundación Independiente) in 2019.
– Honorary Member of the Spanish Institute of Engineering (2018).
– Best European Utility Top Executive Award (Institutional Investor Research Group), for the eleventh time, in 2017.
– Appointed by Queen Elizabeth II as a Commander of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire and recipient of the international Responsible Capitalism Award (First Group) in 2014.
– Best CEO of European utilities and of Spanish listed companies in investor relations (Thomson Extel Survey) in 2011.
– Business Leader of the Year Award (Spain-U.S. Chamber of Commerce) and recipient of the International Award for Economics (Fundación Cristóbal Gabarrón) in 2008.
– Best CEO of the Year (Platts Global Energy Awards) in 2006.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR ARMANDO MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ</th>
<th>Chief executive officer</th>
<th>Miranda de Ebro, Spain, 1968.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACADEMIC TRAINING:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Degree in industrial engineering (with a major in electricity) from Universidad de Valladolid (Spain) and a diploma in Company Management from Instituto Panamericano de Alta Dirección de Empresa, IPADE Business School.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:</td>
<td></td>
<td>He has spent most of his professional career at the Iberdrola group and has more than 25 years’ experience in the energy industry. In 1997 he joined Iberdrola as director of the Santurce (Biscay) thermal power plant. From 2000 to 2014 he worked at Iberdrola México, S.A. de C.V., first as director of Generation, and from 2011 onwards, as general director of that Mexican company. In July 2014 he was appointed as general director of the Global Liberalised Business. From February 2016 to October 2021, he held the position of director of the Networks Business. In October 2021 he was appointed as Business CEO, effective from 1 November 2021, with overall responsibility for all businesses at the global level. He has chaired the boards of directors of Iberdrola España, S.A. (Sociedad Unipersonal), Iberdrola Redes España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal), Scottish Power Energy Networks Holdings Ltd., “Avangrid Networks, Inc.”, “Neoenegria Distribuição Brasilia S.A.”, “Iberdrola Clientes, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal), of Scottish Power Retail Holdings Ltd., “Iberdrola México, S.A. de C.V.” and “Iberdrola México Energía S.A. de C.V.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total number of executive directors | 2 |
| Percentage of Board | 14.29 |

**EXTERNAL PROPRIETARY DIRECTORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Name or company name of the significant shareholder represented by the director or that nominated the director</th>
<th>Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name or company name of director</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ SERNA</td>
<td>Madrid, Spain, 1955. OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES: Chairman of “Tuero Medioambiente, S.L.”, manager of “Tuero Portugal Unipessoal Lda.”, joint and several administrator of “GSU Found, S.L.”, a director of “HM Hospitales 1989, S.A.” and of “Homming, S.L.”, and chair of the Sustainability Committee of the Spanish Commercial Coding Association (Asociación Española de Codificación Comercial) (AECOC). ACADEMIC TRAINING: He has a degree in Law, Economics and Business Studies from the Instituto Católico de Administración y Dirección de Empresas (ICADE) of the Universidad Pontificia Comillas (Madrid) and a Master’s in Business Administration (MBA) from the Escuela de Dirección del Instituto de Estudios Superiores de la Empresa (IESE Business School) of the University of Navarra in Barcelona. NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR: He has been an independent director of the Iberdrola group’s country subholding company in Spain, “Iberdrola España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal), and of “Iberdrola Renovables, S.A.”, as well as chair of the Appointments and Remuneration Committee of the latter company. NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES: He has been a member of the advisory board of Rabobank in Spain and Europe, as well as a director of “Banco Urquijo Sabadell Banca Privada, S.A.” and of “Sociedad para el Desarrollo Industrial de Castilla y León, Sociedad de Capital Riesgo, S.A.” (SODICAL, now “Ade Capital Social, Sociedad de Capital Riesgo de Régimen Común, S.A.”). He is a founding trustee and chairman of Fundación GSU Found, an honorary member of the General Assembly of the Spanish Paralympics Committee, a trustee of the Fundación Casa Ducal de Medinaceli, and honorary president of Empresa Familiar de Castilla y León. In 1991, together with his spouse, Lucía Urbán, he founded Grupo SIRO, now Cerealto Siro Foods, of which he was chairman for 31 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:  
Managing Partner of Brookfield Partners Private Equity Group, senior adviser of Brunswick Group, LLP and a member of the advisory boards of the Centre for European Reform, the German Marshall Fund and the European Policy Centre.  
ACADEMIC TRAINING:  
He studied Government at Harvard University and International Relations at the University of Oxford.  
He holds a Juris Doctor degree from Columbia Law School and a Masters in Finance from London Business School.  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:  
He has been a member of the Sustainable Development Committee of Iberdrola. He has also been an independent director of the Iberdrola group’s country subholding company in the United Kingdom, “Scottish Power, Ltd.”, and a member of that company’s Audit and Compliance Committee.  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:  
He was the US ambassador to the European Union from 2014 to 2017. Prior to that appointment, for six years he was the managing director at Palamon Capital Partners, a private equity firm based in London. He was also the director of one of the finance departments of Bank of America and of GE Capital, as well as director in the international acquisitions group of GE International. He has worked as an attorney at international law firms in London, Paris, New York and Brussels. He has dedicated more than twenty years of his career to US-European affairs, as a government official, lawyer and investor. As Director for European Affairs on the National Security Council (1994-1995), he worked closely with the US Mission to the European Union to launch the New Transatlantic Agenda. He previously worked with the Treuhandanstalt (German Privatisation Ministry) in Berlin, the Stock Exchange Operations Committee in Paris and as secondee for the European Commission in Brussels. He was also an adviser of the law firm “Sidley Austin LLP” and of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. |
| MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ | Pontevedra, Spain, 1953.  
OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:  
President of “Seaplace, S.L.”, sole director of “H.I. de Iberia Ingeniería y Proyectos, S.L.” and of “Howard Ingeniería y Desarrollo, S.L.”, a director of “Tubacex, S.A.” and a member of the Spanish Committee of Lloyd’s Register EMEA, and also a professor of the Master’s Programme in Oil at Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (ETSIM), and of the Maritime Master’s Programme of Instituto Marítimo Español and of Universidad Pontificia Comillas.  
ACADEMIC TRAINING:  
Doctorate in naval engineering from Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Navales (ETSIN) of the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, and Master’s degree in Oceanic Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT).  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:  
He has been a member of the Sustainable Development Committee of Iberdrola, of the Board of Directors of “Iberdrola Renovables, S.A.” and a director and member of the Audit and Compliance Committee of “Gamesa Corporación Tecnológica, S.A.” (now “Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy, S.A.”).  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:  
He has been a member of the board of “Metalships and Docks, S.A.,” “Neumáticas de Vigo, S.A.” and “Rodman Polyships, S.A.”, dean of the Colegio Oficial de Ingenieros Navales y Oceánicos de Madrid y de España, president of the Spanish Institute of Engineering, and a professor of the Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Navales of the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid and for the Repsol’s Master’s Programme in Oil. |
**MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMAZA**

Portugalete, Spain, 1972.

**OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:**

He is chair of the Board of Trustees of Bilbao Bizkaia Kutxa Fundación Bancaria-Bilbao Bizkaia Kutxa Banku Fundazioa and of BBK Fundazioa, as well as a trustee of the Biocruces Sanitary Research Institute, of the Bilbao Museum of Fines Arts and of the Guggenheim Museum Foundation, at which he also serves as a member of the Executive Committee.

He is a member of the Board of Directors of the Orkestra Basque Institute of Competitiveness and of the Management Council of Universidad de Deusto, and is a visiting professor at various institutions.

**ACADEMIC TRAINING:**

Degree in Economics and Business from Universidad del País Vasco, with a major in Finance, holder of postgraduate degrees in various areas, and certified training in information technology risks.

**NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:**

He has been a director of “Iberdrola Generación, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and a member of its Audit and Compliance Committee, as well as a director of “Iberdrola Distribución Eléctrica, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal), at which he has held the position of chair of the Audit and Compliance Committee.

**NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:**

He has been the director of the Expansion and Assets area of the credit institution Ipar Kutxa, managing director of the concessionaire Transitia and a member of the Board of the Bilbao Port Authority.

In addition, he has been chair and vice-chair of the Board of Directors of Caja de Ahorros Bilbao Bizkaia Kutxa, Aurrezki Kutxa eta Bahtetxeak (BBK) and chair of its Audit Committee, as well as chair of the Board of Trustees of Fundación Eragintza. In 2021 he received the “Top Talent Saria CEO” award from Grupo Noticias. In 2022 he won the “Tu Economía” award in the best business management category (La Razón), was recognised in the financial organisation category in the 1st Edition of the Carlos V National Awards for Business Excellence (European Society for Social and Cultural Promotion) and he was chosen as “CEO of the Year” in the 9th Edition of the Capital Awards (Premios Capital).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Bilbao, Spain, 1963.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:</strong></td>
<td>Director of Fundación ISEAK (Initiative for Socio-economic Analysis and Knowledge), a member of the Think Tank of AMETIC (Asociación Multisectorial de Empresas de la Electrónica, las Tecnologías de la Información y la Comunicación, de las Telecomunicaciones y de los Contenidos Digitales), an honorary member of the Spanish Economics Association (Asociación Española de Economía), an associate researcher at CreAM (Centre for Research and Analysis of Migration – London University College) and at IZA (Institute of Labor Economics - Bonn), and a Professor of Economic Studies at the University of the Basque Country. She is a member of the Economic Affairs Advisory Council, which advises the First Vice-President of the Government of Spain and Minister for the Economy and Digital Transformation, as well as member of the Advisory Commission to the Ministry of Work and Social Economy on the matter of Minimum Interprofessional Salary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACADEMIC TRAINING:</strong></td>
<td>With a PhD in Economics from the University of the Basque Country, she has dedicated a large portion of her professional life to the study of and search for solutions on issues such as immigration, the labour market, gender equality and poverty. She regularly publishes academic articles in domestic and international magazines dealing with economic issues, mainly related to labour, participates in conferences and seminars, and supervises graduate students in their dissertations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:</strong></td>
<td>She has been a member of the Appointments Committee of Iberdrola. She has also been an independent director of the Iberdrola group's country subholding company in Spain, “Iberdrola España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:</strong></td>
<td>She has been president and secretary of the European Society for Population Economics and a member of its Executive Committee, chair of the Committee on the Situation of Women in Economics (COSME), and a member of the Economic and Social Council (CES). She has also been the secretary of the Spanish Economics Association (AEE). In addition, she has been a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of Fundación Gadea and of the Scientific Committee of the Basque Institute for the Evaluation of the Educational System (IVEI-ISEI). Furthermore, she has been a member of the Board of Directors of Basquetour, Turismoaren Euskal Agentzia, Agencia Vasca de Turismo, S.A., a government-owned company of the Department of Tourism, Trade and Consumption of the Basque Government, created to lead the promotion and implementation of the competitiveness strategy of Basque tourism. She has worked on editorial boards and/or research project review boards. In 2018 she was given the “2018 Basque Economist Award” (Ekonomistak Saria 2018) by the Basque Association of Economists (Colegio Vasco de Economistas).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MS NICOLA MARY BREWER</strong></td>
<td>Taplow, United Kingdom, 1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:</strong></td>
<td>She is an independent director of “The Weir Group plc”, a visiting professor at University College London and a member of the international think tank Trilateral Commission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACADEMIC TRAINING:</strong></td>
<td>She was educated at the Belfast Royal Academy and read English at the University of Leeds, graduating with a BA in 1980 and then taking a Doctorate in linguistics in 1988. She was granted an Honorary Doctorate of Laws from the University of Leeds in 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:</strong></td>
<td>She has been an independent director of the Iberdrola group’s country subholding company in the United Kingdom, “Scottish Power, Ltd.”, and a non-executive director of “Aggreko plc”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:</strong></td>
<td>She has been a diplomat and the Founding Director of the Diplomatic Academy of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (“FCO”) of the British government. She succeeded Mr Paul Boateng as British High Commissioner to South Africa, Swaziland and Lesotho. She was appointed by open competition as the first Chief Executive of the newly established Equality and Human Rights Commission, the successor body to the Commission for Racial Equality, the Disability Rights Commission and the Equal Opportunities Commission. She was Director-General for Europe at the FCO, leading the FCO's contribution to the UK’s 2005 Presidency of the European Union, advising the Foreign Secretary and the Minister on the European Union and other European policy issues. She also served as the FCO’s Director for Global Issues and then as Director-General for Regional Programmes at the Department for International Development (DfID), supervising the UK’s overseas bilateral aid programmes. She joined the FCO and completed overseas postings in South Africa, India, France and Mexico. She was appointed Companion of the Order of St Michael and St George (CMG) in the 2003 New Year Honours and Dame Commander of the Order of St Michael and St George (DCMG) in the 2011 Birthday Honours. She was vice-provost (international) at University College London, a trustee of the charity institution Sentebale and a director of the non-profit organisation London First.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
São Paulo, Brazil, 1965

OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Founder and CEO of “RNA Capital Ltda.” and director of “Cielo S.A.”

ACADEMIC TRAINING:

Degree in Business Administration from Mackenzie University. She attended courses in Trade Finance and Corporate Finance at the School of Continuing Studies at New York University, Leadership at Columbia University, and International, Global and Multinational Business Development at INSEAD Fontainebleau.

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:

She has held the position of independent director at “Neoenergia S.A.”, the Iberdrola group’s country subholding company in Brazil.

She has been an independent director and member of the Audit Committee of “Companhia Distribuidora de Gás do Rio de Janeiro S.A.”, the main activity of which is the distribution and retail sale of natural gas in the State of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil).

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:

She has more than 30 years of experience in the domestic and international financial market.

She has been a member of the Risk and Capital Committee of Banco do Brasil, an independent director of “IRB-Brasil Ressseguros, S.A.”, coordinating chair of its Risk and Solvency Committee and member of its Investments, Capital Structure and Dividend Committee, as well as a member of the Advisory Board of “Mercado Eletrônico S.A.”, a B2B e-commerce company.

She worked for 20 years at S&P Global Ratings. She was president of operations in Brazil and Argentina, and was Head of the Southern Cone in Latin America, Deputy-Head in Latin America, board member of BRC Ratings (Colombia) and head of Global Development Markets.

Before joining S&P, she also worked at other financial institutions such as Chase Manhattan and Citibank in the areas of credit and risk analysis. At the Commercial Bank of New York, she led the Credit and Correspondent Banking and Risk (Trade Finance) Areas focused on Latin America.

For three years, she was an independent consultant in Brazil, having worked on privatisation programmes, investments of international funds in the Brazilian market, M&A and financial engineering projects.
MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA

Ávila, Spain, 1958

OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Founding partner and member of the board of directors of "MA Abogados Estudio Jurídico, S.L.P.", sole director and professional partner of "Doble A Estudios y Análisis, S.L.P.", managing partner of "Michavila Acebes Abogados, S.L.P.", as well as a trustee of Fundación para el Análisis y Estudios Sociales (FAES) and of Fundación España Constitucional.

ACADEMIC TRAINING:

Degree in Law from Universidad de Salamanca.

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:

As a lawyer, he has advised companies in the energy and the industrial and technology sectors, among others.

From 2012 to 2019 he was an independent director of Iberdrola (during part of that period, he was also a member of its Executive Committee and of its Appointments Committee).

After the IPO flotation of "Bankia, S.A.", he was a director of "Banco Financiero y de Ahorros, S.A.", acting as chairman of its Audit and Compliance Committee.

He also has significant knowledge of the regulatory area due to his work as a member of the Council of Ministers of the Government of Spain, a senator and a national deputy.

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:

He has served on the board of "Caja Madrid Cibeles, S.A.", which manages the investments of Grupo Caja Madrid in other companies with activities in the financial and insurance sectors, as well as the retail banking sector outside of Spain.

In the institutional arena, he has been Minister for Public Administrations, Minister of Justice, and Minister of the Interior of the Spanish Government.
MS MARÍA ÁNGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ

Albacete, Spain, 1962.

OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Professor of Commercial Law at the University of Castilla-La Mancha and Of Counsel to the law firm "Ramón y Cajal Abogados, S.L.P.", director of "UCLM-Emprende, S.L." (Sociedad Unipersonal) and member of the General Codification Committee.

ACADEMIC TRAINING:

Degree in Law. Ph.D. in Commercial Law from the University of Castilla-La Mancha.

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:

She has been an independent director and a member of the Audit and Compliance Committee of the country subholding company of the Iberdrola group in Spain, "Iberdrola España, S.A." (Sociedad Unipersonal), as well as an independent director and member of the Finance and Remuneration and Succession Committees of the country subholding company of the Iberdrola group in Brazil, "Neoenergia S.A.".

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:

She has held several positions at the University of Castilla-La Mancha, including vice-chancellor for student affairs and general secretary, and is currently a professor of Commercial Law at that university.

She has been a visiting researcher at German universities and has been invited to participate in conferences and to lecture for undergraduate, graduate, master's and doctoral degrees at Spanish and foreign universities and research institutes.

She served as Director General of Registries and Notaries of the Ministry of Justice from 2009 to 2011, and since 2013 has advised large companies in her capacity as Of Counsel to the law firm "Ramón y Cajal Abogados, S.L.P."

She is the author of a large number of monographs, articles published in specialised publications and collective books on subjects like banking law, registry law, organisation and management of SMEs, contract and commercial distribution law, bankruptcy law, etc., with a high degree of specialisation in company law, the law applicable to listed companies, corporate governance and the stock market.
Other Current Positions and Professional Activities:

Senior Advisor at "Ernst & Young España, S.A." for sustainability issues and the agri-food sector, as well as an independent director of "Avanza Previsión Compañía de Seguros, S.A." and a member of its Audit Committee.

Academic Training:

Degree in Agricultural Engineering from the Polytechnic University of Madrid and degree in Law from the University of Valladolid.

She has a Master’s degree in European Communities from the Polytechnic University of Madrid, as well as a Master’s degree in Agricultural Economics from the University of California (Davis). She also attended the Global Senior Management Programme of the Instituto de Empresa and the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

Finally, she participated in the High-Level Business Energy Course (Curso Superior de Negocio Energético) organised by the Club Español de la Energía.

Noteworthy Experience in the Energy and Industrial Engineering Sector:

She has been an independent director of the Iberdrola Group's country subholding company in Brazil, "Neoenergia, S.A.", and a member of its Finance, Audit, Remuneration and Succession committees, as well as chair of the Sustainability Committee.

She has been the Director of Strategic Planning at the chemical fertiliser company "Fertiberia, S.A.", a member of the board of the Algerian fertiliser manufacturing company "Fertial SPA" and of "Sociedad Estatal de Infraestructuras Agrarias del Norte, S.A.", as well as a member of the Governing Board of the Spanish Ports System (Puertos del Estado). She has also been an independent director of "Primafrio, S.L.", the chair of its Innovation and Sustainability Committee, and a member of its Audit Committee.

Noteworthy Experience in Other Industries:

She was Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Environment of the Spanish Government between 2014 and 2018 and, prior to that, Secretary General for Agriculture and Food, during which time she participated in and led numerous complex European negotiations.

In particular, as Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Environment, she was responsible for the national climate change policy and for international negotiations in this field, having participated in several United Nations Climate Summits, including the Paris Summit in December 2015.

She was vice-chair of the High-level Inter-Ministerial Working Group on the 2030 Agenda.

She was awarded the Grand Cross of Charles III and was distinguished with the title of Commander of the Order of Agricultural Merit of France.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of independent directors</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Board</td>
<td>71.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate whether any director classified as independent receives from the company or any company in its group any amount or benefit other than remuneration as a director, or has or has had a business relationship with the company or any company in its group during the past year, whether in his or her own name or as a significant shareholder, director or senior executive of a company that has or has had such a relationship.
If so, include a reasoned statement by the Board explaining why it believes that the director in question can perform his or her duties as an independent director.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Description of the relationship</th>
<th>Reasoned statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER EXTERNAL DIRECTORS

Identify the other external directors, indicate the reasons why they cannot be considered either proprietary or independent, and detail their ties with the company or its management or shareholders:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Company, manager or shareholder to which or to whom the director is related</th>
<th>Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MR INIGO VICTOR DE ORIOL IBARRA  | More than 12 years have passed since appointment | Iberdrola | Madrid, Spain, 1962.  
ACADEMIC TRAINING:  
Bachelor of Arts and International Business from Schiller International University (Madrid), a graduate of the Executive Corporate Management Programme of IESE Business School, and Certified European Financial Analyst (CEFA) from Instituto Español de Analistas Financieros.  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:  
He has been chair of “Electricidad de La Paz, S.A.” (Bolivia), “Empresa de Luz y Fuerza Eléctrica de Oruro, S.A.” (Bolivia) and “Iberoamericana de Energía Ibener, S.A.” (Chile), as well as a member of the board of “Empresa de Alumbrado Eléctrico de Ceuta, S.A.”, “Neocenergia S.A.” (Brazil) and “Empresa Eléctrica de Guatemala, S.A.”  
He has also been a member of the Appointments Committee and of the Sustainable Development Committee of the Company, director of Corporate Governance for the Americas of Iberdrola, director of Management Control at “Amara, S.A.”, and a financial analyst in the Financial Division and the International Division of Iberdrola.  
NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:  
He has been chair of “Empresa de Servicios Sanitarios de Los Lagos, S.A.” in Chile. |
MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD

More than 12 years have passed since appointment

Iberdrola

Toulon, France, 1966.

OTHER CURRENT POSITIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Vice-chair of the Board of Directors and member of the Management Committee of “Grupo Antolín Irausa, S.A.”, vice president of the Excellence in Management Club (Club de Excelencia en Gestión), a member of the Management Board of the Spanish Association of Automotive Equipment and Component Manufacturers (Asociación Española de Fabricantes de Equipos y Componentes para Automoción) (Sernauto), a member of the Madrid and Central Spain Territorial Advisory Board of SabadellUrquijo Banca Privada, a member of the Executive Committee of the Spanish Confederation of Business Organisations (Confederación Española de Organizaciones Empresariales) (CEOE), a board member of France Foreign Trade (Comercio Exterior de Francia), Spain section, and a member of the Plenary Committee of the Spanish Chamber of Commerce.

ACADEMIC TRAINING:

Degree in International Business and Business Administration from Eckerd College, St. Petersburg, Florida (United States of America), and a Master of Business Administration from Anglia University, Cambridge (United Kingdom) and from Escuela Politécnica de Valencia (Spain).

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN THE ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING SECTOR:

She has been an external independent director of “Iberdrola Renovables, S.A.” and a member of its Related-Party Transactions Committee.

She has been in charge of the corporate Industrial and Strategy Divisions of “Grupo Antolín Irausa, S.A.”, where she has also been a director of Human Resources and the head of Total Quality.

NOTEWORTHY EXPERIENCE IN OTHER INDUSTRIES:

She has been a member of the Advisory Board of SabadellUrquijo Banca Privada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Date of change</th>
<th>Previous category</th>
<th>Current category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>26-03-2022</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Other external</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of other external directors: 2

Percentage of Board: 14.29

Indicate any changes that have occurred during the period in each director's category:
The change in the classification of Ms María Helena Antolín Raybaud is due to the passage of twelve years since her appointment as an independent director.

C.1.4 Complete the following table with information relating to the number of female directors at the close of the past four years, as well as the category of each:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of female directors</th>
<th>% of total directors for each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other External</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.1.5 Indicate whether the company has diversity policies in relation to its Board of Directors on such questions as age, gender, disability, education and professional experience. Small and medium-sized enterprises, in accordance with the definition set out in the Spanish Auditing Act, will have to report at least the policy that they have implemented in relation to gender diversity.

[ X ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] Partial policies

If so, describe these diversity policies, their objectives, the measures and the way in which they have been applied and their results over the year. Also indicate the specific measures adopted by the Board of Directors and the nomination and remuneration committee to achieve a balanced and diverse presence of directors.

If the company does not apply a diversity policy, explain the reasons why.

Description of policies, objectives, measures and how they have been applied, and results achieved

The Company’s Governance and Sustainability System, and particularly the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy, expressly promotes the search for candidates whose appointment favours a diversity of skills, knowledge, experience, origin, nationality, age and gender among the members of the Board of Directors.

For these purposes, said corporate policy provides that any type of bias that might entail any kind of discrimination shall be avoided, particularly any bias that hinders the appointment of female directors, establishing the goal that the number of female directors accounts for at least 40% of the total number of members of the Board of Directors. This policy also provides that in the selection of candidates, it shall endeavour to ensure a diverse and balanced composition of the Board of Directors overall, such that decision-making is enriched and multiple viewpoints are contributed to the discussion of the matters within its purview, and that the diversity criteria shall be chosen based on the nature and complexity of the businesses, as well as the social and environmental context.
In any case, the candidates must be respectful persons, widely recognised for their expertise, competence, experience, qualifications, training, availability and commitment to their duties. Furthermore, the selection process shall promote a search for candidates with knowledge and experience in the main countries and sectors in which the companies of the Iberdrola group do or will do business, and the directors must have a sufficient knowledge of Spanish and English to perform their duties. They must also be irreproachable professionals, whose conduct and professional track record is aligned with the principles set forth in the Code of Ethics and with the corporate values contained in the Purpose and Values of the Iberdrola group.

This selection shall be based on an analysis of the needs of the Company and of the other companies of the Iberdrola group, which must be carried out by the Board of Directors with the advice of the Appointments Committee.

In this regard, the Regulations of the Appointments Committee assign to this committee the duty to periodically review, evaluate compliance with and propose changes to the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy. In addition, the Board of Directors is vested with the power to periodically evaluate the level of compliance with and effectiveness of this policy.

As a result, the Board of Directors has a diverse composition considering multiple factors, including:

- the varied skills, knowledge and professional backgrounds provided by the existence of a large majority of external directors (85.71%, compared to 14.29% of executive directors), and particularly of independent directors (71.43%), whose profiles are included in section C.1.3 of this report;

- the presence of directors with six nationalities (Spain, France, Italy, Great Britain, United States of America and Brazil) in line with the international coverage of the Iberdrola group; and

- the balanced presence of women and men (50/50 distribution of external directors of each gender and no gender with a representation of less than 42.86% of the total number of directors), further details of which are provided in the following section of this report.

The Company reaffirms these commitments to diversity in the update of ESG targets published on 9 November 2022 within the framework of “Capital Markets & ESG Day”, expressly including the promotion of diversity within the Board of Directors and the existence of at least forty per cent of female directors within the governance targets.

C.1.6 Describe the measures, if any, agreed upon by the nomination committee to ensure that selection procedures do not contain hidden biases which impede the selection of female directors and that the company deliberately seeks and includes women who meet the target professional profile among potential candidates, making it possible to achieve a balance between men and women. Also indicate whether these measures include encouraging the company to have a significant number of female senior executives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanation of measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Iberdrola recognises the development of professional relations based on equal opportunities to be a strategic objective, and in particular considers gender equality to be part of the organisation’s core values.

The Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy and the selection of its members has allowed the Company to achieve a balanced presence of women and men, which is reflected in the 50/50 distribution of external directors between both genders and in no gender with a representation of less than 42.86% of the total number of directors.

Iberdrola thus antedated compliance with applicable requirements since 2021 by continuously meeting the goal set out in the Good Governance Code of Listed Companies of 40% female board members by the end of 2022, with a

The annex to this report describes the resolutions adopted in application of this corporate policy, which is subject to regular review and evaluation by the Appointments Committee, allowing the Company to achieve the current balanced presence of women and men on the Board of Directors.

In turn, the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Policy promotes gender equality at all companies of the group in accordance with international best practices and goal five of the Sustainable Development Goals ("SDGs") approved by the United Nations, particularly as regards access to employment, professional training and promotion, and working conditions.

Iberdrola’s Diversity and Inclusion Division is responsible for the implementation, monitoring and verification of compliance with the policy, which provides for the following actions, among others: (i) analysing affirmative measures to correct any inequalities that may arise and to promote access by the underrepresented gender to positions of responsibility in which they have little or no representation; (ii) guaranteeing the principle of equal opportunity in professional development, removing any obstacles that may impede or limit careers due to gender; (iii) promoting mechanisms and procedures for selection and professional development that facilitate the presence of the underrepresented gender with the necessary qualifications in all areas of the organisation in which it is underrepresented, e.g. through the implementation of programmes that encourage the companies of the Iberdrola group to have a significant number of female senior officers; (iv) ensuring balanced representation in the various decision-making bodies and levels, guaranteeing participation under conditions of equal opportunity in all areas of consultation and decision-making; (v) promoting the organisation of working conditions with a gender perspective; (vi) establishing protective measures for women to prevent certain situations specific to this group from having a negative impact on their professional careers; and (vii) strengthening the Iberdrola group’s commitment to gender equality both within the organisation and in society and raising awareness.

Furthermore, the annual and multi-annual variable remuneration of executive directors includes goals to reduce the gender gap, highlighting the presence of women in high-ranking positions and positions of responsibility (management positions, middle management and highly qualified technical positions), as well as ensuring the absence of a salary gap:

- The Company’s ESG targets published on 9 November 2022 within the framework of “Capital Markets & ESG Day” included the presence of women in significant positions (the targets being 30% by 2025 and 35% by 2030) and the presence of women in positions of responsibility (the targets being 35% by 2025 and 36% by 2030). Section C.1.14 of this Report shows that the percentage of the Company’s top female executives is above 30% at year-end 2022.

- The absence of a pay gap between women and men is one of the SDG parameters used to determine the calculation of the long-term variable remuneration of the executive directors, management personnel and other professionals of Iberdrola and other companies of the group, specifically, the 2020-2022 Strategic Bonus approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 2 April 2020. The pay gap is defined as the difference between the average remuneration of women and men working at the companies of the group. And remuneration is considered to be the full-time equivalent annualised salary at 31 December 2020, 2021 and 2022, plus supplements and annual variable remuneration received during the corresponding year. As indicated in section A.3 of this report, the Board of Directors, upon a proposal of the Remuneration Committee, must evaluate the Company’s performance against the objectives of the 2020-2022 Strategic Bonus.

Finally, the Company’s ESG targets published on 9 November 2022 within the framework of the “Capital Markets & ESG Day” included the receipt of external certification of equal gender pay by 2025 to ensure compliance with applicable legal provisions and with the Company’s commitments, in order to continue fostering equilibrium between women and men within the organisation.

If in spite of any measures adopted there are few or no female directors or senior managers, explain the reasons for this:
Not applicable

C.1.7 Explain the conclusions of the nomination committee regarding verification of compliance with the policy aimed at promoting an appropriate composition of the Board of Directors.

Taking into consideration the information contained in the two preceding sections of this report, the Appointments Committee believes that Iberdrola is applying the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy in a fully consistent manner and that the composition of its Board of Directors is balanced and diverse.

C.1.8 If applicable, explain the reasons for the appointment of any proprietary directors at the request of shareholders with less than a 3% equity interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of shareholder</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate whether the Board has declined any formal requests for presence on the Board from shareholders whose equity interest is equal to or greater than that of others at whose request proprietary directors have been appointed. If so, explain why the requests were not granted:

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

C1.9 Indicate the powers, if any, delegated by the Board of Directors, including those relating to the option of issuing or repurchasing shares, to directors or board committees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director or committee</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>As executive chairman, he has all the powers that may be delegated under the law and the By-Laws. He assumes all the duties that are not expressly assigned to the chief executive officer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ARMANDO MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ</td>
<td>As chief executive officer, he has all the powers that may be delegated under the law and the By-Laws. He has overall responsibility for coordinating the management of the businesses of the companies of the group, with the highest executive duties in that area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>All the powers inherent to the Board of Directors, except for those powers that may not be delegated pursuant to law or the Governance and Sustainability System, including the ability to issue or repurchase shares (as approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting), are delegated thereto.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.1.10 Identify any members of the Board who are also directors, representatives of directors or managers in other companies forming part of the listed company’s group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of director</th>
<th>Company name of the group entity</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Does the director have executive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>AVANGRID, INC.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>NEOENERGIA S.A.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>SCOTTISH POWER LTD.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.1.11 List the positions of director, administrator or representative thereof, held by directors or representatives of directors who are members of the company’s board of directors in other entities, whether or not they are listed companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identity of the director or representative</th>
<th>Company name of the listed or non-listed entity</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ SERNA</td>
<td>GSU Found, S.L.</td>
<td>Joint and several director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuero Medioambiente, S.L.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HM Hospitales 1989, S.A.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homming, S.L.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>Grupo Antolín Irausa, S.A.</td>
<td>Vice-Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>Seaplace, S.L.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.I. de Iberia Ingeniería y Proyectos, S.L.</td>
<td>Sole Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard Ingeniería y Desarrollo, S.L.</td>
<td>Sole Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tubacex, S.A.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMAZA</td>
<td>Bilbao Bizkaia Kutxa Fundación Bancaria - Bilbao Bizkaia Kutxa Banku Fundazioa</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BBK Fundazioa</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORKESTRA-Basque Institute of Competitiveness</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Universidad de Deusto</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS NICOLA MARY BREWER</td>
<td>The Weir Group plc.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>Cielo S.A.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>MA Abogados Estudio Jurídico, S.L.P.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doble A Estudios y Análisis, S.L.P.</td>
<td>Sole Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA ÁNGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>UCLM-Empleo, S.L.U. (Sociedad Unipersonal)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ISABEL GARCÍA TEJERINA</td>
<td>Avanza Previsión Compañía de Seguros, S.A.</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The positions described above for which the directors receive remuneration are specified below:

- Mr Juan Manuel González Serna: joint and several director of “GSU Found, S.L.”.
- Ms María Helena Antolín Raybaud: vice-chair of “Grupo Antolín Irausa, S.A.”.
- Mr Manuel Moreu Munaiz: president of “Seaplace, S.L.”; director of “Tubacex, S.A.”.
The profiles of the directors available in section C.1.3 of this report show other non-remunerated positions (e.g. memberships on the boards of trustees of foundations) that have not been included in the preceding table because they are not provided for in the drop-down list of the form.

Indicate, where appropriate, the other remunerated activities of the directors or directors’ representatives, whatever their nature, other than those indicated in the previous table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identity of the director or representative</th>
<th>Other paid activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>Managing Partner of “Brookfield Private Equity Group”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Adviser of “Brunswick Group, LLP”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>Member of the Territorial Advisory Board of SabadellUrquijo Banca Privada de Madrid y Centro de España.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>Professor of the Master’s Programme in Maritime Law of the Spanish Maritime Institute and of Universidad Pontificia de Comillas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor of the Master’s Programme in Oil at Universidad Politécnica de Madrid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SARA DE LA RICA GOIRICELAYA</td>
<td>Director of Fundación ISEAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor of Economics at University of the Basque Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>Founder and CEO of RNA Capital Ltda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA ÁNGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>Of Counsel at “Ramón y Cajal Abogados, S.L.P.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor of Commercial Law at Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ISABEL GARCÍA TEJERINA</td>
<td>Senior Advisor for sustainability issues and the agri-food sector at “Ernst &amp; Young España, S.A.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.1.12 Indicate whether the company has established rules on the maximum number of company boards on which its directors may sit, explaining if necessary and identifying where this is regulated, if applicable:

[ ] Yes  [ ] No

Explanation of the rules and identification of the document where this is regulated

Pursuant to the Regulations of the Board of Directors, those persons serving as directors in more than five companies, of which no more than three may have shares trading on domestic or foreign stock exchanges, may not be appointed.
as directors. Positions within holding companies are excluded from the calculation. Furthermore, companies belonging to the same group shall be deemed to be a single company.

C.1.13 Indicate the remuneration received by the Board of Directors as a whole for the following items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remuneration accruing in favour of the Board of Directors in the financial year (thousands of euros)</th>
<th>22,520</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funds accumulated by current directors for long-term savings systems with consolidated economic rights (thousands of euros)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds accumulated by current directors for long-term savings systems with unconsolidated economic rights (thousands of euros)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds accumulated by former directors for long-term savings systems (thousands of euros)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This amount includes the remuneration received by the group of directors for their performance as such during financial year 2022 (€6,258 thousand, which includes fixed remuneration, attendance fees and other items such as insurance policies) as well as the remuneration received for the performance of the executive duties of the members of the Board of Directors (including salaries, annual variable remuneration, payment of the third period of the 2017-2019 Strategic Bonus and other items such as insurance policies), all of which is duly described in the Annual Director Remuneration Report. The amounts accrued by Mr Francisco Martínez Córcoles, who ceased to be a director on 25 October 2022, are included.

C.1.14 Identify members of senior management who are not also executive directors and indicate their total remuneration accrued during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name</th>
<th>Position(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ SAINZ ARMADA</td>
<td>Finance, Control and Corporate Development Director (CFO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR SANTIAGO MARTÍNEZ GARRIDO</td>
<td>General Secretary and Director of Legal Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER VITERI SOLAUN</td>
<td>Director of the Renewable Energy Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR AITOR MOSO RAIGOSO</td>
<td>Director of the Retail Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ELENA LEÓN MUNOZ</td>
<td>Director of the Networks Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ASÍS CANALES ABAITUA</td>
<td>Director of People and Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN CARLOS REBOLLO LICEAGA</td>
<td>Risk Management and Internal Assurance Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SONSOLES RUBIO REINOSO</td>
<td>Internal Audit Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARIA DOLORES HERRERA PEREDA</td>
<td>Director of Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR AGUSTÍN DELGADO MARTIN</td>
<td>Director of Innovation and Sustainability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of women in senior management | 3 |
| Percentage of total senior management | 30 |

| Total remuneration of senior management (thousands of euros) | 20,889 |

Mr. Pedro Azagra Blázquez resigned as Director of Corporate Development on 1 June 2022.

The appointment of Mr Armando Martínez Martínez as a member of the Board of Directors, with the position of chief executive officer, was approved was approved on 25 October 2022.

Mr Agustí Delgado Martín joined Senior Management as Director of Innovation and Sustainability on 15 November 2022.
The amount of fixed and variable remuneration of the officers and other professionals with management responsibilities not included in Iberdrola’s senior management amounted to €136,277 thousand in 2022 (734 people) and €131,942 thousand in 2021 (767 people), affected by the exchange rate.

C.1.15 Indicate whether the Board regulations were amended during the year:

[X] Yes  [ ] No

Description of amendment(s)

As part of the process of ongoing review of the Governance and Sustainability System, in addition to certain technical improvements, amendments have been made to the Regulations of the Board of Directors in order to, among other things: (i) assign to the Sustainable Development Committee the duty to receive periodic information on the measures and procedures adopted within the Iberdrola group to implement and monitor compliance with the provisions of the Policy on Respect for Human Rights, as well as report thereon to the Board of Directors, (ii) include the term “human capital” to include and showcase the skills and abilities of the professionals of the Iberdrola group’s companies, as a concept unto itself and different than the term human resources, which mainly refers to the management of these professionals, (iii) provide for the payment of financial incentives for participation in the General Shareholders’ Meeting other than the attendance bonus, in line with the amendments of the By-Laws and the Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting approved at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 17 June 2022, (iv) adjust the powers of the Appointments Committee regarding the appointment and removal of external directors of companies belonging to the Iberdrola group, and (v) adjust the text thereof to the separation of executive positions and the appointment of a new chief executive officer at the Company.

C.1.16 Specify the procedures for selection, appointment, re-election and removal of directors. List the competent bodies, steps to follow and criteria applied in each procedure.

1. SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND RE-ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The appointment and re-election of directors is within the purview of the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting.

Vacancies that occur may be filled by the Board of Directors on an interim basis (co-option procedure) until the next General Shareholders’ Meeting.

The Appointments Committee advises the Board of Directors regarding the most appropriate configuration of the Board of Directors itself and of the committees thereof as regards size and equilibrium among the various classes of directors by taking into account, in all cases, the requirements that must be met by director candidates pursuant to the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy.

The Appointments Committee shall also review the criteria for the selection of candidates for directors and assist the Board of Directors in defining the profiles that these candidates must meet, in view of the needs of the Board of Directors and based on the areas within the Board that should be strengthened, as well as ensure that the selection procedures do not suffer from implicit biases that could entail any discrimination, and particularly that could hinder the selection of female directors.

The Board of Directors and the Appointments Committee, within the scope of their powers, shall endeavour to ensure that the candidates proposed are respectable and qualified persons, widely recognised for their expertise, competence, experience, qualifications, training, availability, and commitment to their duties. In the selection of
candidates, it shall also endeavour to ensure the achievement of appropriate balance within the Board of Directors as a whole, such that decision-making is enriched and multiple viewpoints are contributed to the discussion of the matters within its purview.

Specifically, it falls upon the Appointments Committee to propose the independent directors, as well as to report upon the proposals relating to the other classes of directors. For these purposes, during the selection process, the chairman or one of the members of the Appointments Committee shall meet with each of the candidates for director before the issuance of its report or proposal. If the Board of Directors deviates from the proposals and reports of the Appointments Committee, it shall give reasons for so acting and shall record such reasons in the minutes.

The following may not be appointed as directors:

a. Legal entities.

b. Persons who hold the position of director or who are members of senior management of domestic or foreign companies competing with the Company in the energy industry or other industries, or such persons, if any, as are proposed by them in their capacity as shareholders.

c. Persons serving as directors in more than five companies, of which no more than three may have shares trading on domestic or foreign stock exchanges. Positions within holding companies are excluded from the calculation. Furthermore, companies belonging to the same group shall be deemed to be a single company.

d. Persons who, during the two years prior to their appointment, have occupied high-level positions in Spanish government administrations that are incompatible with the simultaneous performance of the duties of a director of a listed company under Spanish national or autonomous community law, or positions of responsibility with entities regulating the energy industry, the securities markets or other industries in which the Iberdrola group’s companies operate.

e. Persons that are under any other circumstance of disqualification or prohibition governed by provisions of a general nature, including those that have interests in any way opposed to those of the Company or the Iberdrola group.

In the case of re-election of directors, the Appointments Committee shall evaluate the quality of the work and dedication to the position of the directors proposed during the previous term of office, and expressly their respectability, suitability, expertise, competence, availability and commitment to their duties. Prior to the expiry of the term for which a director has been appointed, the Appointments Committee shall also examine the advisability of the re-election thereof.

2. REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

Directors serve in their position for a term of four years, so long as the shareholders acting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting do not resolve to remove them and they do not resign from their position.

The Appointments Committee must inform the Board of Directors regarding proposed removals due to breach of the duties inherent to the position of director or due to a director becoming affected by supervening circumstances of mandatory resignation or withdrawal. It may also propose the removal of directors in the event of disqualification, structural conflict of interest or any other reason for resignation or cessation of office, pursuant to law or the Governance and Sustainability System.

The Board of Directors may propose the removal of an independent director before the passage of the period provided for in the By-Laws only upon sufficient grounds, evaluated by the Board of Directors after a report from the Appointments Committee, or as a consequence of takeover bids, mergers or other similar corporate transactions resulting in a significant change in the shareholding structure of the Company, as recommended by the Good Governance Code of Listed Companies.
C.1.17 Explain to what extent the annual evaluation of the Board has given rise to significant changes in its internal organisation and in the procedures applicable to its activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of amendment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Iberdrola is firmly committed to the ongoing development of its corporate governance and complying with the best practices in force at all times. For these purposes, the Board of Directors annually evaluates the operation of its governance bodies and the performance of the directors.

The evaluation process for financial year 2022 concluded with a very positive assessment of the quality and efficiency of the operation of the Board of Directors and the committees thereof, as well as of the performance of the chairman, the chief executive officer and the other directors. No deficiencies were identified that required the implementation of an action plan to correct them. Without prejudice to all of the foregoing, the customary Continuous Improvement Plan was approved, which pursues excellence both in internal organisation and in the procedures applicable to the activities of the corporate bodies.

The results of the evaluation also demonstrated 100% achievement of the 30 areas of work defined in the Continuous Improvement Plan approved as a result of the evaluation of financial year 2021.

The following milestones were also achieved during financial year 2022:

**Composition:**
- Separation of the roles of executive chairman and chief executive officer.
- Continuous renewal of the Board of Directors with the appointment of Mr Armando Martínez Martínez as chief executive officer.

**Development of competencies:**
- Update of skills matrix.
- Monitoring of the Outlook 2020-2025 and approval of the new Strategic Plan 2023-2025.
- Comprehensive monitoring of risks, particularly macroeconomic risks and their impact on the markets.
- Monitoring by the committees of the results of the General Shareholders’ Meeting according to their respective powers.
- Reorganisation of the Company’s organisation chart to adjust it to the separation of the roles of executive chairman and chief executive officer, as well as to strengthen the global nature of Iberdrola and its commitment to innovation and talent. In particular, the Management Development Division was created within the Chairman’s Office, the main function of which will be to manage and retain the talent of the management team, and the Director of Innovation and Sustainability became part of the Company’s senior management.

**Operation:**
- Presentations by the chairs of consultative committees regarding the activities of those bodies, with the scope and detail required at meetings of the Board of Directors.
- Training on key topics for the Board of Directors and its committees (e.g. cybersecurity, non-financial information, taxonomy, regulatory developments, etc.) and in line with the interests expressed by the directors.

**Environmental and social issues:**
- Update of the Climate Action Plan.
- Oversight of social aspects of the ESG strategy.

**Transparency:**
- Organisation of Capital Markets & ESG Day, thereby reinforcing communication to the market of both financial results and ESG metrics. Specific reporting on the Cybersecurity Governance Model.
Description of the evaluation process and the areas evaluated by the Board of Directors with or without the help of an external advisor, regarding the functioning and composition of the Board and its committees and any other area or aspect that has been evaluated.

### Description of the evaluation process and areas evaluated

The Appointments Committee coordinates the evaluation of the operation of the Board of Directors and of the committees thereof on an annual basis, and submits the results of said evaluation to the full Board of Directors together with any proposed action plan to correct potential deficiencies detected.

The evaluation process for financial year 2022 used “PricewaterhouseCoopers Asesores de Negocios, S.L.” (“PwC Asesores”) as an external adviser.

The scope of the process included the evaluation of the Board of Directors, its committees, the chairman, the chief executive officer and each of the other directors of the Company from the perspective of the following dimensions: (i) compliance with internal rules and with the CNMV Good Governance Code of Listed Companies, (ii) monitoring of corporate governance trends, and (iii) analysis of compliance with potential areas for progress defined in evaluations from prior years.

The evaluation of the chairman of the Board of Directors was led by the first vice-chair and lead independent director.

This process included a comparative analysis of 25 companies, including (i) those considered to have best practices at the domestic and international level, and (ii) both domestic and international comparable companies.

This evaluation used 389 best practices indicators, which practices were assessed using objective and verifiable evidence. This was all supplemented by interviews conducted with the directors by the first vice-chair and lead independent director, in which he collected feedback on the performance of the Board of Directors and its committees, in line with the recommendations of the Good Governance Code of Listed Companies and the Technical Guide 1/2019 on Nomination and Remuneration Committees published by the CNMV.

The process concluded on 21 February 2023 with the approval by the Board of Directors of the results of the evaluation of financial year 2022 and the Continuous Improvement Plan for financial year 2023. This document includes 30 areas for progress, including the following:

1. Dedication of time in the agenda to supervising the implementation of the new Strategic Plan 2023-2025.
2. Continuous review of best corporate governance practices in terms of critical aspects examined in the committees regarding composition and renewal of the Board, remuneration and ESG strategy.
3. Supervision of implementation of a new cybersecurity governance strategy and model for the Company.
4. Combination of participation by top executives in the Board of Directors and its committees with the expertise of new talent in the management team.

C.1.18 Provide details, for years in which the evaluation was carried out with the help of an external advisor, of the business relationships that the external advisor or company in its group maintains with the company or any company in its group.

The Company has been advised by an outside consultant for the last thirteen years.

PwC’s business relations with the companies within the Iberdrola group worldwide were approximately €36,656 thousand in 2022. Specifically, the total amount of billing by the PwC group for consulting services provided to the Company’s Board of Directors and the Office of the Secretary thereof was €491 thousand during that financial year.

C.1.19 Indicate the cases in which directors are obliged to resign.
Directors must submit their resignation from the position and formally resign from their position upon the occurrence of any of the instances of disqualification, lack of competence, structural and permanent conflict of interest or prohibition against performing the duties of director provided by law or the Governance and Sustainability System.

In this connection, the Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that the directors must submit their resignation to the Board of Directors in the following cases:

1. When, due to supervening circumstances, they are involved in any circumstance of disqualification or prohibition provided by law or the Governance and Sustainability System.

2. When, as a result of any acts or conduct attributable to the director, serious damage is caused to the value or reputation of the Company or there is a risk of criminal liability for the Company or any of the companies of the Iberdrola group.

3. When there are situations that affect them, whether or not related to their conduct within the Company itself, that might harm the good standing or reputation thereof.

4. When they cease to deserve the respectability or to have the capability, expertise, competence, availability or commitment to their duties required to be a director of the Company.

   In particular, when the activities performed by the director, or the companies that the director directly or indirectly controls, or the individual or corporate shareholders or those related to any of them, might compromise the suitability thereof.

5. When they are seriously reprimanded by the Board of Directors because they have breached any of their duties as directors.

6. When their continuance in office on the Board of Directors may for any reason, either directly, indirectly, or through persons related thereto, jeopardise the faithful and diligent performance of their duties in furtherance of the corporate interest.

7. When the reasons why the director was appointed cease to exist and, in particular, in the case of proprietary directors, when the shareholder or shareholders who proposed, requested, or decided the appointment thereof totally or partially sell or transfer their equity interest, with the result that such equity interest ceases to be significant or sufficient to justify the appointment.

8. When an independent director unexpectedly falls under supervening circumstances that prevent the director from being considered as such pursuant to the provisions of law.

The resignation provisions set forth under points 6 and 7 above shall not apply when, after a report from the Appointments Committee, the Board of Directors believes that there are reasons that justify the director’s continuance in office, without prejudice to the effect that the new supervening circumstances may have on the classification of the director.

C.1.20 Are qualified majorities other than those established by law required for any particular kind of decision?:

[X] Yes [ ] No

If so, describe the differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Regulations of the Board of Directors require a majority of at least two-thirds of the directors present at the meeting in person or by proxy to approve the amendment thereof.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Regulations of the Board of Directors also state that directors must tender their resignation to the Board of Directors if they are seriously reprimanded thereby because they have breached any of their duties as directors, by resolution adopted by a two-thirds majority of the directors.

C.1.21 Explain whether there are any specific requirements, other than those relating to directors, for being appointed as chairman of the Board of Directors:

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

C.1.22 Indicate whether the articles of incorporation or Board regulations establish any limit as to the age of directors:

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

The Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that the standards to take into account for selecting candidates for the position of director shall include, by way of guidance only, the appropriateness of the directors generally not exceeding the age of seventy years.

Each of the non-executive directors has undertaken to tender their resignation to the Board of Directors at the first meeting it holds after they reach seventy years of age.

C.1.23 Indicate whether the articles of incorporation or Board regulations establish any term limits for independent directors other than those required by law or any other additional requirements that are stricter than those provided by law:

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

C.1.24 Indicate whether the articles of incorporation or Board regulations establish specific rules for appointing other directors as proxy to vote in Board meetings, if so the procedure for doing so and, in particular, the maximum number of proxies that a director may hold, as well as whether any limit has been established regarding the categories of director to whom votes may be delegated beyond the limits imposed by law. If so, briefly describe these rules.

Pursuant to the By-Laws, all of the directors may cast their vote and give their proxy in favour of another director, provided, however, that non-executive directors may only do so in favour of another non-executive director.

The Regulations of the Board of Directors require that directors attend the meetings of the Board of Directors and when they cannot do so personally they must grant their proxy to another director, to whom they must give the appropriate instructions.

Directors may not grant a proxy in connection with matters in respect of which they have any conflict of interest.

The proxy granted shall be a special proxy for the Board meeting in question and may be communicated by any means allowing for receipt thereof.

There is no maximum number of proxies provided per director.
C.1.25 Indicate the number of meetings held by the Board of Directors during the year. Also indicate, if applicable, the number of times the Board met without the chairman being present. Meetings where the chairman gave specific proxy instructions are to be counted as attended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of board meetings</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of board meetings held without the chairman’s presence</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate the number of meetings held by the coordinating director with the other directors, where there was neither attendance nor representation of any executive director:

| Number of meetings | 1 |

Indicate the number of meetings held by each Board committee during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of meetings held by the Executive Committee</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings held by the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings held by the Appointments Committee</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings held by the Remuneration Committee</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings held by the Sustainable Development Committee</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 45 of the By-Laws, the lead independent director coordinates, meets with and reflects the concerns of the non-executive directors, and also directs the periodic evaluation of the chairman of the Board of Directors and leads any process for the succession thereof.

In the exercise of these powers, the lead independent director has held meetings with all of the non-executive directors, which meetings dealt with the evaluation of the chairman as well as with initiatives to improve the performance of each of the directors.

C.1.26 Indicate the number of meetings held by the Board of Directors during the year with member attendance data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of meetings in which at least 80% of directors were present in person</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendance in person as a % of total votes during the year</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of meetings with attendance in person or proxies given with specific instructions, by all directors</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Votes cast in person and by proxies with specific instructions, as a % of total votes during the year</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attendance of each and every one of the directors at the meetings of the Board of Directors and its committees during financial year 2022 is described in the annex to this report.

C.1.27 Indicate whether the individual and consolidated financial statements submitted to the Board for issue are certified in advance:
Identify, if applicable, the person(s) who certified the individual and consolidated financial statements of the company for issue by the Board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>Executive Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ SAINZ ARMADA</td>
<td>Finance, Control and Corporate Development Director (CFO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iberdrola has established a certification process by which those responsible for financial information in the different areas of the Iberdrola group (i.e. those responsible for the country subholding companies and global corporate areas) certify that: (i) the financial information they deliver to the Company for purposes of consolidation does not contain any material errors or omissions and provides a fair view of the results and the financial condition within their area of responsibility, and (ii) they are responsible for establishing the Internal Control over Financial Reporting System (ICFRS) system within their area of responsibility and have found that the system is effective. The text of these certifications is inspired by the form of certification established in Section 302 of the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The culmination of the process is a joint certification that the executive chairman and the CFO submit to the Board of Directors.

The process is carried out by means of electronic signature in a software application which manages the areas of responsibility and time periods and which serves as a repository of all the documentation generated, allowing for periodic review by the supervision and control bodies of the Iberdrola group’s companies.

C.1.28 Explain the mechanisms, if any, established by the Board of Directors to ensure that the financial statements it presents to the General Shareholders’ Meeting are prepared in accordance with accounting regulations.

The Regulations of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (the “Committee”) provide that it shall have the following duties, among others:

- Supervise (on an ongoing basis and specifically at the request of the Board of Directors) the process of preparing and presenting regulated financial information relating to the Company, both individual and consolidated with its subsidiaries, reviewing compliance with legal requirements, the proper delimitation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting standards, and submit recommendations or proposals to the Board of Directors to safeguard the integrity thereof.

- Supervise the clarity and integrity of the financial information regarding the Company and its group based on available sources of internal information (including reports from the Internal Audit Area and the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, reports from other areas or departments, or the analysis and opinion of the Company’s management team itself) and external information (including reports from experts or information received from the statutory auditor), and reach its own conclusion as to whether the Company has properly applied the accounting policies. It shall also ensure that the interim financial statements are prepared using the same accounting principles as the annual financial statements.

- Establish appropriate relationships with the statutory auditor to receive information regarding matters that might entail a threat to the independence thereof, for examination by the Committee, and any other information related to the development of the audit procedure, as well as such other communications as are provided for in the laws on statutory audit and in other legal provisions on auditing.

- The Committee must receive written confirmation from the statutory auditor on an annual basis of its independence in relation to the Company or entities directly or indirectly related thereto, as well as a detailed breakdown of information on additional (non-auditing) services of any kind provided to and the corresponding fees received from such entities by such statutory auditors or by persons or entities related thereto, pursuant to the legal provisions governing the audit of accounts.
- On an annual basis, prior to the audit report, issue a report containing an opinion on whether the independence of the statutory auditors is compromised, which shall be made available to the shareholders upon the terms set forth in the Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting. This report shall contain a reasoned assessment of the provision of each and every one of the additional services other than the legal audit referred to in the preceding point, considered individually and as a whole, and in relation to the rules on independence or the legal provisions governing the audit of accounts.

- Report in advance to the Board of Directors regarding the financial information that the Company must disclose on a regular basis because of its status as a listed company; the Committee shall ensure that the interim statements are prepared in accordance with the same accounting standards as the annual financial statements and, for such purpose, it shall consider the appropriateness of a limited review by the statutory auditor.

- Review the contents of the audit reports on the accounts and of the reports on the limited review of interim accounts, if any, as well as other mandatory reports to be prepared by the statutory auditor, prior to the issuance thereof, in order to avoid qualified reports.

- Assess the results of each audit of accounts and supervise the response of the members of senior management to the recommendations made therein.

- Act as a channel of communication between the Board of Directors and the statutory auditors, causing them to hold an annual meeting with the Board of Directors to report thereto on the work performed and the accounting status and risks of the Company.

- Evaluate any proposal made by the members of senior management regarding changes in accounting practices.

- Analyse the reasons why the Company may itemise certain alternative information on returns in its public information instead of the measures directly defined by accounting rules, the extent to which useful information is provided to investors, and the level of compliance thereof with best practices and international recommendations in this area.

- Obtain information on significant adjustments identified by the statutory auditor or that result from revisions made by the Internal Audit Area and the position of the management team regarding said adjustments.

- Timely and properly attend to, answer and take into account any requests sent thereto by the National Securities Market Commission during the current financial year or in prior years, ensuring that the same types of incidents previously identified in said requests are not repeated in the financial statements.

- Check that the financial information published on the corporate website of the Company is continuously updated and that it coincides with the information that has been approved by the Board of Directors and published on the website of the National Securities Market Commission.

In turn, the Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that:

- The Board of Directors shall meet with the statutory auditor at least once per year in order to receive information regarding the work performed and regarding the accounting status and risks of the Company.

- The Board of Directors shall use its best efforts to prepare the annual financial statements such that there is no room for qualifications by the statutory auditor. However, when the Board of Directors believes that its opinion must prevail, it shall provide a public explanation of the content and scope of the discrepancy.

Pursuant to the above-cited rules, the Committee has reported throughout financial year 2022 on the process of preparing and presenting, and the clarity and integrity of, the financial information (separate and consolidated) relating to the Company prior to the approval thereof by the Board of Directors and its submission to the National Securities Market Commission. The reports of the Committee, which the chair thereof has presented to the full Board of Directors, are mainly intended to disclose such aspects, if any, as may give rise to qualifications in the audit report of Iberdrola and its consolidated group.
Accordingly, the Committee submitted to the Board of Directors the following reports regarding the annual, quarterly and half-yearly financial information of the Company for financial year 2022:

- Report dated 25 April 2022 on the results for the first quarter of 2022.
- Report dated 22 July 2022 on the financial information for the first half of 2022.
- Report dated 24 October 2022 on the results for the third quarter of 2022.
- Report dated 20 February 2023 regarding the annual financial statements of Iberdrola and its consolidated group for financial year 2022.

As disclosed in the information about Iberdrola posted on the website of the National Securities Market Commission (www.cnmv.es), the audit reports on the separate and consolidated annual financial statements prepared by the Board of Directors have historically been issued without qualifications.

C.1.29 Is the secretary of the Board also a director?

[ ] Yes [ X ] No

If the secretary is not a director, complete the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the secretary</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JULIÁN MARTINEZ-SIMANCAS SÁNCHEZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.1.30 Indicate the specific mechanisms established by the company to safeguard the independence of the external auditors, and any mechanisms to safeguard the independence of financial analysts, investment banks and rating agencies, including how legal provisions have been implemented in practice.

MECHANISMS TO PRESERVE THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE AUDITOR

The Regulations of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (the "Committee") and the Statutory Auditor Contracting and Relations Policy provide that:

- The relations of the Committee with the Company's statutory auditor (the "Auditor") shall respect the independence thereof.

- The Committee shall discuss with the Auditor any circumstance that might compromise the independence thereof and shall evaluate the effectiveness of the protective measures and the relationships between the Iberdrola group and the Auditor and its network, including those that entail the provision of non-audit services. It shall request from the Auditor a certification of independence of the firm as a whole and of the members of the team participating in the process of auditing the annual financial statements of the Iberdrola group, with a detailed breakdown of information regarding non-auditing services of any kind provided by the Auditor or by persons connected thereto under applicable law. The Auditor shall include in such certification a statement regarding compliance with its internal quality assurance and independence protection procedures and shall submit to the Committee on an annual basis the profiles and professional background of the members of the Iberdrola group audit teams, indicating any changes with respect to the previous financial year.

- Before issuing the annual audit report, the Committee shall issue a report expressing an opinion on the independence of the Auditor and the potential impact of each and every one of the non-audit services provided by the Auditor or by persons connected thereto, considered individually and as a whole. It shall also supervise the auditor’s internal quality assurance and independence protection procedures and shall
receive information on the hiring of professionals from the auditor by any of the companies of the Iberdrola group.

– The Committee shall not submit a proposal to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors shall not submit a proposal to the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting, for appointment as Auditor of firms for which it has evidence that they are affected by a lack of independence, prohibition or disqualification pursuant to applicable legal provisions, and if the total fees received for the provision of audit and non-audit services provided to the Company and to any other entity of the group by the Auditor or audit firm or by a member of its network during each of the last three consecutive financial years represent more than 15% of the total annual income of the Auditor or audit firm and of said network.

The Committee has established a restrictive policy on the non-audit services that the Auditor can be authorised to provide to the Company and its group:

– Prior to formalisation thereof, the Committee must receive information regarding any contract it intends to sign with the Auditor or a member of its network for the provision of non-audit services to the Company or any of the companies of its group, in order to be able to analyse the threats to independence that might arise from said contracts. The Auditor must therefore forward to the Committee any request to approve the provision of non-audit services, sufficiently describing the services requested so that the Committee can analyse the impact of the contracting thereof on independence, both individually and collectively.

– The provision by the Auditor or by any member of its network of non-audit services must be authorised in advance by the Committee in all cases, whether the services are provided to the Company or to any other company of the group, and the audit and compliance committee of the country subholding company of the group, if the services are provided thereto or to one of its subsidiaries that does not have its own audit and compliance committee; or the audit and compliance committee of the subsidiary to which the services are provided, if it has one.

– In order to authorise the provision of said services, the Committee must assess whether the audit firm is the most appropriate firm to provide them based on its experience and expertise, analysing the nature thereof and the circumstances and context in which it occurs; the status, position or influence of the provider of the service and other relations thereof with the Company; the effects thereof; and whether said services could threaten the independence of the auditor and, if applicable, the establishment of measures eliminating or reducing the threats to a level that does not compromise the independence thereof.

In compliance with recommendation 65.c) of Technical Guide 3/2017 on Audit Committees of Public Interest Entities, the Committee has established the indicative limit of the fees that may be received by the Auditor or an entity within its network at five million euros per year for non-audit services provided to the Company and to any other entity of its group during any financial year of the Company.

As regards financial year 2022:

– Iberdrola’s Auditor, “KPMG Auditores, S.L.” (“KPMG”), appeared on sixteen occasions before the Committee and on one occasion before the Board of Directors to report on issues relating to the audit of accounts. During these appearances, KPMG did not report issues that might put its independence at risk.

– On 21 February 2022 KPMG sent to the Committee written confirmation of its independence with regard to the audit of the financial information for financial year 2021.

– On 22 July 2022 KPMG sent to the Committee written confirmation of its independence with regard to the limited review of the financial information as at 30 June 2022.

– On 20 February 2023 KPMG sent to the Committee written confirmation of its independence with regard to the audit of the financial information for financial year 2022.
- In these letters the Auditor stated that it has implemented policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that KPMG and its personnel maintain their independence when so required by applicable legal provisions.

- Pursuant to the foregoing, the hiring of the Auditor for non-audit services was approved in advance by the Committee. Prior to approval thereof, the director of the Internal Audit Area, and if necessary the audit committee and the internal audit division of the group company receiving the services (or of any country subholding company to which it belongs) stated that the provision thereof did not threaten the independence of the auditor. In requests for services made by the Committee, the Auditor confirmed that, among other things, there were no restrictions on independence for the performance of the work described therein.

- In its statement of independence dated 20 February 2023, KPMG reported that 3 of its professionals were hired by the Iberdrola group during 2022. It also confirmed in this statement that such hirings do not fall within any of the prohibitions set out in the applicable legal provisions and have not created a threat compromising their independence as auditors.

- On 20 February 2023 the Committee submitted its report to the Board of Directors regarding the independence of the Iberdrola’s Auditor, in which it concluded that the Auditor performed its work independently.

MECHANISMS TO PRESERVE THE INDEPENDENCE OF FINANCIAL ANALYSTS, INVESTMENT BANKS AND RATING AGENCIES

The principles underlying the Company’s relationship with financial analysts, investment banks and rating agencies are set out in the Policy regarding Communication and Contacts with Shareholders, Institutional Investors and Proxy Advisors, and include transparency, truthfulness, promptness, clarity, symmetry and respect for the principle of equality in the dissemination of information, implementation of a general communication strategy for financial, non-financial and corporate information, which contributes to maximising the dissemination and the quality of the information available to the market, to investors and to other Stakeholders, development of information-technology tools that allow the Company to capitalise on new technologies, and compliance with the provisions of law and the Governance and Sustainability System, as well as with the principles of cooperation and transparency with all competent authorities, regulators and government agencies.

The Finance, Control and Corporate Development Division manages requests for information from financial analysts, investment banks and rating agencies, as well as institutional and individual investors, through the Investor Relations Division, and gives mandates to investment banks.

The independence of financial analysts is protected by the Investor Relations Division, which ensures the objective, fair and non-discriminatory treatment thereof.

The Company also has a number of communication channels:

- Personalised assistance for analysts, investors and rating agencies.
- Publication of the information relating to quarterly results and other specific events, such as those relating to the submission of the Business Outlook or to corporate transactions.
- E-mail through the corporate website (accionistas@iberdrola.com).
- Toll-free line for shareholders (+34 900 100 019).
- In-person and broadcasted presentations.
- Release of announcements and news.

C.1.31 Indicate whether the company changed its external auditor during the year. If so, identify the incoming and outgoing auditors:
If there were any disagreements with the outgoing auditor, explain their content:

C.1.32 Indicate whether the audit firm performs any non-audit work for the company and/or its group and, if so, state the amount of fees it received for such work and express this amount as a percentage of the total fees invoiced to the company and/or its group for audit work:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount invoiced for non-audit services (thousands of euros)</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Group companies</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>3,274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount invoiced for non-audit work/Amount for audit work (in %)</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Group companies</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44.84</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See annex to this report.

C.1.33 Indicate whether the auditors’ report on the financial statements for the preceding year contains a qualified opinion or reservations. If so, indicate the reasons given to shareholders at the general meeting by the chairman of the audit committee to explain the content and extent of the qualified opinion or reservations.

C.1.34 Indicate the number of consecutive years for which the current audit firm has been auditing the company's individual and/or consolidated financial statements. Also, indicate the number of years audited by the current audit firm as a percentage of the total number of years in which the financial statements have been audited:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of consecutive years</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Consolidated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of years audited by the current audit firm/number of years in which the company has been audited (in %)</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Consolidated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.1.35 Indicate whether there is a procedure for directors to be sure of having the
information necessary to prepare the meetings of the governing bodies with
sufficient time; provide details if applicable:

[ X] Yes  [ ] No

Details of the procedure

The Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that the required support shall be provided for new directors to
become rapidly and adequately acquainted with the Company and the Iberdrola group, such that they can actively
perform their duties as such and, if so appointed, as members of any of the committees of the Board of Directors as
from their appointment as such. To this end, an orientation programme is made available to them through the directors’
website referred to below.

All directors are provided with the information needed to perform their duties, and access to training materials and
sessions that allow them to continuously update their knowledge is encouraged.

Moreover, as regards the members of the consultative committees, the corresponding regulations provide that said
committees must have a periodic training plan that ensures the refreshment of knowledge relating to the purview of
each of them.

In order to improve their knowledge of the Iberdrola group, presentations are made to the directors regarding the
various businesses. In addition, a portion of each meeting of the Board of Directors tends to be dedicated to a
presentation on economic, legal or political/social issues of importance to the Iberdrola group.

The directors have access to a specific application, the directors’ website, that facilitates performance of their duties
and the exercise of their right to receive information. This website includes information deemed appropriate for
preparation of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees thereof in accordance with the agenda, the
training materials intended for the directors, and presentations made to the Board of Directors.

In addition, the directors are given access through the directors’ website to the minutes of the meetings of the Board
of Directors and the committees thereof, as well as such other information as the Board of Directors approves.

Finally, the Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that the directors are required to properly prepare for the
meetings of the Board of Directors and, if applicable, the meetings of the Executive Committee or of the consultative
committees of which they are members, for which purposes the directors must diligently become apprised of the
running of the Company and the matters to be discussed at such meetings.

C.1.36 Indicate whether the company has established rules obliging directors to
inform the Board of any circumstances, whether or not related to their
actions in the company itself, that might harm the company’s standing and
reputation, tendering their resignation where appropriate. If so, provide
details:

[ X] Yes  [ ] No

Explain the rules

The Regulations of the Board of Directors set out the obligations and duties of the directors, including, as an
expression of the duty of loyalty, the obligation to submit their resignation to the Board of Directors in the event that
supervening circumstances mean they are involved in an instance of disqualification or prohibition or loss of suitability,
respectability, capability, competence, availability or commitment to their duties required to be a director and the other instances provided for in the Governance and Sustainability System.

A director must inform the Company of any judicial, administrative or other proceedings instituted against the director which, because of the significance or nature thereof, may seriously affect the reputation of the Company. In particular, every director must inform the Company, through the secretary of the Board of Directors, in the event that the director is subject to an investigation, arrested, or an order for the commencement of an oral criminal trial is issued against the director for the commission of any crime, and of the occurrence of any significant procedural steps in such proceedings. In such instance, the Board of Directors shall review this circumstance as soon as practicable and, following a report of the Appointments Committee, shall adopt the decisions it deems fit taking into account the interests of the Company.

In addition, the director must inform the Company of any fact or event that may be relevant to the holding of office as a director.

Directors must also submit their resignation to the Board of Directors and formally resign from their position in the events described in section C.1.19 of this report.

C.1.37 Indicate whether, apart from such special circumstances as may have arisen and been duly minuted, the Board of Directors has been notified or has otherwise become aware of any situation affecting a director, whether or not related to his or her actions in the company itself, that might harm the company’s standing and reputation:

[ X ] Yes  [ ] No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Director's name</th>
<th>Nature of the situation</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ</td>
<td>CENYT case</td>
<td>See reasoned explanation in the table below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALÁN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate whether the Board of Directors has examined the case. If so, explain with reasons whether, given the specific circumstances, it has adopted any measure, such as opening an internal enquiry, requesting the director's resignation or proposing his or her dismissal.

Indicate also whether the Board decision was backed up by a report from the nomination committee.

[ X ] Yes  [ ] No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision / action taken</th>
<th>Reasoned explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate investigations have been carried out in compliance with the provisions of the Governance and Sustainability System and the Compliance System of the Company. The Board of Directors of the Company has examined the case and unanimously confirmed its full confidence in the suitability of the affected directors (Mr José Ignacio Sánchez Galán and the now ex-director Mr Francisco Martínez Córcoles) to hold office, which has been shown by the court proceeding, in which a final and conclusive dismissal has been granted in respect of both of them.</td>
<td>In recent months, the issues regarding the hiring of “Club Exclusivo de Negocios y Transacciones, S.L.” (CENYT) by the Company and Iberdrola Renovables, S.A.U. have been favourably resolved for the two aforementioned companies and the majority of the directors and employees involved in the process. Currently, and on a final basis, no company of the Iberdrola group is under investigation in said proceeding, in which the dismissal (also final) of the investigation of the executive chairman of the Company and of the other directors and members of the management team (both former and current) who were under investigation has been confirmed, except for a former head of the security area of the Company (no longer associated therewith), who continues to be under investigation. All of the foregoing confirms that the competent bodies of the Company acted properly. From the day after the appearance of the first news reports in June 2018 regarding the hiring of CENYT, the Company carried out various investigations in accordance with its Governance and Sustainability System and its Compliance System, which define and describe the powers assigned to the various companies of the Iberdrola group and their corresponding governance bodies – particularly, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, the Sustainable Development Committee, the Executive Committee and the Board of Directors of the Company, as well as the Board of Directors of “Iberdrola Renovables Energía, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal). The content of the meetings of these bodies reflects the impetus given to all of the internal investigations performed, the supervision of the performance thereof without any limitation in scope, and the assurance that all responsible areas had the required human and material resources and acted free of any type of interference. These investigations included all available documentary evidence. Iberdrola’s Compliance Unit was advised by “PricewaterhouseCoopers Asesores de Negocio, S.L.” (“PwC Asesores”), which performed an independent investigation, with neither supervision nor control by internal bodies or outside lawyers, and which made and fulfilled a commitment to make its findings available to the judicial authorities, whatever those findings might be (PwC Asesores has dedicated more than 5,000 working hours, processed 5.14 terabytes of information and reviewed more than 300,000 files and more than 3,000 invoices). The final conclusions of the investigation carried out by the Central Preliminary Examining Court number 6 of the National High Court coincide with the analysis carried out by various international law firms, which reviewed various aspects of the proceeding, including Iberdrola’s Compliance System, the reaction to this matter by the governing bodies of the companies of the Iberdrola group participating therein, the internal investigation procedure and the results thereof (including the forensic report of PwC Asesores), and documentation relating to the various investigative actions taken in the aforementioned legal proceeding. Their conclusion is that there was insufficient evidence to pursue a criminal case against any company of the Iberdrola group or against any of its current directors or members of the management team. In short, as both the Central Preliminary Examining Court and the Criminal Chamber of the National High Court have noted, the robustness of the Company’s Governance and Sustainability System and Compliance System and their suitability for preventing criminal offences have been key to showing the absence of criminal liability of the companies that hired CENYT and their directors and officers, rendering the conduct merely individual. The suitability and effectiveness of the model have therefore been proven and reinforced. Notwithstanding the clarity of the court rulings that have been issued in the process, the lengthiness of the aforementioned legal proceeding and the profusion of accusations and arguments lacking factual and legal support have been used by some competitors and one former employee of the Company for the clear purpose of harming its good name and reputation and ultimately undermining its ability to compete in the market. Therefore, the Company has taken and will continue to take appropriate legal measures to protect its reputation and its ability to compete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.1.38 Detail any material agreements entered into by the company that come into force, are modified or are terminated in the event of a change in control of the company following a public takeover bid, and their effects.

At 31 December 2022 there are bonds issued by companies of the Iberdrola group, as well as loans and other agreements with financial institutions, the maturity of which could be affected or which would require additional security in the event of a change of control of the Company in accordance with the terms of each contract, the most significant of which are listed below:

- Bond issues in the amount of €12,053 million in the European market and US$350 million (equivalent to €329 million) in the U.S. market.
- Loans arranged with the European Investment Bank and with the Official Credit Institute, totalling €4,476 million.
- Bank and export credit agency loans in the amount of €2,430 million and US$900 million (equivalent to €846 million).
- Bond issues amounting to R$14,707 million (equivalent to €2,621 million) and loans amounting to R$26,713 million (equivalent to €4,761 million) corresponding to the country subholding company “Neoenergia S.A.” and its subsidiaries.

C.1.39 Identify individually as regards directors, and in aggregate form in other cases, and provide details of any agreements between the company and its directors, executives or employees containing indemnity or golden parachute clauses in the event of resignation or dismissal without due cause or termination of employment as a result of a takeover bid or any other type of transaction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of beneficiaries</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of beneficiary</td>
<td>Description of the agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Executive directors and officers | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS  
Pursuant to the provisions of his contract, the executive chairman has the right to receive a severance payment in the event of termination of his relationship with the Company, as well as to termination of contract and severance in the event of removal or reduction of his duties, provided that these situations are not a result of a breach attributable thereto or exclusively due to his own decision to withdraw. The amount of the severance payment is three times annual salary in these cases.  
Since 2011, contracts with new executive directors and with senior management include maximum severance pay equal to two times annual salary in the event of termination of their relationship with the Company, provided that termination of the relationship is not the result of a breach attributable thereto or solely due to a voluntary decision thereof. In this regard, the contract with the chief executive officer provides for a severance payment of two years’ salary, including any compensation that may be payable to him under the post-contractual non-competition undertaking.  
Furthermore, in consideration for the executive chairman’s non-compete commitment for a period of two years, he will be entitled to severance pay equal to the remuneration for such period.  
2. OFFICERS  
The employment contracts of officers of Iberdrola who, given their responsibilities, decisively contribute to the creation of value, contain specific clauses on severance payments. The purpose of such clauses is to obtain an effective and sufficient level of loyalty for the management of the Company and thus avoid a loss of experience and knowledge that might jeopardise the achievement of strategic objectives. The amount of the severance pay is determined based on length of service and the reasons for the officer’s cessation of office, up to a maximum of five times annual salary.  
Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Senior Management Remuneration Policy provides since 2011 that the limit on the amount of the severance pay under new contracts with the members of senior management shall be two times their annual salary. |

Indicate whether, beyond the cases established by legislation, these agreements have to be communicated and/or authorised by the governing bodies of the company or its group. If so, specify the procedures, the cases concerned and the nature of the bodies responsible for their approval or communication:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body authorising the clauses</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of directors</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General shareholders’ meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are these clauses notified to the General Shareholders’ Meeting?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| X

C.2 Committees of the Board of Directors
C.2.1 Provide details of all committees of the Board of Directors, their members, and the proportion of executive, proprietary, independent and other external directors forming them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ARMANDO MARTINEZ MARTÍNEZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ Serna</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % of executive directors | 33.33 |
| % of proprietary directors | 0.00 |
| % of independent directors | 66.67 |
| % of other external directors | 00.00 |

Explain the functions delegated or assigned to this committee, other than those that have already been described in Section C.1.9, and describe the rules and procedures for its organisation and functioning. For each of these functions, briefly describe its most important actions during the year and how it has exercised in practice each of the functions assigned to it by law, in the articles of incorporation or in other corporate resolutions.

The Executive Committee is assigned all the powers of the Board of Directors, except for those powers that may not be delegated pursuant to legal or by-law restrictions.

It must be composed of a minimum of four and a maximum of eight members, of which at least two must be non-executive, at least one of which must be an independent director. In all events, the chairman of the Board of Directors and the chief executive officer are members of this committee, and the secretary of the Board of Directors acts as secretary thereof. The appointment of its members and the permanent delegation of powers thereto is carried out by the Board of Directors with the favourable vote of at least two-thirds of the members thereof.

A director who is appointed as a member of the Executive Committee shall serve for the unexpired portion of such director’s term of office, without prejudice to the Board of Directors’ power of revocation. In the event that a member of the Executive Committee is re-elected as director, such member shall only continue to serve as a member of the Executive Committee if expressly re-elected as such by resolution of the Board of Directors.

It shall meet as many times as deemed necessary by the chair thereof. It must also meet when so requested by a minimum of two of its members.

A valid quorum shall be established with the attendance at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of at least a majority of its members, and resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of the members present at the meeting in person or by proxy.

Its duties consist of making proposals to the Board of Directors regarding strategic decisions, investments and divestitures that are significant for the Company or the Iberdrola group, assessing their conformity to the current budget and strategic plans and analysing and monitoring business risks. It also provides
assistance to the Board of Directors in the ongoing supervision of compliance with the principles governing the organisation and coordination of the Iberdrola group’s companies and the strategic goals thereof.

The most significant activities performed by the Executive Committee during financial year 2022, which are described in the Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof that is published for purposes of the call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting (https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting/documents), are set forth below:

- Monitoring of the Outlook 2020-2025 and analysis of the foundations for the definition of the Strategic Plan 2023-2025.
- Monitoring of the process of specification and implementation of the Next Generation EU plan and of the Iberdrola group’s portfolio of projects related thereto.
- Impacts arising from the Russia-Ukraine conflict and monitoring of proposals for regulatory measures in response thereto.
- Monitoring of changes in the energy regulatory environment, including, inter alia, the various industry regulations adopted or in the process of being approved in the European Union, Spain and Portugal, and the reform of the Electricity Industry Law in Mexico, as well as the ruling of the Mexican Supreme Court on the action challenging the constitutionality of this reform.
- Analysis of current issues in the energy sector in Spain, including decarbonisation and electrification as challenges and opportunities.
- Identification of the main risks in each country for financial year 2023 within the framework of the exposure of the strategic lines and the preliminary bases of the Iberdrola group’s consolidated budget for financial year 2023.
- Monitoring of implementation of the budget for 2022.
- Acknowledgement and authorisation of the reorganisation of the Iberdrola group’s businesses in Spain.
- Monitoring of the process of adapting the Iberdrola group’s payroll structure to the strategy defined in Outlook 2020-2025 and outlook for the 2023-2025 period.
- Monitoring of the participation in the Davis World Economic Forum, the European Round Table for Industry and the UN Climate Change Conference (COP27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMaza</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARIA ANGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % of executive directors   | 0.00     |
| % of proprietary directors | 0.00     |
| % of independent directors | 100.00   |
| % of other external directors | 0.00    |

Explain the functions assigned to this committee, including where applicable those that are additional to those prescribed by law, and describe the rules and procedures for its organisation and functioning. For each of these functions, briefly describe its most important actions during the year and how it has exercised in practice each of the functions assigned to it by law, in the articles of incorporation or in other corporate resolutions.
The Audit and Risk Supervision Committee is an internal informational and consultative body.

The Committee shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of five directors appointed by the Board of Directors upon a proposal of the Appointments Committee, from among the non-executive directors who are not members of the Executive Committee. A majority of its members shall be independent, and at least one of them shall be appointed taking into account the knowledge and experience thereof in the areas of accounting, audit and risk management.

Without prejudice to the foregoing, the Board of Directors and the Appointments Committee shall endeavour to ensure that the members of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee as a whole, and especially the chair thereof, have the expertise, qualifications and experience appropriate for the duties they are called upon to perform in the area of accounting, auditing and management of risks, both financial and non-financial, that at least one of them has experience in information technology, and that as a whole the members of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee have relevant technical knowledge in the finance and internal control area, as well as in relation to the energy sector.

The Board of Directors shall appoint a chair of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee from among the independent directors forming part thereof, who must be a director with sufficient capacity and availability to provide greater dedication to the committee than the rest of the members thereof. It shall also appoint its secretary, who need not be a director.

Its members shall be appointed for a maximum term of four years and may be re-elected on one or more occasions for terms of the same maximum length. The position of chair shall be held for a maximum period of four years, after which period the chair may not be re-elected until the passage of at least one year from ceasing to act as such, without prejudice to the continuance or re-election thereof as a member of the Committee.

A valid quorum shall be established with the attendance at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of its members, and resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of votes of the members present at the meeting in person or by proxy.

Its duties are governed by and further developed in the Regulations of the Board of Directors, as well as in the Regulations of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, and entail the issuance of reports and proposals mainly concerning financial information, non-financial information, internal control systems, control and risk management systems, the Internal Audit Area and the statutory auditor.

The most significant activities performed by the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee during financial year 2022, which are described in the Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof that is published for purposes of the call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting (https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting/documents), are set forth below:

- Memorandum of internal control recommendations resulting from the financial information audit of financial year 2021 and implementation of said recommendations.
- Analysis of the alternative performance measures included in the annual financial statements and in the directors’ reports for financial year 2021.
- Preliminary information on potential impact of the energy crisis in various countries where companies of the Iberdrola group operate.
- Monitoring of the involvement of the statutory auditor in the analysis of Iberdrola’s Climate Action Plan.
- Specific meeting on risk policies: process for updating risks and update on “risk appetite”.
- Tax compliance management systems. UNE 19602 standard. Communication of the satisfactory result of the annual audit by the certification agency AENOR, as well as the independent expert report performed by an external consultant.
Submission to the Spanish Tax Administration Agency of the Annual Tax Transparency Report for companies adhering to the Good Tax Practices Code. Acknowledgement of the congratulatory letter from the Tax Agency highlighting the willingness and facilities offered by Iberdrola for the review of the report, as well as the voluntary exercise in transparency.

Furthermore, section C.1.30 and the annex to this report describe the duties performed by the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee during financial year 2022 in relation to the provision of non-audit services by the auditor.

Identify the directors who are members of the audit committee and have been appointed taking into account their knowledge and experience in accounting or audit matters, or both, and state the date on which the Chairperson of this committee was appointed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of directors with experience</th>
<th>MR XABIER SAGREDO ORMAZA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS MARÍA ÁNGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Date of appointment of the chairperson | 19/02/2019 |

NOMINATION COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLIN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Other external</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % of executive directors | 0.00 |
| % of proprietary directors | 0.00 |
| % of independent directors | 66.67 |
| % of other external directors | 33.33 |

Explain the functions assigned to this committee, including where applicable those that are additional to those prescribed by law, and describe the rules and procedures for its organisation and functioning. For each of these functions, briefly describe its most important actions during the year and how it has exercised in practice each of the functions assigned to it by law, in the articles of incorporation or in other corporate resolutions.

The Appointments Committee is an internal informational and consultative body.

It shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of five directors appointed by the Board of Directors upon a proposal of the Appointments Committee, from among the non-executive directors. A majority of its members must be classified as independent. The Board of Directors is to appoint the chair from among the independent directors.

The Board of Directors shall endeavour to ensure that the members of the Appointments Committee have such expertise, qualifications and experience as are required by the duties they are called upon to perform, particularly in the following areas: corporate governance, strategic human resources analysis and...
evaluation, selection of directors and management personnel, and performance of senior management duties.

Its members shall be appointed for a maximum term of four years and may be re-elected on one or more occasions for terms of the same maximum length.

The Board of Directors shall appoint a chair of the Appointments Committee from among the independent directors forming part thereof, who must be a director with sufficient capacity and availability to provide greater dedication to the committee than the rest of the members thereof. It shall also appoint its secretary, who need not be a director.

A valid quorum shall be established with the attendance at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of its members, and resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of votes of the members present at the meeting in person or by proxy.

Its duties are further developed in the Regulations of the Board of Directors, as well as in the Regulations of the Appointments Committee, and entail the issuance of reports and proposals mainly concerning the appointments of members of the Board of Directors, of its committees and of the Company’s Senior Management.

The most significant activities performed by the Appointments Committee during financial year 2022, which are described in the Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof that is published for purposes of the call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting (https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting/documents), are set forth below:

- Review of the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy and verification of compliance therewith.
- Proposal for the separation of the positions of chairman of the Board of Directors and CEO and resulting appointment of Mr Armando Martínez Martínez as chief executive officer.
- Proposal for the re-election of Ms María Ángeles Alcalá Díaz, Ms Isabel García Tejerina and Mr Anthony L. Gardner, as independent directors.
- Proposals for appointment of Mr Armando Martínez Martínez as a member of the Executive Committee, as well as Mr Ángel Acebes Paniagua as chair and Ms María Helena Antolín Raybaud as a member of the Appointments Committee; and re-election of Mr Anthony L. Gardner as a member of the Executive Committee and Mr Manuel Moreu Munaiz as a member of the Remuneration Committee.
- Reports on the classification of directors and on the reclassification of Ms María Helena Antolín Raybaud as other external.
- Report to the Board of Directors on the chairman’s proposal for the appointment of a new secretary to the Board of Directors.
- Report to the Board of Directors regarding the proposal to appoint Mr Agustín Delgado Martín as a member of the Company’s Senior Management.
- Report on the appointment of Ms Solange María Pinto Ribeiro as a member of the Compliance Unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ SERNA</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR IÑIGO VÍCTOR DE ORIOL IBARRA</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Other external</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of proprietary directors</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of independent directors</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of other external directors</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain the functions assigned to this committee, including where applicable those that are additional to those prescribed by law, and describe the rules and procedures for its organisation and functioning. For each of these functions, briefly describe its most important actions during the year and how it has exercised in practice each of the functions assigned to it by law, in the articles of incorporation or in other corporate resolutions.

The Remuneration Committee is an internal informational and consultative body.

It shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of five directors appointed by the Board of Directors upon a proposal of the Appointments Committee, from among the non-executive directors. A majority of its members must be classified as independent. The Board of Directors is to appoint the chair from among the independent directors.

The Board of Directors shall endeavour to ensure that the members of the Remuneration Committee have such expertise, qualifications and experience as are required by the duties they are called upon to perform, and particularly regarding corporate governance, policy design and remuneration plans for directors and senior management.

Its members shall be appointed for a maximum term of four years and may be re-elected on one or more occasions for terms of the same maximum length.

The Board of Directors shall appoint a chair of the Remuneration Committee from among the independent directors forming part thereof, who must be a director with sufficient capacity and availability to provide greater dedication to the committee than the rest of the members thereof. It shall also appoint its secretary, who need not be a director.

A valid quorum shall be established with the attendance at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of its members, and resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of votes of the members present at the meeting in person or by proxy.

Its duties are further developed in the Regulations of the Board of Directors, as well as in the Regulations of the Remuneration Committee, and entail the issuance of reports and proposals mainly concerning the remuneration of members of the Board of Directors and of the Company’s Senior Management.

The most significant activities performed by the Remuneration Committee during financial year 2022, which are described in the Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof that is published for purposes of the call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting (https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting/documents), are set forth below:

- Acknowledgement of new developments in the area of remuneration transparency incorporated in the annual reports.
- Information on the analysis of measures to encourage favourable voting on the Annual Director Remuneration Report, with the participation of an independent expert.
- Internal comparative analysis of the remuneration of executive directors.
- Calculation of the annual variable remuneration of the executive chairman for his performance in financial year 2021 based on a report evaluating the achievement of targets prepared by an independent external adviser.
- Report to the Board of Directors regarding confirmation of eligibility for the delivery of shares corresponding to the third tranche of the payment of the 2017-2019 Strategic Bonus.
- Proposed fixed remuneration of directors for 2022.
- Parameters for calculation of the annual variable remuneration of executive directors for their performance in 2022.
- Favourable report and referral to the Board of Directors of the executive chairman’s proposals regarding the remuneration structure of the new CEO and the terms of his contract.
- Report on director liability insurance.
- Verification of compliance with the objectives for financial year 2021 and report on the proposed calculation of the variable remuneration of the members of senior management for that year.
- Report on the proposed benchmark objectives for the 2022 variable remuneration of senior management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS SARA DE LA RICA GOIRICELAYA</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS NICOLA MARY BREWER</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ISABEL GARCÍA TEJERINA</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % of executive directors | 0.00 |
| % of proprietary directors | 0.00 |
| % of independent directors | 100.00 |
| % of other external directors | 0.00 |

Explain the functions delegated or assigned to this committee, other than those that have already been described in Section C.1.9, and describe the rules and procedures for its organisation and functioning. For each of these functions, briefly describe its most important actions during the year and how it has exercised in practice each of the functions assigned to it by law, in the articles of incorporation or in other corporate resolutions.

The Sustainable Development Committee is an internal informational and consultative body.

It shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of five directors appointed by the Board of Directors upon a proposal of the Appointments Committee, from among the non-executive directors. A majority of its members must be classified as independent.

Its members shall be appointed for a maximum term of four years and may be re-elected on one or more occasions for terms of the same maximum length.

The Board of Directors shall appoint a chair of the Sustainable Development Committee from among the independent directors forming part thereof, who must be a director with sufficient capacity and availability to provide greater dedication to the committee than the rest of the members thereof. It shall also appoint its secretary, who need not be a director.

A valid quorum shall be established with the attendance at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of its members, and resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of votes of the members present at the meeting in person or by proxy.
Its duties are further developed in the Regulations of the Board of Directors, as well as in the Regulations of the Sustainable Development Committee, and entail the issuance of reports and proposals mainly concerning governance, sustainability, compliance and corporate reputation.

The most significant activities performed by the Sustainable Development Committee during financial year 2022, which are described in the Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof that is published for purposes of the call to the General Shareholders’ Meeting (https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/general-shareholders-meeting/documents), are set forth below:

- Monitoring of the main developments in the taxonomy of non-financial information.
- Monitoring of work on the circular economy and climate governance.
- Analysis of elements for systematic measurement of and tools for measuring ESG and corporate social responsibility.
- Review of the General Sustainable Development Policy, the Stakeholder Engagement Policy and the environmental and social policies.
- Presentation and report to the Board of Directors of the new Biodiversity Plan 2030.
- Review of the level of implementation of the Sustainable Development Plan 2020-2022 and reputational aspects of relevant issues.

C.2.2 Complete the following table with information regarding the number of female directors who were members of Board committees at the close of the past four years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of female directors</th>
<th>Year 2022</th>
<th>Year 2021</th>
<th>Year 2020</th>
<th>Year 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit and Risk Supervision Committee</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointments Committee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration Committee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Development Committee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.2.3 Indicate, where applicable, the existence of any regulations governing Board committees, where these regulations are to be found, and any amendments made to them during the year. Also indicate whether any annual reports on the activities of each committee have been voluntarily prepared.

The committees of the Board of Directors are governed by the Regulations of the Board of Directors. Each of the consultative committees also has its own regulations. These regulations are available on the Company’s corporate website at the following link: https://www.iberdrola.com/corporate-governance/governance-sustainability-system/corporate-governance-policies.
The Activities Report of the Board of Directors and of the Committees thereof (https://www.iberdrola.com/shareholders-investors/annual-reports), which reports the composition thereof, the number of meetings held during the year, the attendance of its members and appearances at these meetings, as well as the key issues dealt with and the priorities for the following year, is also published on this website.

As part of the process of ongoing review of the Governance and Sustainability System, in addition to certain technical improvements and the inclusion in the regulations of all the consultative committees of the changes arising from the separation of executive positions and the appointment of a new chief executive officer, the following amendments, among others, have been made: (i) in the Regulations of the Appointments Committee, its powers have been updated regarding the appointment of outside directors at companies of the Iberdrola group and the term “human capital” has been included to include and showcase the skills and abilities of the professionals of the Iberdrola group’s companies, as a concept unto itself and different than the term human resources, which mainly refers to the management of these professionals; and (ii) in the Regulations of the Sustainable Development Committee, its powers have been expanded, especially the new function of reporting on the measures and procedures adopted within the Iberdrola group to implement and monitor the provisions of the Policy on Respect for Human Rights, and the power relating to the issuance of the report on the performance of the Compliance Director has been contextualised, contemplating the circumstance in which the Compliance Director might be considered a member of senior management.
D RELATED PARTY AND INTRAGROUP TRANSACTIONS

D.1. Explain, where appropriate, the procedure and competent bodies relating to the approval of transactions with related and intragroup parties, indicating the criteria and general internal rules of the entity that regulate the abstention obligations of the affected directors or shareholders. Detail the internal information and periodic control procedures established by the company in relation to those related-party transactions whose approval has been delegated by the board of directors.

Pursuant to the Regulations of the Board of Directors, Related-Party Transactions means those transactions carried out by the Company or its subsidiaries with directors, with shareholders holding 10% or more of the voting rights or who have proposed or caused the appointment of any of the directors, or with any other parties who should be considered parties related to the Company in accordance with International Accounting Standards.

As an exception to the preceding paragraph, transactions that are not classified as such in accordance with the law, and particularly those identified in section 2 of Article 48 of the Regulations of the Board of Directors, shall not be deemed Related-Party Transactions.

The approval of Related-Party Transactions must be decided by the shareholders at a General Shareholders’ Meeting in the instances provided by law, and particularly if it relates to a transaction having a value of more than 10% of the total items of the assets of the Iberdrola group according to the last consolidated annual balance sheet approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting of the Company.

Other Related-Party Transactions are subject to the approval of the Board of Directors. However, Related-Party Transactions may be approved by the Executive Committee due to the urgency of the matter, giving notice thereof at the next meeting of the Board of Directors in order for them to be ratified.

The Board of Directors, through the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (the “Committee”), shall endeavour to ensure that Related-Party Transactions are fair and reasonable from the viewpoint of the Company and, if applicable, of shareholders other than the related party. As provided by law, the approval of Related-Party Transactions must be the subject of a prior report of the Committee, which shall verify compliance with said requirements.

The Board of Directors may delegate the approval of Related-Party Transactions when so allowed by law, and particularly those transactions that simultaneously satisfy the following three conditions: (i) that they are conducted under contracts whose terms and conditions are standardised and apply on an across-the-board basis to a large number of customers; (ii) that they are conducted at prices or rates established generally by the party acting as supplier of the goods or services in question; and (iii) that the amount thereof does not exceed 0.5% of the consolidated net turnover of the Iberdrola group according to the last consolidated annual financial statements approved by the shareholders at the Company’s General Shareholders’ Meeting.

The approval of the aforementioned Related-Party Transactions, particularly those relating to electricity and gas services, shall not require a prior report of the Committee. The Board of Directors has established a regular internal reporting and control procedure in relation to those transactions exceeding €20,000 on an annual basis (calculated based on standard market rates) or that have not been executed exclusively through the ordinary commercial channels of the Iberdrola group, in which procedure the Committee must participate, which shall verify the fairness and transparency of such transactions and compliance with any legal criteria applicable to the corresponding exceptions. The execution of these types of transactions is the responsibility of the representatives of the group company in question. The Committee, with the assistance of the Internal Audit Area and with the information provided for this purpose by the Office of the Secretary of the Board and the Compliance Unit, shall examine these types of Related-Party Transactions each year and shall submit the corresponding report to the Board of Directors.
In the case of customary or recurring Related-Party Transactions in the ordinary course of business, it shall be sufficient to give a generic prior approval of the kind of transaction and of the conditions for performance thereof, provided that they are transactions with the same counterparty and their object is homogeneous. The Board of Directors is responsible for approving the various lines of transactions. In relation to the lines of transactions, the Committee, with the assistance of the Internal Audit Area, must issue an annual report to be submitted to the Board of Directors verifying compliance with the conditions established by the Board of Directors when approving the lines of transactions in question.

If a Related-Party Transaction entails the successive performance of different transactions, of which the second and subsequent transactions are mere acts of execution of the first transaction, the provisions of this section shall only apply to the first transaction carried out.

The execution of a Related-Party Transaction puts the director who engages in said transaction or is related to the person engaging in the transaction in a conflict of interest, for which reason the duty to abstain in the deliberation and voting on the approval resolution shall apply.

The Company shall publicly announce Related-Party Transactions no later than the time of execution thereof in the cases, to the extent and in the manner prescribed by law.

The Company shall also report Related-Party Transactions in the half-yearly financial report, in the annual corporate governance report, and in the notes to the annual financial statements.

In any event, directors must give written notice to the secretary of the Board of Directors, on a half-yearly basis, regarding the Related-Party Transactions in which they or persons connected to the Company and related to such directors have engaged.

### D.2 Give individual details of operations that are significant due to their amount or of importance due to their subject matter carried out between the company or its subsidiaries and shareholders holding 10% or more of the voting rights or who are represented on the board of directors of the company, indicating which has been the competent body for its approval and if any affected shareholder or director has abstained. In the event that the board of directors has responsibility, indicate if the proposed resolution has been approved by the board without a vote against the majority of the independents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the shareholder or any of its subsidiaries</th>
<th>% Shareholding</th>
<th>Name or company name of the company or entity within its group</th>
<th>Amount (thousands of euros)</th>
<th>Approving body</th>
<th>Identity of the significant shareholder or director who has abstained</th>
<th>The proposal to the board, if applicable, has been approved by the board without a vote against by a majority of independents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the shareholder or any of its subsidiaries</th>
<th>Nature of the relationship</th>
<th>Type of operation and other information required for its evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63
D.3. Give individual details of the operations that are significant due to their amount or relevant due to their subject matter carried out by the company or its subsidiaries with the administrators or managers of the company, including those operations carried out with entities that the administrator or manager controls or controls jointly, indicating the competent body for its approval and if any affected shareholder or director has abstained. In the event that the board of directors has responsibility, indicate if the proposed resolution has been approved by the board without a vote against the majority of the independents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the administrators or managers or their controlled or jointly controlled entities</th>
<th>Name or company name of the company or entity within its group</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Amount (thousands of euros)</th>
<th>Approving body</th>
<th>Identity of the significant shareholder or director who has abstained</th>
<th>The proposal to the board, if applicable, has been approved by the board without a vote against by a majority of independents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or company name of the administrators or managers or their controlled or jointly controlled entities</th>
<th>Nature of the operation and other information necessary for its evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.4. Report individually on intra-group transactions that are significant due to their amount or relevant due to their subject matter that have been undertaken by the company with its parent company or with other entities belonging to the parent's group, including subsidiaries of the listed company, except where no other related party of the listed company has interests in these subsidiaries or that they are fully owned, directly or indirectly, by the listed company.

In any case, report any intragroup transaction conducted with entities established in countries or territories considered as tax havens:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name of the entity within the group</th>
<th>Brief description of the operation and other information necessary for its evaluation</th>
<th>Amount (thousands of euros)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transactions by Iberdrola with subsidiaries and companies in which the Company has an interest that have not been eliminated in the process of consolidation were made in the ordinary course of business of the Company, were carried out under arm’s-length conditions, and are of little significance to accurately reflect the assets, financial condition and results of operations of the Company.
D.5. Give individual details of the operations that are significant due to their amount or relevant due to their subject matter carried out by the company or its subsidiaries with other related parties pursuant to the International Accounting Standards adopted by the EU, which have not been reported in previous sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name of the related party</th>
<th>Brief description of the operation and other information necessary for its evaluation</th>
<th>Amount (thousands of euros)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.6. Give details of the mechanisms in place to detect, determine and resolve potential conflicts of interest between the company and/or its group and its directors, senior management, significant shareholders or other associated parties.

Pursuant to the Regulations of the Board of Directors, a conflict of interest shall be deemed to exist in those situations provided by law, and particularly when the interests of the director, either for their own or another’s account, directly or indirectly conflict with the interest of the Company or of companies within the Iberdrola group and with their duties to the Company.

An interest of the director shall exist when the matter affects the director or a person related thereto.

The Regulations of the Board of Directors deem the following to be persons related to a Director:

a) The director’s spouse or person related to the director by a like relationship of affection.

b) The ascendants, descendants and siblings of the director or of the director’s spouse (or of a person with a like relationship of affection).

c) The spouses of the director’s ascendants, descendants and siblings.

d) Companies or entities in which the director directly or indirectly holds, including through an intermediary, an interest that gives the director significant influence or in which the director holds a position on the management body or within the senior management thereof or of its controlling company. For these purposes, it is assumed that any interest equal to or greater than ten per cent of the share capital or voting rights or based on which representation on the company’s management body could be obtained, in fact or by law, provides a significant influence.

e) Shareholders that the director represents on the Board of Directors.

Conflicts of interest shall be governed by the following rules:

1. Communication: once a director becomes aware of being in a situation of conflict of interest, the director must give written notice of the conflict to the Board of Directors, in the person of the secretary thereof, as soon as possible. The secretary shall periodically submit a copy of the notices received to the Appointments Committee, in the person of the secretary thereof.

The notice shall contain a description of the situation giving rise to the conflict of interest, with a statement as to whether it is a direct conflict or an indirect conflict through a related person, in which case the latter person must be identified.

Any question as to whether a director might be involved in a conflict of interest must be forwarded to the secretary of the Board of Directors, and the director must refrain from taking any action until it is resolved.
2. **Abstention:** A director must refrain from taking any action until the Board of Directors reviews the case, approves the appropriate decision and informs the director thereof.

   To this end, the director shall leave the meeting during the deliberation and voting on those matters in which the director is affected by a conflict of interest, and shall not be counted in the number of members in attendance for purposes of the calculation of a quorum and the majorities required for approving resolutions.

   At each meeting of the Board of Directors and of the committees thereof, the secretary shall remind the directors, before dealing with the agenda, of the abstention rule.

3. **Transparency:** Whenever required by law, the Company shall report any cases of conflict of interest in which the directors have been involved during the financial year in question and of which the Company is aware by reason of notice given thereto by the director affected by such conflict or by any other means.

   In those instances in which the conflict of interest is, or may reasonably be expected to be, of such a nature that constitutes a structural and permanent conflict of interest between the director (or a person related thereto) and the Company or the companies forming part of the Iberdrola group, it shall be deemed that the director lacks, or has lost, the competence required to hold office.

   Conflicts of interest of the members of the management team are subject to the same rules of communication, abstention and transparency.

   Transactions with significant shareholders or other related parties are governed by the rules described in Section D.1 of this report.

**D.7.** Indicate whether the company is controlled by another entity in the meaning of Article 42 of the Commercial Code, whether listed or not, and whether it has, directly or through any of its subsidiaries, business relationships with said entity or any of its subsidiaries (other than the listed company) or carries out activities related to those of any of them.

[ ] Yes  [ X ] No
E RISK MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

E.1. Explain the scope of the company's financial and non-financial risk management and control system, including tax risk.

Pursuant to the three lines model, Iberdrola’s General Risk Control and Management Policy and the risk policies (corporate and those specific to the businesses) in development thereof are implemented within a comprehensive risk control and management system, supported by the Company’s Risk Committee and based upon a proper definition and allocation of duties and responsibilities at the operating level and upon ensuring the development of supporting procedures, methodologies and tools, suitable for the various stages and activities within the system, including:

a. The establishment of a structure of risk policies, guidelines, limits and indicators, as well as of the corresponding mechanisms for the approval and implementation thereof.
b. The ongoing identification of significant risks and threats, taking into account their possible impact on key management objectives and the financial statements (including contingent liabilities and other off-balance sheet risks).c. The analysis of such risks, both at each corporate business or function and taking into account their combined effect on the companies of the Iberdrola group as a whole.
d. The measurement and control of risks following homogeneous procedures and standards common to all the companies of the Iberdrola group.
e. The analysis of risks associated with new investments, as an essential element in risk/return-based decision-making, including physical and transition risks related to climate change.
f. The maintenance of a system for monitoring and control of compliance with policies, guidelines and limits, by means of appropriate procedures and systems, including the contingency plans needed to mitigate the impact of the materialisation of risks.
g. The ongoing evaluation of the suitability and efficiency of applying the system and the best practices and recommendations in the area of risks for eventual inclusion thereof in the model.
h. The audit of the comprehensive risk control and management system by the Internal Audit Area.

The foregoing is undertaken in accordance with the following main principles of conduct:

a. Integrate the risk/opportunity vision into the Company’s management, through a definition of the strategy and the risk appetite and the incorporation of this variable into strategic and operating decisions.
b. Segregate functions, at the operating level, between areas that assume risks and areas responsible for the analysis, control and monitoring of such risks, ensuring an appropriate level of independence between them.
c. Guarantee the proper use of risk-hedging instruments and the maintenance of records thereof as required by applicable law.
d. Inform regulatory agencies and the principal external players, in a transparent fashion, regarding the risks facing the companies of the Iberdrola group and the operation of the systems developed to monitor such risks, maintaining suitable channels of communication.
e. Ensure appropriate compliance with the corporate governance rules established by the Company through its Governance and Sustainability System and the update and continuous improvement thereof within the framework of the best international practices as to transparency and good governance, and implement the monitoring and measurement thereof.
f. Act at all times in compliance with the values and standards of conduct reflected in the Code of Ethics, under the principle of “zero tolerance” for the commission of unlawful acts and situations of fraud set forth in the Crime Prevention Policy and in the Anti-Corruption and Anti-Fraud Policy and the principles and good practices reflected in the Corporate Tax Policy.

The General Risk Control and Management Policy and the risk policies in further development thereof apply to all companies that make up the Iberdrola group, over which the Company has effective control, within the limits established by the laws applicable to the regulated activities carried out by the Iberdrola group’s companies in the various countries in which they operate.
Excluded from the scope of this policy are listed country subholding companies and the subsidiaries thereof which, pursuant to their own special framework of strengthened autonomy, have their own risk policies approved by their competent bodies. In any event, said risk policies must be in accord with the principles set forth in the risk policies of the Iberdrola group.

At those companies over which the Company does not have effective control, the Company shall promote principles, guidelines, and risk limits consistent with those established in the General Risk Control and Management Policy and in its supplemental risk policies and shall maintain appropriate channels of information to ensure a proper understanding of risks.

Iberdrola believes that its comprehensive risk control and management system operates on a comprehensive and continuous basis, strengthening such management by business unit or activity, subsidiaries, geographic areas and corporate-level support areas.

E.2. Identify the bodies within the company responsible for preparing and executing the financial and non-financial risk management and control system, including tax risk.

1. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

In the area within its purview, and with the support of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, it must use all of its capabilities in order for the significant risks of the Iberdrola group to be adequately identified, measured, managed and controlled, and to establish through the General Risk Control and Management Policy the mechanisms and basic principles for appropriate management of the risk/opportunity ratio. By virtue thereof, it defines the risk strategy and profile of the group and approves the risk policies.

2. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

In order to conform the impact of the risks to the established appetite, upon the proposal of affected business or corporate divisions and after a report from the Group’s Risk Committee, it annually reviews and approves the specific guidelines regarding the risk limits of the corporate risk policies.

3. AUDIT AND RISK SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

As a consultative body of the Board of Directors, it is vested with various powers relating to the Comprehensive Risk Control and Management System, as set forth in Articles 3, 5, 6 and 10 of the Regulations thereof.

This includes the following (by way of example and based on the importance thereof):

- Conduct a periodic review of the risk policies on at least an annual basis.
- Continuously review and supervise the effectiveness of the internal control and risk management systems, such that the principal risks are properly identified, managed and reported.
- Obtain and analyse with the external auditor information regarding any significant deficiency in internal control that the statutory auditor detects in carrying out its audit work.
- Ensure that the internal control policies and systems are effectively applied.
- As regards the activities of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division of the Group, which is functionally controlled by the Committee: i) supervise the activities and ensure the effectiveness thereof, and ii) approve the direction and the annual plan of said Division and its budget.
- Evaluate the various risk tolerance levels established in the risk policies in order to, if appropriate, propose the adjustment thereof.
- Promote a culture of risk avoidance.

- Endeavour to ensure that the internal control and risk management system established at the level of the group identifies at least: i) the different types of financial and non-financial risks, ii) the establishment and review of the risk map and levels that the Company deems acceptable, iii) the measures planned in order to mitigate the impact of identified risks in the event they materialise, and iv) the reporting and internal control systems that will be used to monitor and manage the risks.

- At least annually, call a meeting with each of the heads of the businesses of the group’s companies and of the relevant corporate areas to exercise the powers of the Committee to be informed of the trends of their respective businesses or corporate areas and the risks associated therewith, all without prejudice to the corporate and governance structure of the group, pursuant to which each of the country subholding companies directly and effectively manages the risks of their businesses.

- Maintain appropriate relationships with the audit and compliance committees of the other companies of the group.

- Identify and evaluate emerging risks.

- Obtain creditable information as to whether the most significant risks are managed and maintained within the tolerance figures that have been established.

- Receive information from the Company’s tax director regarding the tax guidelines applied during the financial year, and particularly regarding the level of compliance with the Corporate Tax Policy, as well as regarding the tax consequences of transactions or matters that must be submitted to the Board of Directors for approval when such consequences represent a significant issue.

4 BOARDS OF DIRECTORS OF COUNTRY SUBHOLDING AND HEAD OF BUSINESS COMPANIES

The country subholding companies adopt the Company’s risk policies and specify the application thereof, approving the guidelines on specific risk limits. The audit and compliance committees of such companies shall report to the board of directors thereof on the internal control and risk management systems.

The management decision-making bodies of the head of business companies approve the specific risk limits applicable to each of them and implement the necessary control systems.

Pursuant to their special framework of strengthened autonomy, the listed companies of the group have their own risk policies, which are aligned with those established by the Company.

5. GROUP RISK COMMITTEE

This is a technical body that is chaired by the Risk and Internal Assurance Director and which performs executive duties in the customary management of risks and provides advice to the governance bodies of the Iberdrola group’s companies.

It meets at least once a month, with the participation of the Group’s Risk Management director, the risk directors of the country subholding companies and corporate areas that have such a position, the Internal Audit Area and the Administration and Control Division.

It reviews new reported risks and the reports monitoring the main existing risks, and issues the Quarterly Risk Report of the group, which includes the main risk positions, the report on compliance with policies and risk limits and indicators, and the update of the key risks map.

It is supplemented by the credit risk and market risk committees, which report to the former, and which meet on a monthly basis.

E.3. Indicate the main financial and non-financial risks, including tax risks, as well as those deriving from corruption (with the scope of these risks as set out in Royal
Decree Law 18/2017), to the extent that these are significant and may affect the achievement of business objectives.

The companies of the Iberdrola group are subject to various risks inherent in the different countries, territories, industries and markets in which they do business and in the activities they carry out, which may prevent them from achieving their objectives and successfully implementing their strategies.

Pursuant to the definitions established by the General Risk Control and Management Policy, risks at the Iberdrola group level are classified as follows:

- Corporate governance risks.
- Market risks.
- Credit risks.
- Business risks.
- Regulatory and political risks.
- Operational, technological, environmental, social and legal risks.
- Reputational risks.

Given the multidimensional nature of the risks, the taxonomy includes additional classification variables for improved monitoring, control and reporting of these risks. These additional categories include:

- Classification of risks into structural, “hot topics” and emerging, the latter of which are understood as possible new threats with an uncertain impact and undefined probability, and growing threats, which could eventually become material for the companies of the Iberdrola group.
- The inclusion of secondary risk factors, including financial, environmental, social and governance (environmental, social and governance, or “ESG”), fraud or corruption, tax, health, cybersecurity or third party risk factors.

Furthermore, Iberdrola has a Compliance System made up of a set of substantive rules, formal procedures and specific actions intended to ensure that conduct is in accordance with ethical principles and applicable law, preventing, avoiding and mitigating the risk of conduct that is improper or contrary to ethics or the law.

Elements of the system include the Code of Ethics (which is applicable to all professionals of the Iberdrola group’s companies, board members and suppliers) and the Compliance Unit, a collective permanent and internal body linked to the Sustainable Development Committee, which, among other things, spreads a preventive culture based on the principle of “zero tolerance” towards the commission of illegal acts and improper conduct. The System has been designed following the best domestic and international practices in the area of compliance, fraud prevention and the fight against corruption.

Finally, in relation to possible risks with a reputational impact, the following is reported:

- The commencement of an oral criminal trial ordered by Central Preliminary Examining Court number 2 against “Iberdrola Energía España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and four of its employees after the proceeding that commenced in April 2017 when the Public Prosecutor filed a claim against this company relating to the price of bids for the Duero, Sil and Tajo hydroelectric management units between 30 November 2013 and 23 December 2013.
- The commencement of an oral criminal trial ordered by Preliminary Examining Court No. 4 of Valladolid for the alleged Wind Farm Payoff Scheme (Trama Eólica) in Castile-León, which orders “Iberdrola Renovables de Castilla y León, S.A.” S.A. to appear as a party with potential subsidiary civil liability in the amount of €1,257,500, jointly and severally with the Regional Government of Castile and León.

For more details regarding the risks to which the Iberdrola group’s companies are subject, see:

- The “Principal risks and uncertainties” section of the consolidated directors’ report for financial year 2022.
- The “Climate action and TCFD” section of the “Statement of Non-Financial Information. Sustainability Report 2022”.
- Section 5.3 “Risks” of the “Integrated Report February 2023”.
The activities of the Iberdrola group’s companies during financial year 2023 and subsequent years will be particularly affected by the following main risk factors:

- The aforementioned ESG risks.
- The impact on the global economy of the evolving Russian invasion of Ukraine, which in turn exacerbates the risks listed below.
  - Changes in the interest rate and exchange rate of the principal countries in which the Iberdrola group’s companies do business, as well as inflation.
  - Changes in international gas prices and emission allowances (or equivalent mechanisms) and their impact on electricity prices.
  - Prices of raw materials and tensions in the supply chains.
  - Energy market and tax intervention measures adopted by different governments.
- The future reform of the European and Spanish electricity market.
- Changes in industry regulations in Mexico.
- Competition in the liberalised market.
- The annual change in hydraulic, solar and wind resources.
- The ability to implement the major investment plan, in terms of cost and timing, including entry into new countries.
- Regular regulatory reviews of the Iberdrola group’s companies that carry out electricity distribution and transmission activities.
- Financial and reputational risk arising from a potential increase in cybersecurity attacks or incidents. Noteworthy in this regard are the regular appearances before the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee of executive officers competent to report on this issue.

E.4. Indicate whether the entity has risk tolerance levels, including for tax risk.

The Company’s Board of Directors reviews and approves the risk tolerance levels that are acceptable at the Iberdrola group level on an annual basis. The General Risk Control and Management Policy, together with the policies that further develop and supplement it, qualitatively and quantitatively establish the annually accepted risk appetite, in a sufficiently detailed manner, both at the group level and at the level of each of the principal businesses and corporate functions, in accordance with the objectives established in the multi-year plan and the corresponding annual budgets.

By way of complement, the Administration and Control Division, after considering such limits and guidelines, in order to verify the risk globally assumed in the annual profit and loss account, engages in a comprehensive probability analysis of the global risk remaining for the financial year at the time of approving the annual budget.

In addition, all new multi-year plans are accompanied by their corresponding analysis of associated risk.

The General Risk Control and Management Policy is further developed and supplemented through the following policies, which are also subject to approval and update by the Company’s Board of Directors, and which include the following risk limits and indicators:

Corporate Risk Policies:

- Corporate Credit Risk Policy
- Corporate Market Risk Policy
- Operational Risk in Market Transactions Policy
- Insurance Policy
- Investment Policy
- Financing and Financial Risk Policy
- Treasury Share Policy
- Risk Policy for Equity Interests in Listed Companies
- Information Technology Policy
- Cybersecurity Risk Policy
- Reputational Risk Framework Policy
- Purchasing Policy
- Occupational Safety and Health Risk Policy

Risk policies for the various businesses of the Iberdrola group’s companies:

- Risk Policy for the Networks Businesses of the Iberdrola group
- Risk Policy for the Electricity Production and Retail Businesses of the Iberdrola group
- Risk Policy for the Real Estate Business

The General Risk Control and Management Policy, as well a summary of the risk policies in further implementation thereof, are available on Iberdrola’s corporate website (www.iberdrola.com).

The limits and indicators of the risk policies should be consistent with the annual budget and the objectives set forth in the multi-year investment plans. The numeric values of the limits and indicators set forth in the various policies are probabilistic in nature (like VaR and EBITDA at risk) or deterministic in nature, and are expressed in monetary units, indices or benchmarks based on which volumetric risks and/or values are generated, including:

- limits on the maximum overall credit risk exposure by type of counterparty;
- limitations on market risk proportional to the volume of activity of each business;
- strict overall limit on the discrentional trading of energy;
- limitations on operational risk through preventative maintenance programmes and assurance programmes; and
- strict limitations on activities not associated with the main energy business.

The Corporate Tax Policy establishes the limits on tax risk by setting the tax strategy, the principles of conduct and the good tax practices assumed by the Company.

As described above, the Iberdrola group’s companies have a risk tolerance level (acceptable risk level) established at the corporate level, which is annually approved by the Company’s Board of Directors and by its Executive Committee. The Group’s Risk Committee, the Operating Committee, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, the businesses, the corporate functions and the Group’s Risk and Internal Assurance Division also participate in the process.

E.5. Indicate which risks, including tax risks, have materialised during the year.

The activities of the Iberdrola group’s companies during 2022 were affected by various risks that materialised in the countries and markets in which they operate. Thanks to a diversification of activities, markets and geographical regions (which allowed the negative impacts on some businesses to be offset by favourable performance in others) and the measures adopted, the overall impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Iberdrola group and its subsidiaries has been limited.

The risks that have materialised include various measures approved by the different European governments in the countries in which the companies of the Iberdrola group are present, following the sharp rise in prices on international gas markets as a result of the war in Ukraine.

Main risks that have materialised:

In Spain:

i The various regulatory measures approved by the Spanish government include the following:
- Royal Decree-Law 6/2022 of 29 March adopting urgent measures within the framework of the National Plan responding to the economic and social consequences of the war in Ukraine.
– Royal Decree-Law 10/2022 of 13 May establishing a temporary production cost adjustment mechanism to reduce the price of electricity on the wholesale market.

– Royal Decree-Law 11/2022 of 25 June adopting and extending certain measures to respond to the economic and social consequences of the war in Ukraine, to address situations of social and economic vulnerability, and for the economic and social recovery of the island of La Palma.

The main impacts on the electricity sector are as follows:

1. Intervention in the wholesale market price for electricity through 31 Mat 2023, by introducing a cap on the price of gas.
2. The gas price reduction with the limit on fixed price electricity sales contracts above €67/MWh (+ Commercial margin + charges), extended until 31 December 2023 by Royal Decree-Law 18/2022 of 18 October.
3. On the positive side, the 7% tax on generation is suspended and there are reductions in the electricity tax to 0.5% and VAT on electricity to 5%, extended until 31 December 2023 by Royal Decree-Law 20/2022 of 27 December.
4. The reinstatement of the hydroelectric fee and the subsidised rates (bono social).
5. An extraordinary update to the remuneration mechanism for renewables subject to Royal Decree 413/2014 of 6 June.

ii Law 38/2022 of 27 December establishing a new temporary levy on energy companies, credit institutions and other taxes, with an impact on the results for financial years 2023 and 2024.

iii The lower annual hydroelectric (-5 TWh) and nuclear (-0.7 TWh) production during the year, in a situation of very high market prices, as a result of an extreme situation of drought and the unexpected unavailability of Cofrentes NPP, respectively.

iv Ministerial orders TED/490/2022 of 31 May and TED/749/2022 of 27 July, establishing the remuneration of electricity distribution companies for 2016 and the years 2017, 2018 and 2019, on terms unfavourable to the interests of the Iberdrola group’s companies, which have been appealed.

In the United Kingdom:

– The new windfall profits tax on generators of electricity from 2023 onwards.

In Mexico:

– The Energy Regulatory Commission’s fine imposed on “Iberdrola Energía Monterrey S.A. de C.V.” in an amount equivalent to US$460 million for the sale in 2019 and 2020 of electricity from a self-supply company, which has been provisionally suspended by the courts.

– The National Center for Energy Control (Centro Nacional de Control de Energía) (CENACE) disconnected the Santiago wind farm from the system as a result of the notification by “Empresa Filial CFE Intermediación de Contratos Legados, S.A. de C.V.” (CFE-ICL) of the termination of the connection contract on the grounds that the wind farm is incorrectly sited. The Iberdrola group company with standing filed a claim for relief and CFE-ICL has been notified of the commencement of a commercial arbitration.

– On 31 January 2022 and 31 August 2022, the connection contracts to operate the 550 MW Monterrey or Dulces Nombres (CDU) power station in Monterrey, Nuevo León, and the 144 MW Enertek cogeneration power station in Altamira, Tamaulipas, both operating under the self-supply regime, were terminated, and the relevant permits to operate under the market regime have been requested at the appropriate time. These permits have yet to be obtained at the date of production of this report.

Other risks that have materialised:

– The write-off of all of the accounts receivable for the project performed by Iberdrola Ingenieria in Salem (United States of America), with a total impact of US$89 million.

Positive events that have occurred include the following:

– The ruling of the Spanish Supreme Court annulling the current financing mechanism for subsidised electricity rates (Bono Social) in effect until early 2022, with a positive impact on EBITDA of €109 million.

– The ruling of the Economic-Administrative Court upholding a reduction coefficient for the tax on spent nuclear fuel applied to fuel assemblies inserted into the reactor prior to 1 January 2013, with a positive impact on EBITDA of €79 million.

– The return of accounts receivable from our customers to the usual pre-covid ranges in Spain and in other countries.
E.6. Explain the response and oversight plans for the company's main risks, including tax risks, as well as the procedures followed by the company in order to ensure that the Board of Directors responds to any new challenges that arise.

The comprehensive risk control and management system, together with the control and management policies and systems that implement them, including the Group’s Risk Committee and the Company’s Operating Committee, have allowed for the identification of risks and new threats sufficiently in advance, as well as for establishing appropriate mitigation plans.

The Company’s Operating Committee meets on an approximately weekly basis.

The Group’s Risk Committee, which reviews the evolution of the various risks, meets on a monthly basis, and on a quarterly basis issues the Quarterly Risk report of the Iberdrola group, which includes the main risk positions, the report on compliance with policies and limits approved, and the update of the key risks maps.

On at least a quarterly basis, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee of the Board of Directors supervises the evolution of the Company's risks:

- It reviews the Iberdrola group’s quarterly risk reports submitted by the Group’s Risk Management and Internal Assurance Director.
- It coordinates and reviews the risk reports submitted on a regular basis (at least half-yearly) by the audit and compliance committees of the country subholding and head of business companies of the Iberdrola group.
- On at least a half-yearly basis, it prepares a risk report for the Board of Directors.

In addition, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee of the Board of Directors regularly calls each one of the heads of businesses and of the relevant corporate areas to a meeting so that they can report on the trends in their respective businesses or corporate areas and the risks associated therewith.

The Iberdrola group’s risk management model is based on the internationally recognised three lines model and ensures the existence of mechanisms so that all significant group risks are controlled at all times and subject to regular reporting to the various committees and commissions and externally.

F INTERNAL RISK MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEMS RELATING TO THE PROCESS OF PUBLISHING FINANCIAL INFORMATION (ICFRS)

Describe the mechanisms forming your company’s internal control over financial reporting systems (ICFRS).

F.1. The entity's control environment.

Report on at least the following, describing their principal features:

F.1.1 The bodies and/or departments that are responsible for: (i) the existence and maintenance of an adequate and effective ICFRS; (ii) its implementation; and (iii) its supervision.
Iberdrola’s Board of Directors is ultimately responsible for implementing and maintaining a proper and effective Internal Control over Financial Reporting System (hereinafter, “ICFRS”). The boards of directors of each of the country subholding and head of business companies also have this responsibility within their respective purview.

The heads of the country subholding companies and of the head of business companies, together with their respective heads of control, as well as the directors of the corporate areas, are in turn responsible for the design and implementation of the ICFRS. This responsibility is explicitly set forth in the certifications that said persons sign on a half-yearly basis in relation to the financial information for their respective areas of responsibility.

Pursuant to Article 31.6.d of the Regulations of the Board of Directors, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (hereinafter, the “Committee”) is responsible for supervising the effectiveness of the internal control of Iberdrola and of all of the companies within its group, as well as the risk management systems thereof. Article 31.6.f of this Regulation also provides that the duties of the Committee include that of supervising the process of preparing and presenting mandatory financial information and submitting recommendations or proposals to the Board of Directors to protect the integrity of this information.

The Committee is supported by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and the Internal Audit Area in the performance of its powers with respect to the internal control and risk management systems. Any audit and compliance committees at the country subholding and head of business companies have these powers within their respective purview.

The mission of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, which is functionally subordinate to the Committee (according to the IIA 2020 “Three Lines Model” of The Institute of Internal Auditors, this area would be a “second line”), is to ensure the proper definition, implementation and maintenance of the ICFRS, assuring Senior Management and the Board of Directors, through the Committee, that it is effective.

F.1.2 Indicate whether the following exist, especially in relation to the drawing up of financial information:

- Departments and/or mechanisms in charge of: (i) the design and review of the organisational structure; (ii) clear definition of lines of responsibility and authority with an appropriate distribution of tasks and functions; and (iii) ensuring that adequate procedures exist for their proper dissemination throughout the entity:

The Board of Directors of Iberdrola defines the organisational structure at the first level. The heads of these top-level organisations, together with the Personnel and Organisation Division, implement the deployment within their respective purview.

Each top-level division prepares a proposed organisational structure, including a description of the mission, duties and responsibilities of the various organisations deployed, which must subsequently be validated by the Personnel and Organisation Division, as well as by the Finance, Control and Corporate Development Division.

The main responsibility for preparing financial information lies with the Administration and Control Division. This division proposes the structure of heads of Control of the country subholding and head of business companies and deals with coordinating and supervising the conduct thereof.

- Code of conduct, the body approving this, degree of dissemination and instruction, principles and values covered (stating whether there is specific mention of record keeping and preparation of financial information), body charged with analysing breaches and proposing corrective actions and sanctions:

Iberdrola has a Code of Ethics that was first approved by the Board of Directors in financial year 2002, and that is regularly reviewed and updated.

The Code of Ethics is communicated and disseminated among the professionals of the Iberdrola group’s companies in accordance with the plan approved annually for this purpose by the Iberdrola’s Compliance Unit, which provides
for various initiatives in the area of training (both on-line and in-person) and communication, addressed to the various
groups of professionals based on their exposure to compliance risks.

The Code of Ethics, which includes informational transparency among its general ethical principles and principles
on relations with Iberdrola’s Stakeholders, expressly states the following in Article B.6:

“1. The companies of the Iberdrola Group shall provide true, proper, useful and reliable information regarding their
performance and relevant conduct. The transparency of the information required to be disclosed is a basic principle
that must govern the conduct of all directors, professionals and suppliers of the Group’s companies.

2. The financial information of the companies integrated within Iberdrola, and particularly the annual financial
statements, shall reflect in all material respects a true and fair view of their assets, financial position and results as
provided by law. For such purposes, no director, professional or supplier shall conceal or distort the information set
forth in the accounting records and reports of the group’s companies, which shall be complete, accurate and truthful.

3. A lack of honesty in the communication of information from Iberdrola’s boundary, whether within the Group (to
professionals, subsidiaries, departments, internal bodies, and management decision-making bodies, among others)
or externally (to auditors, shareholders and investors, regulatory bodies, and the media) is a breach of this Code of
Ethics. This includes delivering incorrect information, organising it in an incorrect manner or seeking to confuse
those who receive it.”

The Compliance Unit (the “Unit”), which is a collective permanent and internal body linked to the Sustainable
Development Committee of Iberdrola, controls the effective operation of Iberdrola’s Compliance System, with
powers in the area of compliance. The duties of the Unit include ensuring and coordinating the application of the
Code of Ethics and of the other rules in the compliance area, and the spread of a preventive culture based on the
principle of “zero tolerance” towards the commission of unlawful acts. It also approves the “General Compliance
System Framework of the Iberdrola group”, which contains the basic principles of structure and operation of the
Compliance System of the companies of the Iberdrola group, as well as the duties and responsibilities of the various
bodies involved. The Unit also evaluates and prepares an annual report on the effectiveness of the Compliance
System of Iberdrola and of the companies of the Iberdrola group. The report is submitted to the Sustainable
Development Committee, which issues its opinion and forwards it to the Board of Directors of Iberdrola.

The Unit is also in charge of investigating grievances and potential improper activities in order to determine whether
a professional of Iberdrola has acted contrary to the provisions of applicable law or the Code of Ethics, and if
applicable, to submit its conclusions to the Personnel and Organisation Division for it to decide on the application of
disciplinary measures in accordance with the offences and penalties system set forth in the collective bargaining
agreement to which the professional belongs or in applicable labour law. The Compliance divisions of the other
companies of the Iberdrola group perform this same function at each of them.

Pursuant to Article F.5.1 of the Code of Ethics, directors, professionals and suppliers of the Iberdrola group’s
companies expressly accept the rules of conduct established therein that are applicable thereto.

Pursuant to Article F.5.2 of the Code of Ethics, professionals who hereafter join or become part of the Iberdrola
group’s boundary and suppliers contracting with companies of the Iberdrola group shall also expressly accept the
rules of conduct to which they are subject as set forth in sections D (for professionals) and E (for suppliers),
respectively, of the Code of Ethics.

Likewise, directors shall receive a complete copy of the Code of Ethics, for which they shall deliver a signed receipt.

- Whistleblower channel allowing notifications to the audit committee of
irregularities of a financial and accounting nature, in addition to potential
breaches of the code of conduct and unlawful activities undertaken in the
organisation, indicating whether this channel is confidential and whether
anonymous notifications can be made, protecting the rights of the whistleblower
and the person reported.

Iberdrola has various ethics mailboxes based on the sender: (i) ethics mailboxes for professionals; (ii) the mailbox
available to shareholders and investors; and (iii) the suppliers’ mailbox, accessible from the Employee Portal, from
the OLS-Shareholders’ Club system or their mobile app, and from the Supplier Portal, respectively. These channels
allow for communicating and complaining of any conduct that may involve the commission of an improper act or an
act in violation of law or Iberdrola’s Governance and Sustainability System, and particularly the rules of conduct provided for in the Code of Ethics, or for asking questions regarding any issue with respect to compliance.

Identification of the complaining party or whistleblower is not required to send a complaint through these mailboxes (complaints may be anonymous), and if the reporting party identifies themselves, Iberdrola guarantees absolute confidentiality with respect to both the information provided and the personal data of the reporting party. The companies of the Iberdrola group also state their commitment to not retaliate against any professional making a complaint, unless there is bad faith on the part of the complaining party.

- Training and periodic refresher programmes for personnel involved in the preparation and revision of financial information, as well as in the assessment of the IFRS, covering at least accounting standards, auditing, internal control and risk management:

Training is key in the Company’s People Management Policy and is an essential element for new professionals to adapt themselves to the Iberdrola group and for the proper performance of their jobs, as well as to keep the group’s professionals updated regarding any changes that occur within the group itself as well as the environment within which they do business.

As an example of the commitment to training, Iberdrola has a corporate campus with multiple training centres in various countries, including the International Corporate Campus in San Agustín del Guadalix (Madrid). Training in all areas is provided at these facilities by internal professionals, outside entities, universities, outside experts, etc.

Specifically, the personnel directly or indirectly involved in the preparation and review of financial information and in the evaluation of the IFRS, based on their different responsibilities, receive regular training on accounting standards, auditing, internal control and risk management, which is intended to give them the knowledge needed for the optimal performance of their duties as well as to anticipate, to the extent possible, the proper alignment of the Iberdrola group’s companies with future rules and best practices. Most of these courses are provided by outside entities: business schools, universities and consultants specialising in economic/financial matters.

In addition, and on a general basis, these professionals regularly take coursework to improve their qualifications in the use of the computer-based tools required to perform their duties, mainly Excel and database management.

These professionals also attend various conferences, symposia and seminars in the areas of accounting, tax and internal audit, at both the domestic and the international level.

Furthermore, in order to pool best practices and analyse the challenges facing the Iberdrola group’s companies in these areas, various international meetings among the professionals of these areas from the different countries and country subholding companies are organised on an annual basis. Specifically, in 2022 there were, among other events, the “IV International Internal Audit Planning Symposia” and the “XIV Global Control Committee”, held on an annual basis to analyse the most significant issues affecting the function of preparing and reviewing financial information, like new accounting rules.

As in 2021, a large portion of the activities and actions mentioned above have been carried out mainly virtually or on a hybrid bases (in-person and remote), due to the situation caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

In addition, although not considered specific training activities, the Accounting Practice Division, which reports directly to the Administration and Control Division, is responsible for defining and updating the accounting policies, publishes a quarterly bulletin that is broadly distributed within the Iberdrola group regarding new accounting developments with respect to International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”), which includes updates on standards (standards that have entered into effect, published draft or proposed standards, standards issued, standards approved by the European Union, new standards and expected drafts or proposals, as well as existing standards) and accounting questions asked internally, together with the conclusions with respect thereto.

F.2. Assessment of risks in financial reporting.

Report on at least the following:
F.2.1 The main characteristics of the risk identification process, including risks of error and fraud, as regards:

- Whether the process exists and is documented.

The process of identifying risks of error in financial information is one of the most important steps within the methodology used for implementing Iberdrola’s ICFRS, documenting both the objectives and performance thereof as well as its results.

The methodology, developed and updated by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, starts with an analysis of the consolidated financial information of the Iberdrola group and of the various country subholding companies, in order to select the most significant accounting headings and notes, pursuant to quantitative (materiality) and qualitative (business risk and third-party visibility) standards. The headings and notes selected are grouped into management cycles or large processes in which the selected information is generated. The cycles are analysed and a high-level description of each of them is prepared as a means for identifying the potential risks of error in the financial information in relation to attributes like integrity, presentation, valuation, cut-off, recording and validity. The risks identified are subject to a process of assessment, selecting the most significant ones, applying professional judgement regarding a number of indicators (existence of documented processes and controls, intervention of systems that automate the process, occurrence of incidents in the past, familiarity with and maturity of the process, and need for the use of judgement to make estimates). The risks of fraud are not subject to explicit identification, although they are taken into account to the extent that they can generate material errors in the financial information.

Once the most significant risks have been selected and the main aspects to be controlled are identified, the controls required for the mitigation or management thereof are selected and designed, with these controls being subject to monitoring and documentation within the scope of the ICFRS.

The Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division provides specialised knowledge regarding internal control and carries out duties of support and coordination throughout the process described above, endeavouring to ensure the consistency and homogeneity of the model at the level of the Iberdrola group, as well as the efficiency and effectiveness thereof.

The selected risks are reviewed at least annually within the framework of the assessment of the effectiveness of the internal control system performed by those responsible for it with the support and coordination of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division. This review is intended to update the risks to the changing circumstances in which the Company operates, especially in the event of changes in the organisation, computer systems, regulation, products or market conditions.

The above risks, together with the controls that mitigate or manage them, are systematically reviewed by the Internal Audit Area.

- Whether the process covers all the objectives of financial reporting (existence and occurrence; completeness; valuation; presentation; disclosure and comparability; and rights and obligations), whether it is updated and if so how often:

As mentioned above, the cycles or large processes in which financial information is generated are reviewed at least on an annual basis to identify potential risks of error in relation to attributes like validity (existence and approval), integrity, valuation, presentation, cut-off and recording.

- The existence of a process for identifying the scope of consolidation, taking into account, among other factors, the possible existence of complex corporate structures or special purpose vehicles:
The scope of consolidation is identified on a monthly basis, and is used to produce an updated map of companies, expressly identifying the changes that have occurred in each period.

The scope of this review is the totality of companies in which Iberdrola or any of its subsidiaries has an interest, regardless of the significance thereof.

Furthermore, following the provisions of Section 529 of the Companies Act, the Regulations of the Board of Directors provide that the purview of the Board of Directors includes, among other things, approving the creation or acquisition of equity interests in special purpose entities or entities registered in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens, as well as any other transactions or operations of a similar nature that, due to their complexity, might diminish the transparency of the Iberdrola group. In any event, said decisions must be preceded by a report of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, as provided by its Regulations, unless these transactions are carried out by listed country subholding companies of the Iberdrola group or subsidiaries thereof, in which case the audit and compliance committee or equivalent body of such listed country subholding company issues the report.

Pursuant to specific internal procedures in effect (conforming to the Iberdrola group’s corporate governance model), the initiative relating to the creation or acquisition of an interest in a special purpose entity or an entity domiciled in a tax haven is within the purview of the management of Iberdrola or of the country subholding or head of business company or subsidiary thereof that intends to create or acquire a company of this nature.

- Whether the process takes into account the effects of other types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal, tax, reputational, environmental, etc.) to the extent that they affect the financial statements:

The process of identifying risks of error in financial information takes into account the effects of other types of risk (operational, technological, legal, tax, reputational, environmental, etc.) to the extent that they significantly affect the financial statements. These risks are assessed and managed by various corporate units such as the Risk Management Division or Legal Services, among others. However, there is no express categorisation of such other types for the identification of financial information risks.

- The governing body within the company that supervises the process:

The governing body that supervises the process is the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee, which is supported by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and the Internal Audit Area in the performance of this duty.

**F.3. Control activities.**

Report on whether the company has at least the following, describing their main characteristics:

F.3.1 Review and authorisation procedures for financial information and a description of the ICFRS, to be disclosed to the securities markets, indicating those responsible, as well as documentation describing the flow of activity and controls (including those relating to the risk of fraud) of the various types of transactions which may materially affect the financial statements, including accounting closing procedures and the specific review of significant judgements, estimates, valuations and projections.

Iberdrola’s Board of Directors defines the process for preparing the consolidated financial information of the Iberdrola group and clearly determines the powers vested in the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (hereinafter, the
“Committee”) and in the audit and compliance committees of the other companies of the group through the Iberdrola Group Financial Information Preparation Policy that applies to all companies of the Iberdrola group.

“Consolidated financial information” means the information appearing in the consolidated annual financial statements, in the interim management statements corresponding to the results of Iberdrola and its consolidated group for the first and third quarter, and in the half-yearly financial report.

This policy provides that the financial information required for the preparation of the “consolidated financial information” must be prepared in accordance with the accounting standards established in the Accounting Policies Handbook and the models approved by Iberdrola’s Administration and Control Division.

Said policy provides that the management decision-making body of each company shall be responsible for preparing the financial information relating to its respective company that may be required to prepare the “consolidated financial information”. By analogy, the management decision-making bodies of the country subholding companies shall be responsible for approving the “financial information for consolidation” within which the information regarding the company itself and that of the subsidiaries forming part of its subgroup is included.

Thus, the management decision-making bodies of the country subholding companies, following a report from their respective audit and compliance committees, and based on the information received from their subsidiaries, shall prepare and approve the financial information for consolidation corresponding to their subgroup, and once such information has been verified by their external auditor within the context of its review of the consolidated financial information, they shall send it to Iberdrola’s Administration and Control Division prior to the date indicated thereby, in order to prepare the consolidated financial information and submit it for formulation or approval by the Company’s Board of Directors, as appropriate, after a report from the Committee.

Furthermore, the process or structure of certification of the financial information, which is managed and coordinated by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, is formally carried out on a half-yearly basis, coinciding with the interim and annual close, reflects the form in which the financial information is generated within the companies of the Iberdrola group.

In this structure, the heads of the country subholding companies and the heads of the head of business companies, together with their respective heads of control, as well as the heads of the global corporate areas, certify both the reliability of the financial information regarding their areas of responsibility (which is the information they provide to the Company for consolidation at the group level) and the effectiveness of the internal control system established to reasonably guarantee such reliability within their area of responsibility. Finally, the executive chairman and the General Finance, Control and Corporate Development Director (CFO), who is responsible for the preparation of the financial information, certify to the Board of Directors the reliability of the annual financial statements and the half-yearly financial report.

The Committee, with the support of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and the Internal Audit Area, supervises the entire process of certification, submitting to the Board of Directors the conclusions obtained from this analysis at the meetings during which the financial statements are formally prepared.

As regards the description of the IFRS to be published in the securities markets, the procedure for the review and approval thereof is the same as the one used for all disclosures of an economic and financial nature in the Annual Corporate Governance Report.

The documentation of the IFRS includes high-level descriptions of the cycles for generating the selected relevant financial information, as well as detailed descriptions of the prioritised risks of error and of the controls designed for the mitigation or management thereof. The description of the controls includes the evidence to be obtained during the implementation thereof, which is necessary for their review.

Each of the accounting close processes at the businesses is considered a cycle, and the same occurs with the group of accounting close activities at the corporate level, with the global consolidation process and with the process of preparing the notes to the financial statements. This means that all of these activities are subject to the methodological process described in the section relating to risks.

Furthermore, the specific review of critical accounting judgements, estimates, valuations and relevant projections is subject to specific controls within the model, as these types of issues involve risks of error in the various cycles in which they are made. The evidence of the specific controls is the support for such reviews in many cases.

Independently of the process of certification followed in the countries, businesses and corporate areas, the Committee, with the support of the Internal Audit Area, performs a quarterly global review of the financial information, ensuring that the half-yearly financial reports and quarterly management statements are prepared using the same
accounting standards as the annual financial reports, and verifies the proper definition of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of generally accepted accounting principles and of the IFRS.

F.3.2 Internal IT control policies and procedures (access security, control of changes, system operation, operational continuity and segregation of duties, among others) which support significant processes within the company relating to the preparation and publication of financial information.

The controls considered to mitigate or manage the risks of error in financial reporting include some relating to the most significant software applications, like the controls relating to user access permissions or those relating to the integrity of the transfer of information between applications, control of operations and change management.

In addition, the companies of the Iberdrola group have internal control guidelines and procedures regarding IT systems in relation to the acquisition and development of software, the acquisition of systems infrastructure, the installation and testing of software, change management, service levels, third-party services, security of the systems and access thereto, incident management, and continuity of operations and segregation of functions.

These guidelines and procedures (which in some cases are different based on geographic area or type of solution, and are in a process of progressive homogenisation) are applied to all IT systems that support the relevant processes of generation of financial information, and to the infrastructure required for the operation thereof.

At the Iberdrola group level, the Company also has an Information Technology Policy that contemplates the management of risks associated with the use, ownership, operation, participation, influence and adoption of specific information technology or the processes for the management and control thereof.

Thus, there is a model of general controls integrated within the risk management model that allows for a global evaluation of the risks related to information technology.

Both the risk model and the IT controls are based on market best practices, like COBIT5 and COSO. The evolution thereof over the long term is maintained by including the new needs arising from the changing regulatory compliance framework that applies to the IT systems and services, as well as the recommendations and guidelines of auditors and relevant third parties.

As part of the general IT controls model, there is a regular evaluation of the effectiveness of the information technology controls implemented in the area of financial systems, adopting the appropriate measures if any incident is detected.

The heads of the Iberdrola group’s information systems certify the effectiveness of the internal controls established over the financial reporting systems on an annual basis. This certification covers the relevant financial systems based on the scope of the external financial audit and the considerations of systems organisation, internal assurance, internal audit and the relevant business organisations within the boundary of the Iberdrola group.

For financial year 2022, the total number of systems covered by the IT controls system was 48, on which a model of 21 controls was applied, most of which are evaluated and applied by the Systems Division, and in some cases by other business organisations.

The frequency of the evaluation is annual or biannual, depending on the nature of the control, and it is performed using a principle of sampling of all of the relevant evidence in each case. The entire process of evaluating the IT controls is supported by a “GRC” (Governance, Risks and Compliance) system and is supervised annually by the Internal Audit Area.

F.3.3 Internal control policies and procedures for overseeing the management of activities subcontracted to third parties, as well as of those aspects of assessment, calculation or valuation entrusted to independent experts, which may materially affect financial statements.

In general terms, the companies of the Iberdrola group do not have significant functions subcontracted to third parties with a direct impact on financial information. The evaluations, calculations or assessments entrusted to third
F.4. Information and communication.

Report on whether the company has at least the following, describing their main characteristics:

F.4.1 A specifically assigned function for defining and updating accounting policies (accounting policy area or department) and resolving doubts or conflicts arising from their interpretation, maintaining a free flow of information to those responsible for operations in the organisation, as well as an up-to-date accounting policy manual distributed to the business units through which the company operates.

The Accounting Practice Division, which reports to the Administration and Control Division, is responsible for defining and updating the accounting policies, as well as for resolving questions or conflicts arising from the interpretation thereof. It maintains fluid communication with the heads of operation of the organisation, and particularly with the heads of the accounting functions.

As indicated in section F.1. above, it publishes a bulletin on a quarterly basis that is broadly distributed within the Iberdrola group regarding new accounting developments deriving from the IFRS, which includes updates on standards (standards that have entered into effect, published draft or proposed rules, standards issued, standards approved by the European Union, new standards and expected drafts or proposals, as well as existing standards) and accounting questions asked internally, together with the conclusions with respect thereto.

The Accounting Practice Division is also responsible for keeping the Accounting Policies Handbook continuously updated and ensuring the appropriate dissemination thereof.

The Accounting Policies Handbook is continuously updated. For this purpose, the Accounting Practice Division analyses whether the new developments or changes in the accounting area have an effect on the accounting policies of the Iberdrola group’s companies, as well as the date of entry into force of each of the standards. When a new provision, or new interpretations thereof, are identified as having an effect on the accounting policies of the Iberdrola group’s companies, they are included in the handbook, and also communicated to the parties responsible for preparing the financial information of said companies through the quarterly bulletins mentioned above, and the application supporting the handbook is also updated.

The updated version of said handbook is available in an application on the internal network of the Iberdrola group’s companies. This application is also accessible by users via remote access and can be connected to e-mail. Any change or upload of a document of the handbook generates an e-mail notice to all users.

F.4.2 Mechanisms for capturing and preparing financial information in standardised formats for application and use by all units of the entity or group, and support its main financial statements and notes, as well as disclosures concerning IFRS.

The mechanism for capturing and preparing the information supporting the main financial statements within the boundary of the Iberdrola group is primarily based on the use of a unified management consolidation tool (called
BPC), which is accessible from all geographic areas and is currently deployed at all of the Iberdrola group’s companies.

A large part of the information supporting the breakdowns and notes is included in the consolidation tool, with the rest being captured by homogeneously formatted spreadsheets, called reporting packets, that are prepared for the half-yearly and yearly close.

F.5. Supervision of the functioning of the system.

Report on at least the following, describing their principal features:

F.5.1 The activities of the audit committee in overseeing the ICFRS as well as whether there is an internal audit function one of the responsibilities of which is to provide support to the committee in its task of supervising the internal control system, including the ICFRS. Additionally, describe the scope of the ICFRS assessment made during the year and the procedure through which the person responsible for performing the assessment communicates its results, whether the company has an action plan detailing possible corrective measures, and whether their impact on financial reporting has been considered.

The Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (hereinafter, the “Committee”) is supported by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and the Internal Audit Area in the performance of its powers with respect to the internal control and risk management systems.

The Committee’s supervision of the ICFRS mainly includes:

i. monitoring compliance with the certification process by the various persons responsible for financial information;

ii. reviewing the design and operation of the internal control system to evaluate the effectiveness thereof, with the support of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and the Internal Audit Area; and

iii. regular meetings with the external auditor, the Administration and Control Division, the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, the Internal Audit Area and senior management to review, analyse and comment on the financial information, the boundary of companies that it covers and the accounting criteria applied, as well as any significant weaknesses in internal control that have been identified.

The Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division performs functions that include, among others, monitoring, supporting, coordinating and homogenising the implementation of the ICFRS, establishing the methodology, criteria and reporting method, as well as the operational monitoring of controls and the regular assessment of the effectiveness of the ICFRS.

The parties responsible for preparing the financial information of each country subholding company, head of business company and corporate area must engage in an annual process, coordinated by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, of reviewing the design and operation of the internal control system within their area of responsibility in order to evaluate the effectiveness thereof.

There is thus an analysis of whether, based on the changing circumstances in which the companies of the Iberdrola group act (changes in organisation, systems, processes, products, regulation, etc.), changes in the risks identified and prioritised should be included and/or new risks should be identified. There is also an analysis of whether the design of the existing controls to mitigate or manage the risks that may have changed is appropriate, as well as whether they have operated satisfactorily in accordance with their design.

The conclusions from this annual review process, with respect to both the deficiencies identified (which are classified as high, medium or low, based precisely on their potential impact on the financial information) and the action plans to fix them, are presented at an annual specific meeting attended by the heads of Control of Iberdrola and of the
various country subholding companies, the heads of the main corporate areas, of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division and of the Internal Audit Area. Conclusions are made at this meeting regarding the effectiveness of the Internal Control over Financial Reporting System (ICFRS) within each of the different areas of responsibility, and globally for the entire Iberdrola group.

Thereafter, the most significant conclusions regarding the review are submitted to the Committee within the framework of the regular meetings it holds with the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Director.

Apart from what is described in the preceding paragraphs, the Internal Audit Area, in support of the Committee, undertakes an independent review of the design and operation of the internal control system, identifying deficiencies and preparing recommendations for improvement. The Internal Audit Area is functionally subordinate to the Committee, and pursuant to the Basic Internal Audit Regulations has the main duties of assisting this committee in the exercise of its powers and objectively and independently supervising the effectiveness of the internal control system established at the Iberdrola group level, which is made up of a set of risk management and control mechanisms and systems.

Based thereon, the Internal Audit Area engages in ongoing monitoring of the action plans agreed to with the various organisations to correct the deficiencies detected and to implement the suggestions for improvement agreed to with the organisations.

The period that the Internal Audit Area plans for in-depth review of the entire internal control system is five years.

Specifically, 25 cycles were reviewed during financial year 2022. These are cycles corresponding to the companies “Iberdrola México, S.A. de C.V.”, “Scottish Power Ltd.”, “Iberdrola España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal), “Neoenergia S.A.”, “Iberdrola Energía Internacional, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and “Iberdrola Inmobiliaria, S.A.”, as well as corporate cycles.

In addition, on a half-yearly basis, coinciding with the half-yearly and yearly close, the Internal Audit Area performs a review of the operation of the internal controls that are considered to be most critical, to which there should be added the annual review of all the SOX Key Controls of “Avangrid, Inc.”.

The combination of regular reviews, together with the half-yearly reviews of the most critical controls, allows the Internal Audit Area to perform an evaluation of the internal control system (both design and operation) and issue an opinion regarding the effectiveness of the internal controls established to ensure the reliability of the financial information, which it submits to the Committee within the framework of their regular meetings.

F.5.2 Whether there is a discussion procedure whereby the auditor (as defined in the Spanish Technical Audit Standards), the internal auditor and other experts can report to senior management and the audit committee or directors of the company any significant weaknesses in internal control identified during the review of the annual financial statements or any others they have been assigned. Additionally, state whether an action plan is available for correcting or mitigating any weaknesses detected.

In general terms, the procedure for discussion regarding significant internal control weaknesses that have been identified is based on regular meetings with the various players.

Thus, the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (hereinafter, the “Committee”) holds meetings, both at the half-yearly and yearly close, with the external auditor, the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, the Internal Audit Area and the Administration and Control Division responsible for preparing the financial information, in order to discuss any relevant aspect of the preparation process and of the resulting financial information.

Specifically, as established in its Regulations (scope of powers), the Committee has, among other powers, that of obtaining information regarding any significant deficiency in internal control that the statutory auditor detects while carrying out its audit work. For these purposes, the statutory auditor appears before such Committee on an annual basis to present recommendations in connection with the internal control weaknesses identified during the review of the annual financial statements. Any weaknesses noted by the statutory auditor are continuously monitored by the Committee with the support of the Internal Audit Area. Management responsible for preparing the consolidated financial statements also holds meetings with the external auditors and with the internal auditors, at both the half-yearly and yearly close, in order to discuss any significant issues relating to the financial information.
F.6. Other relevant information.

Iberdrola has an Internal Control over Financial Reporting System (ICFRS) or model that is intended to reasonably guarantee the reliability of the financial information. The development of the model, which began in 2006, was not the result of a legal requirement but rather the conviction, by both the Board of Directors and the senior management of Iberdrola, that within a context of growth and internationalisation as was already forecast for the companies of the Iberdrola group, an explicit and auditable internal control system would contribute to maintaining and improving its control environment and the quality of the financial information, while at the same time increasing the confidence of investors due to its effects on the transparency, reputation and good governance of the Company and of the other companies making up the Iberdrola group.

The ICFRS has two main sides: certification, and internal control itself.

Certification is a half-yearly process managed and coordinated by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division in which those responsible for financial information in the different areas of the Iberdrola group certify that: (i) the financial information they deliver to Iberdrola for purposes of consolidation does not contain any material errors or omissions and provides a fair view of the results and the financial condition of the company within their area of responsibility, and (ii) they are responsible for establishing the ICFRS within their area of responsibility and have found, upon assessment, that the system is effective. The text of these certifications is inspired by the form of certification established in Section 302 of the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The culmination of the half-yearly process is a joint certification that the executive chairman and the General Finance, Control and Corporate Development Director (CFO) submit to the Board of Directors for purposes of approval of the half-yearly financial report or the formulation of the annual financial statements.

The process is carried out by means of electronic signature in a software application which manages the areas of responsibility and time periods and which serves as a repository of all the documentation generated, allowing for periodic review by the supervision and control bodies of the Iberdrola group’s companies.

The other side of the model, that of internal control itself, is inspired by the leading framework described in the “Internal Control Integrated Framework” report of the “Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)”, and is mainly focused on providing a reasonable level of security in achieving the goal of reliability of financial information.

The methodology used by Iberdrola for the development and continuous update of internal control, the development, maintenance and update of which is the responsibility of the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, has the following stages or steps: (i) analysis and selection of significant financial information; (ii) the grouping thereof within cycles or large processes in which it is generated; (iii) the identification, evaluation and prioritisation of the risks of error in financial information within the selected cycles; (iv) the design and operation of controls to mitigate or manage the selected risks; and (v) the monitoring and update of the foregoing steps to continuously adapt the model to the circumstances of the business activity.

One of the main characteristics of the design of the model is that it attempts to ensure the quality of the financial information during each month of the year, and is not only limited to the periods corresponding to the yearly or half-yearly close. This characteristic is strengthened with the use of a specific software application internally developed by the Iberdrola group, which allows for the monitoring of the status of the controls at all times.

Another important characteristic of the model is that it extends the culture of internal control to all the organisations, both corporate and business, that significantly contribute to the generation of financial information, by personally assigning responsibility in the implementation and documentation of controls.

All significant documentation regarding Iberdrola’s ICFRS, including both the certification process and the internal control itself, is stored in this software application.

The people responsible for implementing the controls input into the software application evidence showing the performance thereof, and evaluate the results obtained, classifying them as satisfactory or unsatisfactory. This allows for monitoring of the internal control situation in real time, permitting quick action regarding any deficiencies detected.
Additionally, on an annual basis, the various heads of control at the country subholding and head of business companies, as well as the heads of the corporate areas, review the design and operation of the ICFRS, as a systematic process for the update thereof to the changing circumstances of the business activity.

The annual review is coordinated by the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division, which is also tasked with administering the software application and with coordinating the development of the ICFRS within the various businesses and corporate areas of the Iberdrola group’s companies, as well as maintaining the homogeneity of the ICFRS throughout the boundary of the group. Based on this review, the Risk Management and Internal Assurance Division annually issues its opinion on the effectiveness of the ICFRS, which is communicated to the Internal Assurance Committee and to the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee (hereinafter, the “Committee”).

Furthermore, the Internal Audit Area, which is responsible for the independent supervision of internal control in support of the Committee, undertakes an independent review of the design and operation of the ICFRS, identifying deficiencies and preparing recommendations for improvement. This review is performed by applying a mixed model of selecting cycles based on risk and a minimum rotation of five years.

In addition, on a half-yearly basis, the Internal Audit Area undertakes an independent review of the effectiveness of the internal controls established to ensure the reliability of the financial information. It also reviews the process of certification of the financial information on a half-yearly basis. The conclusions from these reviews are submitted to the Committee, which, if applicable, makes them its own and forwards them to the Board of Directors.

Based on materiality standards, the current scope of the ICFRS covers the entire boundary of the Iberdrola group. More than 1,700 people from the group’s companies use the software application, both to document the evidence showing the implementation of more than 3,100 controls —which mitigate or manage more than 1,100 risks of error in the financial information deemed priority—and to monitor, analyse, adjust and evaluate the ICFRS.

In addition, the 120 department heads who participate in the process of certifying the correctness of the information for which they are responsible do so using an electronic signature directly within the software application.

All of the above allows for the final result of the certification process, which is supported by the situation of internal control itself, to be reviewed by Iberdrola’s Board of Directors as one of the major guarantees of reliability in connection with the formulation of the annual and interim financial information.

F.7. External auditor’s report.

Report:

F.7.1 Whether the ICFRS information sent to the markets has been subjected to review by the external auditor, in which case the entity should include the corresponding report as an attachment. If not, reasons why should be given.

The information on the ICFRS sent to the markets has not been subject to review by the external auditor consistent with the fact that the other information contained in the annual corporate governance report is only subject to review by the external auditor in relation to the accounting information contained in said report. Furthermore, it is believed that externally reviewing the information on the ICFRS sent to the markets would in a certain way be redundant, taking into account the review of internal control that the external auditor must perform in accordance with technical auditing standards within the context of the statutory audit of accounts.
G DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CORPORATE GOVERNANCE RECOMMENDATIONS

Specify the company's degree of compliance with recommendations of the Good Governance Code for listed companies.

In the event that a recommendation is not followed or only partially followed, a detailed explanation of the reasons must be included so that shareholders, investors and the market in general have enough information to assess the company's conduct. General explanations are not acceptable.

1. That the articles of incorporation of listed companies should not limit the maximum number of votes that may be cast by one shareholder or contain other restrictions that hinder the takeover of control of the company through the acquisition of its shares on the market.

Complies [ ]  Explain [ X ]

Article 29.2 of the By-Laws provides that "No shareholder may cast a number of votes greater than those corresponding to shares representing ten (10%) per cent of share capital, even if the number of shares held exceeds such percentage of the share capital. This limitation does not affect votes corresponding to shares with respect to which a shareholder is holding a proxy as a result of the provisions of Article 23 above, provided, however, that with respect to the number of votes corresponding to the shares of each shareholder represented by proxy, the limitation set forth above shall apply".

Section 3 of such article adds: "The limitation set forth in the preceding section shall also apply to the maximum number of votes that may be collectively or individually cast by two or more shareholders that are entities or companies belonging to the same group. Such limitation shall also apply to the number of votes that may be cast collectively or individually by an individual and the shareholder entity, entities, or companies controlled by such individual. A group shall be deemed to exist under the circumstances provided by law, and also when a person controls one or more entities or companies".

Iberdrola believes that the limitation on the maximum number of votes that may be cast by a single shareholder, or by several shareholders belonging to the same group or, if applicable, acting in concert, is a measure to protect shareholders at companies with dispersed share ownership, whose investment is thus guarded from any transaction that is contrary to the corporate interest. In this regard, most shareholders, especially including but not limited to small retail investors, who represent approximately one-fourth of Iberdrola's share capital, have little room to manoeuvre and respond to a potential shareholder owning a non-controlling interest and not reaching the threshold requiring a takeover bid but seeking influence over the Company and whose own interest is not totally in line with the corporate interest.

It should also be noted that such voting limitation has been in effect since 16 June 1990, the date on which the General Shareholders' Meeting was held at which it was resolved, by unanimous vote of the attendees, to bring the By-Laws of the Company (then doing business as "Iberduero, S.A.") into line with the consolidated text of the Companies Act approved by Royal Legislative Decree 1564/1989 of 22 December. This shows the level of corporate consensus that has existed on such voting limitation from the very beginning, which has been confirmed by the fact that such limitation has remained unchanged through various by-law amendments passed by the shareholders at General Shareholders' Meetings. In turn, it reflects the will of the shareholders to increase their bargaining power in the event of hostile offers or transactions.

In any event, Article 50 of the current By-Laws establishes the instances of removal of such voting limitation in the event that the Company is the target of a takeover bid that receives the required shareholder approval, in which case the provisions of Section 527 of the Companies Act prevail. Pursuant to the foregoing, it cannot be deemed that the limitation on the maximum number of votes that may be cast by a shareholder constitutes an obstacle to a takeover bid.
2. That when the listed company is controlled by another entity in the meaning of Article 42 of the Commercial Code, whether listed or not, and has, directly or through its subsidiaries, business relations with said entity or any of its subsidiaries (other than the listed company) or carries out activities related to those of any of them it should make accurate public disclosures on:

   a) The respective areas of activity and possible business relationships between the listed company or its subsidiaries and the parent company or its subsidiaries.

   b) The mechanisms in place to resolve any conflicts of interest that may arise.

   Complies [ ]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ X ]

3. That, during the ordinary General Shareholders’ Meeting, as a complement to the distribution of the written annual corporate governance report, the chairman of the Board of Directors should inform shareholders orally, in sufficient detail, of the most significant aspects of the company’s corporate governance, and in particular:

   a) Changes that have occurred since the last General Shareholders’ Meeting.

   b) Specific reasons why the company has not followed one or more of the recommendations of the Code of Corporate Governance and the alternative rules applied, if any.

   Complies [ X ]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

4. That the company should define and promote a policy on communication and contact with shareholders and institutional investors, within the framework of their involvement in the company, and with proxy advisors that complies in all aspects with rules against market abuse and gives equal treatment to similarly situated shareholders. And that the company should publish this policy on its website, including information on how it has been put into practice and identifying the contact persons or those responsible for implementing it.

   And that, without prejudice to the legal obligations regarding dissemination of inside information and other types of regulated information, the company should also have a general policy regarding the communication of economic-financial, non-financial and corporate information through such channels as it may consider appropriate (communication media, social networks or other channels) that helps to maximise the dissemination and quality of information available to the market, investors and other stakeholders.

   Complies [ X ]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

5. That the Board of Directors should not submit to the General Shareholders’ Meeting any proposal for delegation of powers allowing the issue of shares or convertible securities with the exclusion of preemptive rights in an amount exceeding 20% of the capital at the time of delegation.
And that whenever the Board of Directors approves any issue of shares or convertible securities with the exclusion of preemptive rights, the company should immediately publish the reports referred to by company law on its website.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

6. That listed companies that prepare the reports listed below, whether under a legal obligation or voluntarily, should publish them on their website with sufficient time before the General Shareholders’ Meeting, even if their publication is not mandatory:

a) Report on the auditor’s independence.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

b) Reports on the workings of the audit and nomination and remuneration committees.

c) Report by the audit committee on related party transactions.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

7. That the company should transmit in real time, through its website, the proceedings of the General Shareholders’ Meetings.

And that the company should have mechanisms in place allowing the delegation and casting of votes by means of data transmission and even, in the case of large-caps and to the extent that it is proportionate, attendance and active participation in the General Meeting to be conducted by such remote means.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

8. That the audit committee should ensure that the financial statements submitted to the General Shareholders’ Meeting are prepared in accordance with accounting regulations. And that in cases in which the auditor has included a qualification or reservation in its audit report, the chairman of the audit committee should clearly explain to the general meeting the opinion of the audit committee on its content and scope, making a summary of this opinion available to shareholders at the time when the meeting is called, alongside the other Board proposals and reports.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

9. That the company should permanently publish on its website the requirements and procedures for certification of share ownership, the right of attendance at the General Shareholders’ Meetings, and the exercise of the right to vote or to issue a proxy.

And that such requirements and procedures promote attendance and the exercise of shareholder rights in a non-discriminatory fashion.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]
10. That when a duly authenticated shareholder has exercised his or her right to complete the agenda or to make new proposals for resolutions in advance of the General Shareholders’ Meeting, the company:

a) Should immediately distribute such complementary points and new proposals for resolutions.

b) Should publish the attendance, proxy and remote voting card specimen with the necessary changes such that the new agenda items and alternative proposals can be voted on in the same terms as those proposed by the Board of Directors.

c) Should submit all these points or alternative proposals to a vote and apply the same voting rules to them as to those formulated by the Board of Directors including, in particular, assumptions or default positions regarding votes for or against.

d) That after the General Shareholders’ Meeting, a breakdown of the voting on said additions or alternative proposals be communicated.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

11. That if the company intends to pay premiums for attending the General Shareholders’ Meeting, it should establish in advance a general policy on such premiums and this policy should be stable.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

12. That the Board of Directors should perform its functions with a unity of purpose and independence of criterion, treating all similarly situated shareholders equally and being guided by the best interests of the company, which is understood to mean the pursuit of a profitable and sustainable business in the long term, promoting its continuity and maximising the economic value of the business.

And that in pursuit of the company’s interest, in addition to complying with applicable law and rules and conducting itself on the basis of good faith, ethics and a respect for commonly accepted best practices, it should seek to reconcile its own company interests, when appropriate, with the interests of its employees, suppliers, clients and other stakeholders that may be affected, as well as the impact of its corporate activities on the communities in which it operates and on the environment.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

13. That the Board of Directors should be of an appropriate size to perform its duties effectively and in a collegial manner, which makes it advisable for it to have between five and fifteen members.

Complies [X]  Explain [ ]
14. That the Board of Directors should approve a policy aimed at favouring an appropriate composition of the Board and that:

a) Is concrete and verifiable;

b) Ensures that proposals for appointment or re-election are based upon a prior analysis of the skills required by the Board of Directors; and

c) Favours diversity of knowledge, experience, age and gender. For these purposes, it is considered that the measures that encourage the company to have a significant number of female senior executives favour gender diversity.

That the result of the prior analysis of the skills required by the Board of Directors be contained in the supporting report from the nomination committee published upon calling the General Shareholders’ Meeting to which the ratification, appointment or re-election of each director is submitted.

The nomination committee will annually verify compliance with this policy and explain its findings in the annual corporate governance report.

Complies [X]   Complies partially [ ]   Explain [ ]

15. That proprietary and independent directors should constitute a substantial majority of the Board of Directors and that the number of executive directors be kept to a minimum, taking into account the complexity of the corporate group and the percentage of equity participation of executive directors.

And that the number of female directors should represent at least 40% of the members of the Board of Directors before the end of 2022 and thereafter, and no less 30% prior to that date.

Complies [X]   Complies partially [ ]   Explain [ ]

16. That the number of proprietary directors as a percentage of the total number of non-executive directors not be greater than the proportion of the company's share capital represented by those directors and the rest of the capital.

This criterion may be relaxed:

a) In large-cap companies where very few shareholdings are legally considered significant.

b) In the case of companies where a plurality of shareholders is represented on the Board of Directors without ties among them.

Complies [X]   Explain [ ]

17. That the number of independent directors should represent at least half of the total number of directors.
That, however, when the company does not have a high level of market capitalisation or in the event that it is a large-cap company with one shareholder or a group of shareholders acting in concert who together control more than 30% of the company's share capital, the number of independent directors should represent at least one third of the total number of directors.

Complies [X]  Explain [ ]

18. That companies should publish the following information on its directors on their website, and keep it up to date:

a) Professional profile and biography.

b) Any other Boards to which the directors belong, regardless of whether or not the companies are listed, as well as any other remunerated activities engaged in, regardless of type.

c) Category of directorship, indicating, in the case of individuals who represent significant shareholders, the shareholder that they represent or to which they are connected.

d) Date of their first appointment as a director of the company’s Board of Directors, and any subsequent re-elections.

e) Company shares and share options that they own.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

19. That the annual corporate governance report, after verification by the nomination committee, should explain the reasons for the appointment of any proprietary directors at the proposal of shareholders whose holding is less than 3%. It should also explain, if applicable, why formal requests from shareholders for presence on the Board were not honoured, when their shareholding was equal to or exceeded that of other shareholders whose proposal for proprietary directors was honoured.

Complies [ ]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [X]

20. That proprietary directors representing significant shareholders should resign from the Board when the shareholder they represent disposes of its entire shareholding. They should also resign, in a proportional fashion, in the event that said shareholder reduces its percentage interest to a level that requires a decrease in the number of proprietary directors.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

21. That the Board of Directors should not propose the dismissal of any independent director before the completion of the director’s term provided for in the articles of incorporation unless the Board of Directors finds just cause and a prior report has been prepared by the nomination committee. Specifically, just cause is considered to exist if the director takes on new duties or commits to new obligations that would
interfere with his or her ability to dedicate the time necessary for attention to the duties inherent to his or her post as a director, fails to complete the tasks inherent to his or her post, or is affected by any of the circumstances which would cause the loss of independent status in accordance with applicable law.

The dismissal of independent directors may also be proposed as a result of a public takeover bid, merger or other similar corporate transaction entailing a change in the shareholder structure of the company, provided that such changes in the structure of the Board are the result of application of the proportionate representation criterion provided in Recommendation 16.

Complies [X] Explain [ ]

22. That companies should establish rules requiring that directors inform the Board of Directors and, where appropriate, resign from their posts, when circumstances arise which affect them, whether or not related to their actions in the company itself, and which may harm the company’s standing and reputation, and in particular requiring them to inform the Board of any criminal proceedings in which they appear as suspects or defendants, as well as of how the legal proceedings subsequently unfold.

And that, if the Board is informed or becomes aware in any other manner of any of the circumstances mentioned above, it must investigate the case as quickly as possible and, depending on the specific circumstances, decide, based on a report from the nomination and remuneration committee, whether or not any measure must be adopted, such as the opening of an internal investigation, asking the director to resign or proposing that he or she be dismissed. And that these events must be reported in the annual corporate governance report, unless there are any special reasons not to do so, which must also be noted in the minutes. This without prejudice to the information that the company must disseminate, if appropriate, at the time when the corresponding measures are implemented.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

23. That all directors clearly express their opposition when they consider any proposal submitted to the Board of Directors to be against the company’s interests. This particularly applies to independent directors and directors who are unaffected by a potential conflict of interest if the decision could be detrimental to any shareholders not represented on the Board of Directors.

Furthermore, when the Board of Directors makes significant or repeated decisions about which the director has serious reservations, the director should draw the appropriate conclusions and, in the event the director decides to resign, explain the reasons for this decision in the letter referred to in the next recommendation.

This recommendation also applies to the secretary of the Board of Directors, even if he or she is not a director.

Complies [ ] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ] Not applicable [ X ]
24. That whenever, due to resignation or resolution of the General Shareholders’ Meeting, a director leaves before the completion of his or her term of office, the director should explain the reasons for this decision, or in the case of non-executive directors, their opinion of the reasons for cessation, in a letter addressed to all members of the Board of Directors.

And that, without prejudice to all this being reported in the annual corporate governance report, insofar as it is relevant to investors, the company must publish the cessation as quickly as possible, adequately referring to the reasons or circumstances adduced by the director.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

25. That the nomination committee should make sure that non-executive directors have sufficient time available in order to properly perform their duties.

And that the Board regulations establish the maximum number of company Boards on which directors may sit.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

26. That the Board of Directors meet frequently enough to be able to effectively perform its duties, and at least eight times per year, following a schedule of dates and agendas established at the beginning of the year and allowing each director individually to propose other items that do not originally appear on the agenda.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

27. That director absences occur only when absolutely necessary and be quantified in the annual corporate governance report. And when absences do occur, that the director appoint a proxy with instructions.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

28. That when directors or the secretary express concern regarding a proposal or, in the case of directors, regarding the direction in which the company is headed and said concerns are not resolved by the Board of Directors, such concerns should be included in the minutes at the request of the director expressing them.

Complies [ ]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ X ]

29. That the company should establishes adequate means for directors to obtain appropriate advice in order to properly fulfil their duties including, should circumstances warrant, external advice at the company’s expense.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

30. That, without regard to the knowledge necessary for directors to complete their duties, companies make refresher courses available to them when circumstances make this advisable.
31. That the agenda for meetings should clearly indicate those matters on which the Board of Directors is to make a decision or adopt a resolution so that the directors may study or gather all relevant information ahead of time.

When, in exceptional circumstances, the chairman wishes to bring urgent matters for decision or resolution before the Board of Directors which do not appear on the agenda, prior express agreement of a majority of the directors shall be necessary, and said consent shall be duly recorded in the minutes.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

32. That directors be periodically informed of changes in shareholding and of the opinions of significant shareholders, investors and rating agencies of the company and its group.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

33. That the chairman, as the person responsible for the efficient workings of the Board of Directors, in addition to carrying out the duties assigned by law and the articles of incorporation, should prepare and submit to the Board of Directors a schedule of dates and matters to be considered; organise and coordinate the periodic evaluation of the Board as well as, if applicable, the chief executive of the company, should be responsible for leading the Board and the effectiveness of its work; ensuring that sufficient time is devoted to considering strategic issues, and approve and supervise refresher courses for each director when circumstances make this advisable.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

34. That when there is a coordinating director, the articles of association or Board regulations should confer upon him or her the following powers in addition to those conferred by law: to chair the Board of Directors in the absence of the chairman and deputy chairmen, should there be any; to reflect the concerns of non-executive directors; to liaise with investors and shareholders in order to understand their points of view and respond to their concerns, in particular as those concerns relate to corporate governance of the company; and to coordinate a succession plan for the chairman.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ] Not applicable [ ]

35. That the secretary of the Board of Directors should pay special attention to ensure that the activities and decisions of the Board of Directors take into account such recommendations regarding good governance contained in this Good Governance Code as may be applicable to the company.

Complies [X] Explain [ ]
36. That the Board of Directors meet in plenary session once a year and adopt, where appropriate, an action plan to correct any deficiencies detected in the following:

a) The quality and efficiency of the Board of Directors’ work.

b) The workings and composition of its committees.

c) Diversity in the composition and skills of the Board of Directors.

d) Performance of the chairman of the Board of Directors and of the chief executive officer of the company.

e) Performance and input of each director, paying special attention to those in charge of the various Board committees.

In order to perform its evaluation of the various committees, the Board of Directors will take a report from the committees themselves as a starting point and for the evaluation of the Board, a report from the nomination committee.

Every three years, the Board of Directors will rely for its evaluation upon the assistance of an external advisor, whose independence shall be verified by the nomination committee.

Business relationships between the external adviser or any member of the adviser’s group and the company or any company within its group must be specified in the annual corporate governance report.

The process and the areas evaluated must be described in the annual corporate governance report.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

37. That if there is an executive committee, it must contain at least two non-executive directors, at least one of whom must be independent, and its secretary must be the secretary of the Board.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

38. That the Board of Directors must always be aware of the matters discussed and decisions taken by the executive committee and that all members of the Board of Directors receive a copy of the minutes of meetings of the executive committee.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

39. That the members of the audit committee, in particular its chairman, be appointed in consideration of their knowledge and experience in accountancy, audit and risk management issues, both financial and non-financial.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]
40. That under the supervision of the audit committee, there should be a unit in charge of the internal audit function, which ensures that information and internal control systems operate correctly, and which reports to the non-executive chairman of the Board or of the audit committee.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

41. That the person in charge of the unit performing the internal audit function should present an annual work plan to the audit committee, for approval by that committee or by the Board, reporting directly on its execution, including any incidents or limitations of scope, the results and monitoring of its recommendations, and present an activity report at the end of each year.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

42. That in addition to the provisions of applicable law, the audit committee should be responsible for the following:

1. With regard to information systems and internal control:

   a) Supervising and evaluating the process of preparation and the completeness of the financial and non-financial information, as well as the control and management systems for financial and non-financial risk relating to the company and, if applicable, the group - including operational, technological, legal, social, environmental, political and reputational risk, or risk related to corruption - reviewing compliance with regulatory requirements, the appropriate delimitation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting criteria.

   b) Ensuring the independence of the unit charged with the internal audit function; proposing the selection, appointment and dismissal of the head of internal audit; proposing the budget for this service; approving or proposing its orientation and annual work plans for approval by the Board, making sure that its activity is focused primarily on material risks (including reputational risk); receiving periodic information on its activities; and verifying that senior management takes into account the conclusions and recommendations of its reports.

   c) Establishing and supervising a mechanism that allows employees and other persons related to the company, such as directors, shareholders, suppliers, contractors or subcontractors, to report any potentially serious irregularities, especially those of a financial or accounting nature, that they observe in the company or its group. This mechanism must guarantee confidentiality and in any case provide for cases in which the communications can be made anonymously, respecting the rights of the whistleblower and the person reported.

   d) Generally ensuring that internal control policies and systems are effectively applied in practice.

2. With regard to the external auditor:
a) In the event that the external auditor resigns, examining the circumstances leading to such resignation.

b) Ensuring that the remuneration paid to the external auditor for its work does not compromise the quality of the work or the auditor’s independence.

c) Making sure that the company informs the CNMV of the change of auditor, along with a statement on any differences that arose with the outgoing auditor and, if applicable, the contents thereof.

d) Ensuring that the external auditor holds an annual meeting with the Board of Directors in plenary session in order to make a report regarding the tasks performed and the development of the company’s accounting situation and risks.

e) Ensuring that the company and the external auditor comply with applicable rules regarding the provision of services other than auditing, limits on the concentration of the auditor’s business, and, in general, all other rules regarding auditors’ independence.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

43. That the audit committee be able to require the presence of any employee or manager of the company, even stipulating that he or she appear without the presence of any other member of management.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

44. That the audit committee be kept abreast of any corporate and structural changes planned by the company in order to perform an analysis and draw up a prior report to the Board of Directors on the economic conditions and accounting implications and, in particular, any exchange ratio involved.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

45. That the risk management and control policy identify or determine, as a minimum:

a) The various types of financial and non-financial risks (including operational, technological, legal, social, environmental, political and reputational risks and risks relating to corruption) which the company faces, including among the financial or economic risks contingent liabilities and other off-balance sheet risks.

b) A risk control and management model based on different levels, which will include a specialised risk committee when sector regulations so require or the company considers it to be appropriate.

c) The level of risk that the company considers to be acceptable.
d) Measures in place to mitigate the impact of the risks identified in the event that they should materialised.

e) Internal control and information systems to be used in order to control and manage the aforementioned risks, including contingent liabilities or off-balance sheet risks.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

46. That under the direct supervision of the audit committee or, if applicable, of a specialised committee of the Board of Directors, an internal risk control and management function should exist, performed by an internal unit or department of the company which is expressly charged with the following responsibilities:

a) Ensuring the proper functioning of the risk management and control systems and, in particular, that they adequately identify, manage and quantify all material risks affecting the company.

b) Actively participating in drawing up the risk strategy and in important decisions regarding risk management.

c) Ensuring that the risk management and control systems adequately mitigate risks as defined by the policy laid down by the Board of Directors.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

47. That in designating the members of the nomination and remuneration committee – or of the nomination committee and the remuneration committee if they are separate – care be taken to ensure that they have the knowledge, aptitudes and experience appropriate to the functions that they are called upon to perform and that the majority of said members are independent directors.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

48. That large-cap companies have separate nomination and remuneration committees.

Complies [X] Explain [ ] Not applicable [ ]

49. That the nomination committee consult with the chairman of the Board of Directors and the chief executive of the company, especially in relation to matters concerning executive directors.

And that any director be able to ask the nomination committee to consider potential candidates that he or she considers suitable to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]
50. That the remuneration committee exercise its functions independently and that, in addition to the functions assigned to it by law, it should be responsible for the following:

a) Proposing the basic conditions of employment for senior management to the Board of Directors.

b) Verifying compliance with the company’s remuneration policy.

c) Periodically reviewing the remuneration policy applied to directors and senior managers, including share-based remuneration systems and their application, as well as ensuring that their individual remuneration is proportional to that received by the company’s other directors and senior managers.

d) Making sure that potential conflicts of interest do not undermine the independence of external advice given to the committee.

e) Verifying the information on remuneration of directors and senior managers contained in the various corporate documents, including the annual report on director remuneration.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

51. That the remuneration committee should consult with the chairman and the chief executive of the company, especially on matters relating to executive directors and senior management.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

52. That the rules regarding the composition and workings of the supervision and control committees should appear in the regulations of the Board of Directors and that they should be consistent with those applying to legally mandatory committees in accordance with the foregoing recommendations, including:

a) That they be composed exclusively of non-executive directors, with a majority of independent directors.

b) That their chairpersons be independent directors.

c) That the Board of Directors select members of these committees taking into account their knowledge, skills and experience and the duties of each committee; discuss their proposals and reports; and require them to render account of their activities and of the work performed in the first plenary session of the Board of Directors held after each committee meeting.

d) That the committees be allowed to avail themselves of outside advice when they consider it necessary to perform their duties.

e) That their meetings be recorded and their minutes be made available to all directors.
53. That verification of compliance with the company's policies and rules on environmental, social and corporate governance matters, and with the internal codes of conduct be assigned to one or divided among more than one committee of the Board of Directors, which may be the audit committee, the nomination committee, a specialised committee on sustainability or corporate social responsibility or such other specialised committee as the Board of Directors, in the exercise of its powers of self-organisation, may have decided to create. And that such committee be composed exclusively of non-executive directors, with a majority of these being independent directors, and that the minimum functions indicated in the next recommendation be specifically assigned to it.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ] Not applicable [ ]

54. The minimum functions referred to in the foregoing recommendation are the following:

a) Monitoring of compliance with the company's internal codes of conduct and corporate governance rules, also ensuring that the corporate culture is aligned with its purpose and values.

b) Monitoring the application of the general policy on communication of economic and financial information, non-financial and corporate information and communication with shareholders and investors, proxy advisors and other stakeholders. The manner in which the entity communicates and handles relations with small and medium-sized shareholders must also be monitored.

c) The periodic evaluation and review of the company's corporate governance system, and environmental and social policy, with a view to ensuring that they fulfil their purposes of promoting the interests of society and take account, as appropriate, of the legitimate interests of other stakeholders.

d) Supervision of the company's environmental and social practices to ensure that they are in alignment with the established strategy and policy.

e) Supervision and evaluation of the way in which relations with the various stakeholders are handled.

Complies [X] Complies partially [ ] Explain [ ]

55. That environmental and social sustainability policies identify and include at least the following:

a) The principles, commitments, objectives and strategy relating to shareholders, employees, clients, suppliers, social issues, the environment, diversity, tax responsibility, respect for human rights, and the prevention of corruption and other unlawful conduct.

b) Means or systems for monitoring compliance with these policies, their associated risks, and management.
c) Mechanisms for supervising non-financial risk, including that relating to ethical aspects and aspects of business conduct.

d) Channels of communication, participation and dialogue with stakeholders.

e) Responsible communication practices that impede the manipulation of data and protect integrity and honour.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

56. That director remuneration be sufficient in order to attract and retain directors who meet the desired professional profile and to adequately compensate them for the dedication, qualifications and responsibility demanded of their posts, while not being so excessive as to compromise the independent judgement of non-executive directors.

Complies [X]  Explain [ ]

57. That only executive directors should receive variable remuneration linked to corporate results and personal performance, as well as remuneration in the form of shares, options or rights to shares or instruments referenced to the share price and long-term savings plans such as pension plans, retirement schemes or other provident schemes.

Consideration may be given to delivering shares to non-executive directors as remuneration providing this is conditional upon their holding them until they cease to be directors. The foregoing shall not apply to shares that the director may need to sell in order to meet the costs related to their acquisition.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]

58. That as regards variable remuneration, remuneration policies should incorporate the necessary limits and technical safeguards to ensure that such remuneration is in line with the professional performance of its beneficiaries and not based solely on general developments in the markets or in the sector in which the company operates, or other similar circumstances.

And, in particular, that variable remuneration components:

a) Are linked to pre-determined and measurable performance criteria and that such criteria take into account the risk incurred to achieve a given result.

b) Promote the sustainability of the company and include non-financial criteria that are geared towards creating long term value, such as compliance with the company's rules and internal operating procedures and with its risk management and control policies.

c) Are based on balancing the attainment of short-, medium- and long-term objectives, so as to allow remuneration of continuous performance over a period long enough to be able to assess its contribution to the sustainable creation of
value, such that the elements used to measure performance are not associated only with one-off, occasional or extraordinary events.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

59. That the payment of variable remuneration components be subject to sufficient verification that previously established performance or other conditions have effectively been met. Entities must include in their annual report on director remuneration the criteria for the time required and methods used for this verification depending on the nature and characteristics of each variable component.

That, additionally, companies consider the inclusion of a reduction ('malus') clause for the deferral of the payment of a portion of variable remuneration components that would imply their total or partial loss if an event were to occur prior to the payment date that would make this advisable.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

60. That remuneration related to company results should take into account any reservations that might appear in the external auditor’s report and that would diminish said results.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

61. That a material portion of executive directors' variable remuneration be linked to the delivery of shares or financial instruments referenced to the share price.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

62. That once shares or options or financial instruments have been allocated under remuneration schemes, executive directors be prohibited from transferring ownership or exercising options or rights until a term of at least three years has elapsed.

An exception is made in cases where the director has, at the time of the transfer or exercise of options or rights, a net economic exposure to changes in the share price for a market value equivalent to at least twice the amount of his or her fixed annual remuneration through the ownership of shares, options or other financial instruments.

The forgoing shall not apply to shares that the director may need to sell in order to meet the costs related to their acquisition or, following a favourable assessment by the nomination and remuneration committee, to deal with such extraordinary situations as may arise and so require.

Complies [X]  Complies partially [ ]  Explain [ ]  Not applicable [ ]

63. That contractual arrangements should include a clause allowing the company to demand reimbursement of the variable remuneration components in the event that
payment was not in accordance with the performance conditions or when payment was made based on data subsequently shown to have been inaccurate.

Complies [X]   Complies partially [ ]   Explain [ ]   Not applicable [ ]

64. That payments for contract termination should not exceed an amount equivalent to two years of total annual remuneration and should not be paid until the company has been able to verify that the director has fulfilled all previously established criteria or conditions for payment.

For the purposes of this recommendation, payments for contractual termination will be considered to include any payments the accrual of which or the obligation to pay which arises as a consequence of or on the occasion of the termination of the contractual relationship between the director and the company, including amounts not previously vested of long-term savings schemes and amounts paid by virtue of post-contractual non-competition agreements.

Complies [ ]   Complies partially [X]   Explain [ ]   Not applicable [ ]

Contracts with executive directors and senior officers signed as from 2011 provide severance pay for contractual termination equal to a maximum of two times annual salary in the event of termination of their relationship with the Company, provided that termination of the relationship is not the result of a breach attributable thereto or solely due to a voluntary decision thereof. This is the case of the current chief executive officer.

The Company included guarantee clauses of up to five years in contracts with its key officers in the year 2000. Subsequently, in 2001, when the current executive chairman joined Iberdrola, he received the treatment in effect for such officers, in order to achieve an effective and sufficient level of loyalty. As executive chairman, he is currently entitled to three times his annual salary for this item, plus another two times annual salary for his non-compete commitment.

The Board of Directors has analysed this situation, the treatment of which is necessarily collective in nature. Any reduction in the salary multiples would carry high costs for the Company, for which reason the Board of Directors believes that it is most appropriate not to change the status quo. Any proposed reduction in the salary multiples would have a higher cost for the Company, as the amount of the contingency will gradually decrease due to the passage of time, resulting in payments far smaller than any possible reduction in the agreed severance payment, taking into account the average age of the affected group and the low likelihood of the guarantees being enforced. In this regard, it should be pointed out that the number of officers with a right to severance pay greater than two years continues to decrease in recent years, without the execution of any guarantee clause. At year-end 2003, there were 89 officers with that right, while only 12 remained as at 31 December 2022, representing 1.66% of the Iberdrola group’s officers and other professionals with management responsibilities. The 12 officers who are beneficiaries of such severance pay will reach the legal retirement age in the next five years, with only two exceptions.
H FURTHER INFORMATION OF INTEREST

1. If there is any significant aspect regarding corporate governance in the company or other companies in the group that has not been included in other sections of this report, but which it is necessary to include in order to provide a more comprehensive and reasoned picture of the structure and governance practices in the company or its group, describe them briefly below.

2. This section may also be used to provide any other information, explanation or clarification relating to previous sections of the report, so long as it is relevant and not repetitive.

Specifically, indicate whether the company is subject to any corporate governance legislation other than that of Spain and, if so, include any information required under this legislation that differs from the data required in this report.

3. The company may also indicate whether it has voluntarily subscribed to other ethical or best practice codes, whether international, sector-based, or other. In such case, name the code in question and the date on which the company subscribed to it. Specific mention must be made as to whether the company adheres to the Code of Good Tax Practices of 20 July 2010.

On 20 July 2010 the Company adhered to the Code of Good Tax Practices approved by the full Forum of Large Businesses (Foro de Grandes Empresas) established on 10 June 2009 at the behest of the National Tax Administration Agency (Agencia Estatal de Administración Tributaria).

Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 of the Code of Good Tax Practices and Sections 3 and 4 of the Corporate Tax Policy, the Company reports that it has complied with the text of said code as from the time of approval thereof.

In particular, it is reported that during financial year 2022, the Company’s tax director appeared before Iberdrola’s Audit and Risk Supervision Committee on 21 February and 22 July to report on, among other issues, the level of compliance with the Corporate Tax Policy, which includes the good tax practices contained in said code, all of which has been reported to the Board of Directors.

Furthermore, on 25 October 2022 the Company voluntarily submitted to the National Tax Administration Agency the Annual Tax Transparency Report for financial year 2021 for companies that have adhered to the Good Tax Practices Code, pursuant to the Annex to the Good Tax Practices Code approved in order to strengthen the relationship of cooperation and good practices in the area of corporate tax transparency, which report has been regularly submitted since the launch of this initiative in 2016.

This Annual Corporate Governance Report was approved by the Board of Directors of the company at the meeting held on:

21/02/2023.

Indicate whether any director voted against or abstained from approving this report.

Yes [ ] No [ X ]
Annex to the Annual Corporate Governance Report 2022 for Iberdrola, S.A.

The following information supplements the content of various sections of the report in which it could not be included owing to character limits in the form:

SECTION C.1.6

Set out below is a description of the resolutions on appointment, ratification and re-election of directors, adopted in accordance with the Board of Directors Diversity and Member Selection Policy and pursuant to which the Company has reached the current balanced presence of women and men on the Board of Directors:

- Appointment and re-elections of Ms María Helena Antolín Raybaud, with the classification of independent director, approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meetings held on 26 March 2010, 27 March 2015 and 29 March 2019. She is currently classified as other external director because more than twelve years have passed since she was first appointed, and she is a member of the Appointments Committee.

- Appointment of Ms Sara de la Rica Goiricelaya, with the classification of independent director, approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 29 March 2019. She is the chair of the Sustainable Development Committee.

- Appointment of Ms Nicola Mary Brewer, with the classification of independent director, approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 2 April 2020. Member of the Sustainable Development Committee.

- Appointment of Ms Regina Helena Jorge Nunes, with the classification of independent director, approved by the shareholders at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 2 April 2020. Member of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee.

- Appointment of Ms María Ángeles Alcalá Díaz, with the classification of independent director, approved by the Board of Directors on an interim basis (co-option procedure) on 26 October 2021. Her appointment was ratified and she was re-elected as a director by the shareholders acting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 17 June 2022, and she was a member of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee at financial year-end 2022.

- Appointment of Ms Isabel García Tejerina, with the classification of independent director, approved by the Board of Directors on an interim basis (co-option procedure) on 16 December 2021. Her appointment was ratified and she was re-elected as a director by the shareholders acting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting held on 17 June 2022, and she is currently a member of the Sustainable Development Committee.
SECTION C.1.26

Below is the data on attendance of the directors at the meetings of the Board of Directors and its committees during financial year 2022. Proxies granted with specific voting instructions are considered to be attendances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directors</th>
<th>Board</th>
<th>Committees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR JOSÉ IGNACIO SÁNCHEZ GALÁN</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ARMANDO MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR JUAN MANUEL GONZÁLEZ Serna</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ANTHONY L. GARDNER</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÍNIGO VÍCTOR DE ORIOL IBARRA</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA HELENA ANTOLÍN RAYBAUD</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR MANUEL MOREU MUNAIZ</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR XABIER SAGREDO</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>15/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR FRANCISCO MARTÍNEZ CÓRCOLES</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SARA DE LA RICA GOIRICELAYA</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS NICOLÁ MARY BREWER</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS REGINA HELENA JORGE NUNES</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>15/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ÁNGEL JESÚS ACEBES PANIAGUA</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS MARÍA ÁNGELES ALCALÁ DÍAZ</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>15/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ISABEL GARCÍA TEJERINA</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

Mr Armando Martínez Martínez was appointed as a director on an interim basis (co-option procedure) on 25 October 2022.

The denominator indicates the number of meetings held during the period of the year in which the director served as such or as a member of the respective committee.

EC: Executive Committee.
ARSC: Audit and Risk Supervision Committee.
AC: Appointments Committee.
RC: Remuneration Committee.
SDC: Sustainable Development Committee.
As of 31 December 2022, the Secretary of the Board of Directors was Mr Julián Martínez-Simancas Sánchez. However, at its meeting held on 25 October 2022, the Board of Directors accepted the resignation of Mr Martínez-Simancas Sánchez from his position as non-director secretary and resolved to approve his cessation of office effective 1 January 2023. At the proposal of the executive chairman and following a favourable report from the Appointments Committee, the Board of Directors also resolved to appoint Mr Santiago Martínez Garrido as non-director secretary of the Board of Directors, effective 1 January 2023.

Non-audit services provided by the KPMG group to the companies of the Iberdrola group during financial year 2022 mainly had the following scope:

- To the Company: limited review of the half-yearly financial statements in the amount of €1,248,567; issuance of two comfort letters within the framework of issues under the EMTN programme, in the amount of €75,000 and €70,000; issuance of a report on verification of the Statement of Non-Financial Information 2021, in the amount of €108,586; and preparation of two procedures reports on the liquidity situation for the offshore Wikinger and Baltic wind farms in the amount of €8,747 each.

- To "i-DE Redes Eléctricas Inteligentes, S.A." (Sociedad Unipersonal) ("i-DE"), “Conquense Distribución Eléctrica, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and "Anselmo León Distribución, S.A." (Sociedad Unipersonal): performance of regulatory audits on the operating facilities, the commissioned facilities and the forms required by CNMC Circular 4/2015, in the total amount of €501,070.

- To i-DE: report on agreed-upon procedures regarding the disclosure of investments that it must submit to the CNMC in compliance with Royal Decree 1125/2021 of 21 December, in the amount of €100,000.

- To “Iberdrola Finanzas, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal): issuance of two comfort letters within the framework of issues under the EMTN programme, in the amount of €20,000 each.

- To “Iberdrola Renovables Internacional, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal): report on corporate services invoiced to subsidiaries, in the amount of €13,195.
– To “Iberdrola Generación Nuclear, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and “Iberdrola Generación, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal): limited review of the financial statements at 30 June and 30 September 2022, in accordance with the General Chart of Accounts (“Plan General de Contabilidad”), in the amount of €12,100 in each case.

– To “Iberdrola Renovables Energía, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal): report on agreed procedures regarding corporate charges invoiced to subsidiaries, in the amount of €9,000.

– To “Iberdrola España, S.A.” (Sociedad Unipersonal) and its subsidiaries: limited review of the consolidated financial statements at 30 June 2022, in accordance with the IFRS, in the amount of €6,619.

– To “Avangrid, Inc.”: regulatory audit to comply with the requirements of the U.S. regulator, in the amount of US$218,703; preparation of a comfort letter within the framework of a debt issue by “New York State Electric & Gas Corporation”, including, if applicable, a review of financial statements, in the amount of US$135,000; review of documentation as a consequence of a change of auditor, in the amount of US$20,000; and generic accountancy training services, provided free of charge.

– To “Neoenergia S.A.” and its subsidiaries: quarterly review for 2021, in the amount of R$680,846; audit of regulatory financial statements for 2021, in the amount of R$137,253; preparation of an equity control report for financial year 2021, in the amount of R$82,178; reports on financial rations in support of financing agreements, in the total amount of R$54,209; and procedures regarding estimated cash flows relating to the distribution of dividends for financial year 2021, in the amount of R$18,454.

– To “Iberdrola México, S.A. de C.V.” and its subsidiaries: report on the review of the tax status of the taxpayer (scope review), in the amount of MX$3,486,303.

– To the group led by “Scottish Power Limited”: audit of the regulatory segmented consolidated financial statements, in the amount of £28,209; and regulatory audit of the companies “SP MAnweb, Plc.”, “SP Transmission, Plc.” and “SP Distribution, Plc.” prepared for the British regulator, in the amount of £19,981; and regulatory audit reports on Client Assets Sourcebook, prepared for the regulator and requested by “Scottish Power Energy Management (Agency) Ltd.”, in the amount of £15,280.

– To “Iberdrola Clienti Italia, S.R.L.”: audit of the financial statements prepared in accordance with regulatory requirements, in the amount of €56,755; and report on general system charges required by the Italian regulator, in the amount of €55,000.
- Several subsidiaries of "C. Rokas Industrial Commercial Company, S.A.":
  verification of tax information, in the amount of €95,052.

- To "Iberdrola Energie France, S.A.S.": report on agreed-upon procedures
  regarding the certification of non-payment of the system’s usage charges, in the
  amount of €16,340.

- To "Iberdrola Australia RE": the issuance of a reasonable assurance report on the
  financial statements and internal control required for the Australian Financial
  Services Licence for companies operating with financial instruments, in the
  amount of AUS$8,522.

- To "Iberdrola RE, S.A.": special report in compliance with the instructions of the
  Luxembourg securities market regulator, in the amount of €1,700.

As regards the performance of the duties of the Audit and Risk Supervision Committee
(the “Committee”) relating to the provision of non-audit services by the KPMG group, it
should be noted, as indicated in section C.1.30 of this report, that in order to approve
the aforementioned provision of services, an evaluation was made as to whether the
audit firm was the most suitable to provide them. Prior to each of the meetings of the
Committee discussing the engagement of KPMG for the provision of non-audit services,
the following was made available to the committee: (i) a letter from KPMG addressed to
the chair of the Committee in order to request approval for the provision of the service
in question, in which the statutory auditor confirmed that the provision of this service
would not disqualify it or threaten its independence; and (ii) a presentation by the Internal
Audit Area describing the main characteristics and terms and conditions of the service,
stating that the provision thereof did not threaten the independence of the auditor and
confirming that it had been pre-approved by the audit and compliance committee of the
company receiving the service or, if applicable, of the parent country subholding
company.

Finally, at its meeting of 19 December 2016, the Committee agreed to pre-authorise the
statutory auditor to carry out the following activities, as it considered them to be
unquestionably related to the audit of accounts: (i) the preparation of comfort letters and,
where appropriate, consent letters for securities issues; (ii) the issue of reports on
compliance with ratios linked to financing agreements; and (iii) the performance of limited
reviews of interim financial statements. Therefore, the engagement of KPMG for the
provision of such services was deemed to have been approved by the committee, so
that the Committee henceforth only needs to be informed of the commencement of the
provision of such services at its next meeting (to take note of this and to verify that the
limits on the fees that the statutory auditor may charge for the provision of additional
services are not exceeded).